

カタログ

Contents List of my 20180710 report to the UN · HRC	1
1 text of the e-mail	2
2①Damage Report2018	3
3②Arbitrariness List	15
4③Evidences Manual	21
5④Complaint A	33
6④Complaint B	41
7④Complaint C	48
8④Complaint D	51
9④Complaint E	56
11④Complaint H	59
12④Complaint I	64
13④Complaint L	68
14④Complaint M	75
15⑤Content-Certificate-Mail (Ev3)	81
16⑤Court(Evidence 16)	83
17⑤Prosecutors(Evidence 107)	85
18⑥Japanese Laws	88



Contents of my 20180710 report to the UN · HRC

Strictly, contents of the EMS was 17 documents, and in the case of the E-mail, the text was added to it, so the following 18 documents.

This text meant to send this E-mail as a backup of the EMS that will be sent from now on because there is a risk of communication jamming.

And, the FAX was only an arrival confirmation.

Notice

1 text of the e-mail

2①Damage Report2018

3②Arbitrariness List

4③Evidences Manual

5④Complaint A

6④Complaint B

7④Complaint C

8④Complaint D

9④Complaint E

10④Complaint G

11④Complaint H

12④Complaint I

13④Complaint L

14④Complaint M

15⑤Content-Certificate-Mail(Ev3)

16⑤Court(Evidence 16)

17⑤Prosecutors(Evidence 107)

18⑥Japanese Laws

Musica gotica dark van x Report to the UN - do x

保護された通信 | https://mail.google.com/mail/#sent/KtbxLvHgMlJvHlZdstfRMhspWgmLzKgpLV

Gmail in:sent

作成 受信トレイ 1,338

スター付き スヌーズ中 送信済み sent

スター付き 送信済み sent

Yutaka Imai <donkeyson14@gmail.com> To CP 7月10日(火) 18:48

Because there is a possibility that the report will be obstructed, so I will send this as a backup until the arrival of the mail to be mailed from now.

Actually, my e-mail address that I had assumed originally can not be used.

There is no dependence on how you use these.

The check list is broken. Excuse me, but could you please use the one I gave you earlier?

17 個の添付ファイル

- W ②Arbitrariness Lis...
- W ④Complaint A.doc
- W ③Evidences Manu...
- W ④Complaint B.doc
- W ①Damage Report2...
- W ④Complaint C.doc
- W ④Complaint G.doc
- W ④Complaint D.doc

Yutaka +

最近のチャットはありません
新しいチャットを開始しませんか

Human Rights Council

Report to UN(Damage Report 2018)

Complainant

Name : Yutaka Imai Nationality and the target : Japan Birthday : 1961.3.9
TEL : + 81 90 3087 1577 E-mail-address : yutakaimai@mail.jp donkeyson14@gmail.com
Mailing address: 3158-1 Kamimoku, Minakami-machi, Tone-gun, Gunma Prefecture, Japan 379-1303

Reason why I think this communication meets the reporting requirement

My damages are **persecution** and **discrimination** based on **customary prejudice** by the **Internet-based** perpetrators (hereinafter referred to as "**Surround-Network**").

It is characteristic that ①the **motivation** for harm is very special, ②the Surround-Network is organized through the **Internet**, ③the Surround-Network is already estimated to have **spread all over the world**, ④The extremely unilateral harm has already been going on for more than **10 years**.

Firstly from ② and ③, it seems that the expansion of the Surround-Network to be "**so terrible that it can no longer be regarded as falling within the scope of the national jurisdiction of the state.**"

Secondly, both ① and ② are very special new themes.

These damages indicate **chronological** and **organizational consistency**.

It seems to hit cases where **multiple victims (me and my aunt)** were born and inhuman and deliberate violations spread beyond **a certain number in a short period** of time.

Therefore, I think these are **consistent patterns of gross and reliably attested violations of all human rights and all fundamental freedoms**.

At the same time, I think it is also possible to regard as a Thematic Mandates of **special procedures**.

I would like the former but I will leave it all to the UN.

The other important thing is that these harms are done **nationally** as described later.

For these reason, I **request** some kind of **relief** from the United Nations, based on the reason and conscience specified by Article 1 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the spirit of our people.

I actively hope my argument will be made **public** to the world.

Gist of violation(National violation of Civil Code)

In addition, although there are earlier events, it is described below for convenience of explanation.

First of all, please grasp the gist of the violation.

All the people have acted as the Surround-Network until now.

The Surround-Network continued consistent **discrimination** and **persecution** based on customary prejudice.

Furthermore, the Surround-Network continued **intimidation** and **harboring** by **abusing the authority of public agencies** including state agencies .

The Surround-Network have consistently treating me as a **nonhuman**.

In other words, they have been intimidating me to suggest their power through such **consistent abnormal responses**.

If I express briefly their intent of the silent intimidation, "we will surely kill you before caught, so there is no need to treat you as a human."

(1) My damage report to the MPD was ignored and my aunt was killed at the reply deadline date (complaint A)

I complained about the phenomenon of various damage by the Surround-Network and was requested the detection in this damage report.

I stated the reason for asking for a reply and the deadline for the response on the top page.

However, there was no answer after that, and my aunt died dead on the day of the response deadline.

Naturally, this is a homicide for intimidation for me that aimed to hide my damage report.

It is important this must have been a planned homicide by the Surround-Network.

The boycott campaign of the Surround-Network to me at that time made the night metropolitan to become a crisis.

Japan's GDP at the time should have been affected.

In other words, it was well-known fact and it was "inconvenient truth" for the Surround-Network.

The two data as described below clearly indicates it.

Around 2009.2.25, I sent an accusation e-mail to concerned agencies, but they were all ignored.

2009.3.3 In the afternoon, I met with Sawada at the Higashimurayama Police Station, explained the details of the damage report, and requested the investigation of the Surround-Network and my aunt's intimidation murder.

But surprisingly, the MPD continues to deny this visit all the time.

I was desperate then, realizing the strength of the Surround-Network and despite the complaint afterwards.

(2) 2015.1.11 Sniper from closest distance by hunting gun and subsequent series of intimidation(complaint B)

The sniper group who aimed at me repeatedly committed crimes such as exposing prey's blood and corpses on my way within a month after that, so blatantly intimidated against my life.

This second incident caused me try to prosecution again.

Because I thought it is impossible to conceal both blatant intimidation plays as a matter of arbitrariness.

Currently, there are 13 incidents (Complaints) in all, and each of them are derived from these two serious incidents.

The Surround-Network continued concealment by not admitting all my appeals consistently and without basis.

Remark of the human rights counselor as "We can not investigate unless we believe it" symbolize their response.

My appeal was brought under the interest of the relevant authorities, but unfairly ignored.

1 National authorities ignored my 2016.6.6 Content-Certificate-Mail (evidence 3, complaint A, B).

The address name was the Chief Cabinet Secretary, the Minister of Justice, and 4 others.

2 The Human Rights Counselor, a national institution, made extremely unfair act on 201710(complaint I)

① They refused to receive my request twice using false reason.

② They canceled the request for relief in the middle of the process and refused my request for resumption.

3 The court, which is a state institution, made a very unfair decision in 20171212(complaint L)

- ① They replaced the main content of my argument.
- ② They judged without reason with grounds of different case.
- ③ They ignored arbitrariness (the illegality of action and the crisis of life).

4 The Public prosecutors office, which is a monopolistic indictment organization of criminal prosecution, continues extremely unfair act until now(complaint M)

- ① They have repeatedly remarked without basis that "It is not the stage to judge the arbitrariness yet".
- ② They unfairly remanded my complaints five times in the same sentence unable to identify the defective part.

No matter how much my appeal is accurate, they have no intention of recognition .

In Japan, there is a proverb saying "Even if it is Red signal, you need not afraid it if you cross the road with everyone", thus now this is done nationally.

The police are now ignoring the gunshot report during the anti-hunting period, which is a typical emergency call.

Surround-Network are about to enclose my appeal forever by their high and thick walls.

These are clearly unfair because there can not be states that overlook a crisis of citizens' life.

In other words, Japan continues nationally violations in a consistent form in time series and organization.

Japan will never voluntarily try to resolve these incidents unless the UN exercises external pressure in full swing.

The nature of the violation(Article 1, 2-1, 3, 6, 8-2, 14-1, 16, 17, 18, 19-3(a), 26 of the Civil Code)

The Surround-Network continued consistent discrimination and persecution based on customary prejudice.

Furthermore, the Surround-Network continued intimidation and harboring by abusing the authority of public agencies including state agencies (★mark).

The Surround-Network have consistently treating me as a nonhuman.

In other words, they have been intimidating me to suggest their power through such consistent abnormal responses. They are so crazy.

If I express briefly their intent of the silent intimidation, "we will surely kill you before caught, so there is no need to treat you as a human."

The meaning of this prejudice, "It is natural a man responding to woman's silent sex request".

In short, the Surround-Network blame me for failing to respond to it.

The following texts are considered to be in violation of these.

- Article 1 "the right of self-determination"
- Article 2-1 "the right not to be suffered with any discrimination"
- Article 3 "the equal right of men and women"
- Article 6 "the inherent right to life" all are intimidation to my life
- Article 8-2 "no one shall be held in servitude" Losing freedom of occupation selection and constant monitoring, etc

- ★ Article 14-1 "all persons shall be equal before the courts and tribunals"
- ★ Article 16 "the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law"
 - Article 17 "no one shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with his privacy"
 - Article 18 "Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion"
 - Article 19-3 (a) "for respect of the rights or reputations of others"
- ★ Article 26 "all persons are equal before the law"
 - a right to receive appropriate procedure as the complainant

Reason why the domestic remedies have not been exhausted

As mentioned above, relief is lacking in effectiveness or unreasonably delayed, and progress of procedures can no longer be expected.

Although I intend to fully understand the purpose of the UN's report checklist, applying it to my case has problems.

The reason is that, first of all, my appeal is already enormous as a whole.

Since it is originally nearly one hundred pages, I can not fit on page 8 by all means.

Second, all the responses to the questions are written in it.

Thirdly, it is going to be summarized briefly over a huge amount of time so far.

I feel that it will be confusing to decompose this.

So, I'm sorry but please read first as I have submitted.

Then, if you judge it is necessary to rewrite it, I will rewrite it at once if there is an instruction.

Illegality of having ignored my action

- (1) Ignoring is not prescribed treatment
- (2) It is a violation of foreseeing duty based on their law.
- (3) Infringement of the right to receive proper procedures (Article 13 of the Japanese Constitution).
- (4) It is discrimination and infringement of equal rights (Article 14 of the Constitution of Japan) and the discrimination provision of Article 2 or less of the Civil Code.
- (5) Because my appeal was always relief of emergency life crisis, it is the same as ignoring emergency calls,

infringement of the right of life of Article 13 of the Constitution of Japan, the intrinsic right to life of Article 6 of the Civil Code.

Thus, I think that ignoring at least hits negligence if it can not be concluded that there was no damage.

As for public bodies, it is always intimidation and harboring by abuse of their authority

It is clear that both Complaint A and Complaint B are intimidation to my life.

Since these two cases are the oldest, all subsequent incidents are presumed to be derivation cases conscious of these two, so I think that they had always these two purposes.

Structure of the submitted document

I have attempted to summarize this report many times, but the UN restriction that the text is within 15 pages is too narrow to accommodate everything.

Unfortunately, due to such circumstances each complaint is attached as an attached document.

So this document is only a summary.

Specific criminal facts are concentrated on each complaint, which is an attached document.

No matter how many damages or complexity, please understand that it is not the victim's fault.

Although I'm always conscious in chronological order, so as to conform to the instructions, I have listed the top priority in the simplicity, so please understand that there are some partial exceptions.

Then, underlined (single line) was given to the description of fact.

① This document : Report to UN(Damage Report 2018) total 11 pages

② Attached document : Arbitrariness(Criminality) List total 6 pages

③ Attached document : Evidences Manual total 12 pages

④ Attached document : Complaints(except F,J,K)

A=7p,B=6p,C=3p,D=4p,E=3p,G=3p,H=5p,I=3p,L=6p,M=6p

⑤ Attached document : Decisions of domestic courts and authorities on the claim made total 3 documents
the Minister of Justice(Evidence 3)=p1, Court(Evidence 16)=p2, prosecutor(Evidence 107)=p2

⑥ Attached document : Relevant national legislation(Japanese Laws) total 4 pages

⑦ Attached document : Report check list total 4 pages

Beginning of the damage and gist of the Surround-Network.

From around September 2005, somebody infringed my portrait right and privacy while I was not aware, and she started sharing them on the net without my approval.

Thereafter, this unreasonable net sharing circle expanded rapidly, causing various incidents until today.

Regarding this, I had a history as before, as follows.

The Beginning was around the end of 2002.

I was about 40 years old at the time and I worked as a regular employee of the Sumitomo Trust and Banking Co. (currently Sumitomo Mitsui Trust and Banking Co., Ltd.).

On the first day evening past 21 o'clock, there were only four employees remaining on the wide 10th floor floor.

When I was going home and wearing a coat with a coat hook set at the corner of the office, a young lady came in hastily afterwards.

Although I feel very unnatural, I think that a man calls something here if it is normal, but I said nothing because my character as described below.

She came to the elevator hall together, but she did not try to ride with me.

Also at this time, she never saw my face.

On the second night, I felt it was more unnatural, but I forgot the details.

On the third night, it was almost the same, but her friend accompanied her to the elevator together,

When the door closed, the friend shouted "Mr. Imai!"

I understand it now, these are considered to mean "I want you to take out" and "I want you."

Also, it seems that the same circumstances continued for three days, as the surrounding people made a

difference.

I do not want to touch her appearance, she was a sexy woman of the pheromone type.

Also I had a temporary freedom because I was a single employee at this time.

I think that my sexual preference is normal but I was cowardly and insensitive.

Actually, there were another two reasons, but I will leave it because the story becomes complicated.

So at this time I just did not understand her intention, I never intended to refusing.

At that time Japan was an era in which educational activities for prevention of sexual harassment by companies were popular, and I was a married person, so I did not want to be honored only with suspicion of sexual harassment absolutely.

Anyway, due to such circumstances, I think that time being hesitant was too long, it was considered to be refusing her.

From the next day on the third day, the atmosphere of the workplace changed all at once.

To my unlucky, the second lady started the same thing as soon as the first woman took week-off for a few days later.

I got lost here morally on priority and eventually I could not meet each expectation.

The first person on vacation collided violently with the second person.

The second person eventually make a lie's motion to the company that I sexually harassed her as revenge, and after that I was repeatedly harassed by the administrator of the workplace.

This is, of course, a sexual harassment to me as defined, there is no doubt that it made things complicatedly.

In addition, since the third woman started doing the same thing several days after the second person, nearly all of the girls in the workplace became involved after this.

As soon as this happens it will make a fuss like poking the beehive and it will not get settled.

The struggle or festival that they called the feast was a workplace where there were many young women, the International Operation Department, it has been carried out from the morning till late night, and it is exactly Collapse of Workplace I can only express it.

On the next Office of Operation Promote Department as well, the fuss continued to expand and probably became a legend within the company.

It became difficult to carry out work, and in the last few months before retirement I was given a private room even though I was not an officer.

Shortly after retirement in February 2005, I requested the company to mediate due to inadequate administrative responsibility, but mediation was not established without having to compromise in terms of monetary value.

With my retirement, this disorder once resolved, but it has revived September 2005, half a year later.

"Takeout-game" recurred at Tokorozawa fitness club "Athlie" that I passed at that time.

From the background of retirement as stated above, I strongly suspected the involvement of women of the Sumitomo Trust & Banking Co., Ltd., I also hesitated because I was wary of it, so I could not respond to anyone.

At this point I think that the two legends have been made.

I feel that the Surround-Network has spread explosively since around September 2005.

Generally In Japan, when approaching a man from a woman, saying to him is extremely rare as it hits taboo.

In order to induce inviting from a man, directing the encounter with ambush becomes the central technique.

For that, it is advantageous to share the present information of the man who aimed at more and more.

Perhaps women are supposed to have competed with a lot of prize money.

From these circumstances, it seems that there was a need to share my current information.

There is an old proverb in Japan "Refusing woman who want you is a shame of a man".

I think that it means "to respond to a woman who came to you as a manner of man".

I think that I was regarded as a person who committed this customary taboo, and carried on public retaliation feelings.

In this way, the surrounding net starts to function as a revenge network, which is the back face, and it seems that it has been to now as a face of the table.

So perhaps there is no recognition that the side of the Surround-Network is unilaterally harmed.

On the contrary their attitude is just as saying "It is sanctioning justice against your evil deeds."

Against this backdrop, as business obstruction in taxi work after re-employment gradually became more serious, my attitude changed to "never surrender".

I felt the crisis of life due to life difficult, so I requested the Metropolitan Police Department of the Complaint A to submit the damage report and asked for the detection of the Surround-Network.

Emphasis of arbitrariness (all intimidation by the Surround-Network)

Arbitrariness means the arbitrariness that is not a coincidence(= artificiality or willfulness).

Each case(complaint) is clearly common as a type of action.

These clearly show a consistent pattern of human rights abuses.

This suggests comprehensively the existence of the Surround-Network, and at the same time it make retroactively increasing the arbitrariness of each case.

I think this mutual relevance is the most important.

In order to emphasize the height of arbitrariness, I have created the Arbitrary List of attached documents.

Eventually it will be a multiplication of the numerical value for each case, so the Surround-Network exists clearly.

Request for utilization of statistical methods

Statistics is a formulation of a useful rule of thumb, which is a crystal of wisdom of mankind, so to speak, it is an objective indicator of arbitrariness.

I also ask you to actively utilize statistical methods to suppress arbitrary judgment by the Surround-Network.

Major arbitrariness (gist of Arbitrariness List)

These indicate the consistency of their correspondence, that is, these institutions are the Surround-Network.

(1) Ignoring consistently and unfairly by each agency (2009 ~)

There are the following four types.

①Will not try to understand the offer

Not trying to read the submitted documents, or asking repeatedly about described contents .

②Will not try to give me any suggestions

As a result, I have to find everything on myself, so it will of course be a great detour.

③lack of awareness of responsibility

④A blatant lie

(2) All 12 attorneys refused underwriting of mine(from 2017)

Attorney is the primary private legal expert that can be used by ordinary people as a proxy for litigation in Japan.

Article 1 of the Law Attorney Act stipulates that "its mission is to protect fundamental human rights and realize social justice."

Yet most of 12 attorneys did not answer even asking the reasons for refusing.

The circumstances that can not be consulted to anyone legally is an unimaginable huge handicap.

For example, even if I correct the complaint, I can't even know whether I will level up as a result.

At 20180514 13: 52 by e-mail, I asked the JFBA International Department to participate in this report but no response.

I am planning to sue the Japan Federation of Bar Associations either.

I am planning to sue the Japan Federation of Bar Associations, but their method of disturbance is as follows.

① Let me explaining the outline and rob time for questions

② Will not answer even if I ask questions in general theory

For example, at 2017.12.21 14:00 free counseling on the Legal Terrace, I had asked "I'd like to get suggestions from the general theory in terms of time, ... ", but then, Masahiro Matsui said "I will not answer because it is no mean unless real case" and consultation ended without answering anything. It is a sophistry that seems to be an expert in law.

Because it is freedom of the consultant to approve meaning to what, this is an infringement of the right of self-determination in Article 1 of the Civil Code.

(3) Scene of abnormal villagers suggest Surround-Network (Complaint D, J, K)

20170212 20: 16 At the end of the rally at the district center I emphasized the risk of other villagers getting involved in hunting gun intimidation cases and proposed to everyone a resolution to cut out from the area of the sniper group.

Yet Mr. Michio Suzuki continued to make baseless disturbing remarks in the presence of the public saying "It is not such a thing to speak here", eventually interrupting (evidence 6).

20170416 At around 20:30, I began to introduce the case of a criminal investigation by Saito postman at the end of the rally at the district center.

Even so, Keiko Ishii said "It is not such a thing to speak here", Since she made a disturbing remark in the face of the public without base, and another villager also stood one after another, which eventually ceased (Evidence 7- 1, 7-2).

It was a stern fact that there was an abnormal firing (complaint B) to be called a sniping and a suspicious housing invasion (complaint C) that should be called intimidation.

There is no guarantee that they are intimidation aimed at me, so if you consider the risk of other villagers

being involved, you can not overlook it.

But even denying this fear in the face of the public is said to be an allergic reaction to abnormalities, so it suggests two intentions of intimidation and concealment as well as showing power.

Suspicious actions and price manipulation in shipment of vegetables (from 2014, Complaint H)

Suspicious shipment by the JATN and numerous explicit price manipulation by market participants.

Short-term concentration of suspicious orders to my net shop (2017, Complaint E)

In 201702 to 201704, four extremely rare suspicious orders with common features such as cash on delivery settlement and large amount of initial transaction were successively followed, and the first one was actually refused receipt.

Each after the second is common in the process that no response comes out after requesting settlement method change.

In other words, there are no intention of purchase from the beginning in all four cases, it seems to be an order aimed at disturbing my business due to refusal of receipt.

(4) Two fatal accidents at the affiliated taxi company (2008 - 2009)

The company I worked for was a company that had not had a history of fatal accidents since its foundation in 1952.

Even so, two death accidents occurred between just three years during I worked, and two men died.

The interval between these two accidents was about 1.5 year before and after my 20090118 damage report.

Both are collision accidents between a right-turn taxi and a straight-ahead motorcycle.

So it is obstructing the way by taxi and it is almost unilateral negligence of taxi side.

Especially the second accident was a very shocking form that two cars collided at the same time on one taxi.

These facts show the characteristics of how to demonstrate the power of the Surround-Network as "Repeating blatant intimidation by directing unfairness."

In other words, at least the second accident seems to be a suicide which they intended to imitate the last time.

The purpose seems to make me hard to be in the company.

The second suspicion is that the company still exists.

After the first accident, the managers of this company were persistently warning the subordinates that "the company will definitely be crushed if there is the same accident next time".

Generally, if we repeatedly repeat the same form of absolutely negligent death accident in such a short period, it will be deemed a company without intention to improve, so I think that the company will definitely be crushed administratively.

I think that the administrative authorities, the Metropolitan Police Department and the Land Transport Bureau, loosened as an Surround-Network to avoid it's bankrupt.

As I confirmed to the company on the telephone recently, they replied suspiciously that "It is not possible to answer unless it is an investigation about the date of two accidents and the time when the company's daily average sales data can be retroactively acquired".

(5) Two data in taxi driver days(Complaint A)

The boycott campaign of the Surround-Network to me at that time made the night metropolitan to become a crisis.

Japan's GDP at the time should have been affected.

In other words, it was well-known fact and it was "inconvenient truth" for the Surround-Network.

The following data described Damage Report 2009 indicates clearly it.

I strongly feel a causal relationship between these social conditions, the above-mentioned two fatal accidents, and my aunt's homicide.

① The fact that the average daily sales value of the taxi company I belonged was 10% or more in width and continued to link with my work day (2006 - 2007)

It seems that these two variables were almost perfectly correlated. In other words, the statistical causal relationship is clear. As described in complaint A, this was the result of the boycott campaign. Particularly about two months when diametrically interlocking continued, it is too blatant about children.

② Abnormally low actual occupancy rate (especially 2009) compared with other drivers

The actual occupancy rate is the ratio of the distance traveled with passengers on the total mileage and is generally proportional to sales. There are not too many individual differences.

Especially 2009 was remarkable, and in the months before retirement the rate was less than one third of the average continued. This number is quite abnormal in the industry.

(6) My appeals of intimidative homicide to related organizations by e-mail are all ignored

(around 2009.2.25, evidence 1)

The destination was the National Police Agency, Human Rights Counselor, Asahi-Shimbun, Yomiuri-Shimbun, Mainichi-Shimbun, Shinchosha, Shunjyusha, etc.

There is no obligation to act on the mass-media, but it is quite suspicious because it can not be ignored on their occupation.

The biggest reason why my appeal came at this time is that I was desperate by this fact.

(7) Many recordings of abnormal noise (2007 ~, evidence 4, 5, 98)

For example, trembling of extremely violent window glasses like large earthquakes has been recorded twice (20171027 09:27, 20180402 11:30).

Immediately after that, I confirmed to Minakami-town, but the earthquake is not recorded.

Helicopters or planes did not pass by before or after this.

In other words, since these are completely unexplainable phenomena, investigation agencies can not overlook them.

Nevertheless, I reported to Numata police station many times, but it is all unjustly ignored.

(8) Suspicious daily wandering of other prefecture number cars (2017 - evidence 79)

Every time I go for a walk to Ishigami-Pass, the other prefecture number around 10 units will pass.

There was nothing at all when the road was opened, but now it is more than the total of the local cars.

This place is near the dead end of the outback of Gunma prefecture in location.

There is no general route to pass except the Mikuni-Pass to Niigata Prefecture or from Konsei-Pass to Tochigi Prefecture.

In addition, this prefectural road · DogiSayama-line is only the advantage that few signals are on the way, it is a considerably detour and a severe pass crossing over the distance, Furthermore, the danger of slipping always accompanies on winter snow roads, even local people not want to go through due to this triple demerit.

Also, there are no famous sightseeing spots nearby, so there is no necessity to choose as a route, it is suspicious.

The cars have increased conspicuously since the beginning of 2017 when I began prosecution activities in earnest.

It is clear that these are demos and bashing by the Surround-Network.

After starting to take this record, the number of appearances has drastically decreased since then.

(9) Phantom phenomenon (These are from around 2006)

1 Always monitored sign

They are constantly monitoring me 24 hours a day, 365 days a year.

The mechanism is unknown, but I can hear the talk of men and women constantly from around my home.

For example, when I waked up in the dark in the midnight, I heard " he got up" behind the back soon.

Although the mechanism is unknown, you can see that it is constantly being monitored at the level of consciousness.

2 Immediately reading the document being input on my personal computer

For example, as soon as I entered the name of a man who committed a fledgling during the creation of complaint A, I heard the villain saying "Why do you know the name?"

At this time, I was very surprised because it was still not pushing the save button, but the mechanism is unknown.

3 Suspicious phenomenon in net Go

Apply for match up come in at intervals of every minute as usual.

There are days when the opponent who applied for battle canceled consistently and the battle has never been reached.

There are also days when the battle is not established, because almost no battle comes in.

The latter is thought to be automatic match avoidance by blacklist registration.

In other words, it seems that all participants of more than 10,000 people have registered me as a blacklist.

It goes without saying that there is no negligence that I will be blacklisted.

There are days when the same person refuses this battle repeatedly many times.

If this is an automatic game function, it is unlikely that you will continue to hit the same person.

In other words, that means paying expenses one time and targeting me with individual application function.

Also, when I go to watching, the opponents suddenly interrupt the game and they will be canceled as it is.

Even if I can fight, the opponent's often became foolish, or opponent's often try to throw in just a few hands.

Recent three years are ToyoIGo (TYGEM), but the same situation was in KGS before that and in Yahoo.

It is obvious that these are bashing to me, and they are constantly monitoring me all over the world.

I think that some records of the introduced phenomenon can be issued by ToyoIGo (TYGEM).

Participants of ToyoIGo (TYGEM) are mainly Chinese, Korean and Japanese, and KGS is mainly Westerners.

Participants are not real name but ID, but the same result was obtained even if T changed the ID many times.

My ID in the yahoo game = suikayarou etc.

My ID in the KGS = tw1, ys1, zal, yowasugi, toowweak etc.

My ID in the TYGEM = tw001, tw002, etc.

4 The words of the intimidation are "take care of yourself"

This has been told at eating and drinking places since ancient times, or many were told even in quite a wrong place.

For example, a Numata policeman repeatedly said on my way home (evidence 23).

My determination

I have a lot of suffering, such as direct fear due to intimidation, fear of living difficulties due to business disturbances, blockage and hopelessness losing a lot of freedom.

Among them, living with murderous intent to unknown offender is the most severe.

When excessive negative emotions continue, eventually begins to erode my spirit and body.

There are not many people who know this suffering in this world.

I have tried to commit suicide in July 2011 same as the East Japan Great Earthquake.

About 8 days after having discontinued eating and drinking, my figure in the mirror was like a mummy.

I think that I could not die because I wanted to know the true fullness of what happened to me.

First of all, if I cannot know the truth, I cannot regret it.

What happened in Japan, which was regarded as a developed country, probably contains the common problem of universal humanity.

If almost of Japanese would be accomplices of homicide, it seems impossible to process with the current law only.

I would like you to review all related laws, including punishment for my major negligence.

And I think that the UN is the most suitable.

In any case, I cannot die without knowing the truth.

I will not guarantee that I will not carry out revenge to this world that I born myself someday.

I think this is a modern witch hunt.

Why only women can be special?

I do not remember signing such an inequality clause when I was born.

I think that the UN 's philosophy of "recognizing equal rights to all individuals" is the most sublime than any religion.

If I extend that idea, I think that it is not important whether the victim is a citizen of the Covenant.

I think that all human beings will be world citizen to be integrated into the world government.

Also, there is no meaning unless a Covenant should have been observed.

For these reasons, as a world citizen, I shall ask the world government to preparedness for compliance.

Please show the world that human rights are not a majority vote issue and there are no exceptions.

Arbitrariness(Criminality) list

Arbitrariness	Elements of Illegality
Complaint A Intimidative homicide MPD, SPPD, GPPD (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	<p>(gist) Common illegality of defendants These are violations of Article 1, 2-1, 3, 6, 8-2, 14-1, 16, 17, 18, 19-3(a), 26 of the Civil Code. Having suggested the Surround-Network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions</p> <p>common illegality of Police organization Because unfair treatment of my appeal are violations from the nature of the act and the obligation of officials to accuse crimes, so it is a prevention of my exercise of the right of receive a proper procedure.</p> <p>Because this prevention is due to their abuse of their official authorities, they are in a crime.</p> <p>These are violations of the Civil Code, mainly from the view of the discrimination and the rights to life.</p> <p>Illegality of the MPD is as follows I to III.</p> <p>I Having suggested the power of the Surround-Network by combining follows A and B</p> <p>A Having ignored my damage report 2009 Unjustly ignoring my damage report 2009 is illegal as it is at least negligent from (1) to (7) below</p> <p>(1) Ignoring is not regular handling(1/100), (2) Ignoring is a violation of foresee duty(1/100)</p> <p>(3) Infringement of the right to receive proper procedures (Article 13 of the Japanese Constitution).</p> <p>(4) It is discrimination and infringement of equal rights (Article 14 of the Constitution of Japan) and the discrimination provision of Article 2 or less of the Civil Code(1/100).</p> <p>(5) Because my appeal was always relief of emergency life crisis, it is the same as ignoring emergency calls, Infringement of the right of life of Article 13 of the Constitution of Japan, the intrinsic right to life of Article 6 of the Civil Code.</p> <p>(6) I requested a reply and deadline clearly(1/100), (7) There were 8 phenomena that a police could not have overlooked</p> <p>page3 Expansion of boycott campaign "The fact that the average daily sales value of the taxi company I belonged was 10% or more in width and continued to link with my work day (2006 - 2007)" etc.</p> <p>B Having killed my aunt of Mariko Ohta If we comprehensively judge A and B, it's arbitrariness strongly suggests the intent of intimidation</p> <p>A As above mentioned, the damage report 2009 could not have ignored, but ignored(1/100000000)</p> <p>B The reply deadline date of the report overlaps with the death date of Mrs. Mariko Ohta(1/10000)</p> <p>So instead of replying, they exposed her corpse and threw me the intention of silent intimidation as above.</p> <p>From this point on the contrary it is extremely strongly presumed that this was homicide and involvement in the homicide of the MPD.</p> <p>★ many suspicious points of death of my aunt Mariko Ohta</p> <p>At first it was Suspicious death and there were no scales of traffic accidents(1/100)</p> <p>In terms of circumstances, witnesses who should naturally be do not appear (big intersection of the city center at 8 o'clock on Friday morning) (1/100)</p> <p>II Having denied the fact that I met with Sawada at the Higashimurayama station(1/100000000)</p> <p>III They continued to ignore my appeal afterwards to suggest the power of the Surround-Network</p> <p>1 2016.6.6 Continuing to ignore my content certification mail unfairly(1/100000000)</p> <p>2 Steadily repeating to ignore unjustly even now(1/100)</p> <p>3 Continuously ignoring incidental announced through the litigation</p> <p>Common illegality for Mariko Ohta's homicide and those who concealed it</p> <p>Intimidating to my life by suggesting the power of the Surround-Network with I and II below</p> <p>I They suggested the power of the Surround-Network by combining the homicide of Mariko Ota and unjustly ignoring my damage report 2009</p> <p>II By ignoring my appeal unfairly to having suggested the power of the Surround-Network</p>
Complaint B Intimidation by hunting gun	<p>(gist) Common illegality of defendants Having suggested the Surround-Network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions</p> <p>Illegality of sniper hunter group Combining the following I and II to produce unfairness and suggested the power of the Surround-Network</p> <p>I Having Sniped me by hunting gun(1/10000), II The sniper group continued to intimidate me after that</p> <p>★ The following A to F also suggest intimidation A series of actions of a sniper, B Whether warning or punishment having done to sniper or not, is still unknown(1/100),</p> <p>C ②2015.1.14 (Wed) suspicion for re-verification on site based on my protest,</p> <p>D the fact that both corpses of little wild boar were on my way home(the location was suspicious) (1/100),</p> <p>It appeared only in less than two hours since Kuroiwa came home (the timing was suspicious)</p>

GPPD (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	<p>E Three major suspicious points about the scattering of blood on my way (1)It is extremely insensitive and suspicious act if it is a work of the sniper group who should have received administrative punishment or warning (2)there was hardly any bloodstain between the original and the way (about 20 m)(1/100) (3)the amount of blood sprinkled seems to be abnormally large(1/100)</p> <p>F ⑬ 2015.3.27 (Tuesday) 18: 16 After the corpse of the big wild boar was dissected, only that fur was placed on my way (1, 35 of evidence 35 2), and after two months or more It is meaningless to dissect rotten corpse(1/10000)</p> <p>Illegality of GPPD As following I to IV, suggesting the power of the Surround-Network by unfairly ignoring my appeal</p> <p>I They made extremely unreasonable judgment in the field verification of the case, II They have been ignoring unfairly against my protests</p> <p>III 2016.6.6 Continuing to ignore my content certification mail unfairly(1/100), IV They continue to ignore my complaint unjustly(1/100)</p> <p>V They have been ignoring me more blatantly since 2017, the gunshot in the prohibited period(1/100) the signal of hunting around my bedroom in the late night(1/10000)</p>
Complaint C Intimidation by postman	<p>(gist)Common illegality of defendants Having suggested the Surround-Network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions</p> <p>Illegality of Numata Post Office Having broken into my residence and concealed it systematically to demonstrate the power of the Surround-Network</p> <p>★ supplement for Saito' s crime · The receipt sign of my name on the Yu-Pack delivery certificate is not my handwriting(1/100000000), · Saito insisted that I signed with a ballpoint pen on the kotatu(desk), but the color of the ballpoint pen ink was blue in the immediate confirmation (the actual thing is black against the kotatsu is blue)(1/100), · If you analyze the ingredients of ink probably that these two are different(1/100) · I can not sign if I do not touch it so my fingerprint will not be detected from my delivery certificate(1/100) · I have not memory on receipt at all · When I woke up, the U-Pack was beside my face and it was about to fall off from the edge of dining room, If the U-Pack really were there before I lying down, I should have move it to somewhere as it would hinder me · Usually I used to discard "Undeliverable Item Notice" soon after re-delivery, but it was remained(1/10) Ohfuiji interferes my verification on-site using false reasons(1/100)</p> <p>Illegality of GPPD As following I to II, suggesting the power of the Surround-Network by continuing to ignore my appeals</p> <p>I ignored my request of on-site verification, II ignored my complaint filed on the next day</p>
GPPD (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	<p>(gist)Common illegality of defendants Having suggested the Surround-Network' s power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions</p> <p>Illegality of Keiko Ishii Demonstrate the power of the Surround-Network by follows I andII</p> <p>I Having made remarks disturbing or ignoring my remarks in the public(1/100), ★ Abnormal attitude of villagers suggest(evidence 6, 7-1, 7-2) being the Surround-Network(1/100)</p> <p>II Having repeated invasion into my absence home</p> <p>Situational evidence of intimidation</p> <p>(1)Similarity with the invasion of Saito(1/100), (2)Appealing her action to use things for each times(1/2), (3)There is no legitimate reason for indoor invasion</p> <p>1 As mentioned before, the human relationship is completely collapsed and she could not have entered the absence my home without permission(1/100) 2 She should have known my feeling that "I do not want anyone to be visited my home"(1/100) 3 The weather of the day was different from the claim, 4 From the second time, there was largely written as "Keep Out" on the each entrance door 5 The second distribution is not important and there is no need to enter indoors originally(1/10) 6 The second seems to have been waiting for the same situation of rainy weather(1/10)</p> <p>Illegality of GPPD As following I to III, suggesting the power of the Surround-Network by continuing to ignore my appeals</p> <p>I ignored my request of on-site verification, II Refusing to accept my complaint using false reasons, III They unfairly ignored my applicable complaint after that</p>
Complaint E Intimidation by internet orderer	<p>(gist)Common illegality of defendants Having suggested the Surround-Network' s power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions</p> <p>Illegality of defendant A to D Having demonstrated the Surround-network' s power by doing abnormal orders together Kitamura suddenly refused acceptance(1/100)</p> <p>★ Common points among respondents A to D, · All four people are paying on delivery (cash on delivery) settlement</p> <p>· All 4 people are large orders with total amount of 100,000 yen or more(1/10000), · All four people are new customers</p> <p>· The order of all four people is concentrated in a short period (20170301 to 20170531)(1/100)</p> <p>· The three persons have stopped responding after my requesting the change of payment method(1/10000)</p> <p>From these, it is obvious that the four persons had no intention to purchase from the beginning</p> <p>Illegality of GPPD Having suggested the power of the Surround-Network by continuing to ignore my appeals</p>
GPPD (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	
Complaint F	(gist)the Human Rights Volunteers are a municipal administrative agency that works for the relief of human rights violations based on the Human Rights Volunteers Act.

The Human Rights Volunteer & GPPD (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	Common illegality of defendants Having suggested the Surround-Network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions(1/100000000) They kept ignoring my offer without any reason.
Complaint G Intimidation by Minakami-Machi (town) (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	(gist)Common illegality of defendants machi(town) is the smallest unit of regional jurisdictional administrative division based on address(=municipal). Having suggested the Surround-Network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions as follows I ~ II I Suggested the power of the Surround-Network by carrying out unjust enforcement without confirming the fact in Objection and showing the reason and by harboring of incident A (1/100) II continued to ignore all my appeals and suggested the power of the Surround-Network(harboring of incident B) (1/100) Forced enforcement is a compulsory collection of taxes by state and it is a type of referee, so it is extremely unfair that they lacked reason though it is indispensable, and It seems it violates Article 1, 2-1, 14-1, 16, 26 of Civil Code. The summary of the Objection was, as described in complaint A, that I have no reason to pay the tax at that time because the Metropolitan Police Department ignored unfairly all my appeals and it is a default against tax.
Complaint H Intimidation by Markets (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	(gist)Common illegality of defendants Having suggested the Surround-Network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions as follows I ~ IV. I Defendant B did price operation by price fixing to 20160724 to 20160825(1/10000) II At 20160727 Shipment, defendants A to C in cooperation with price operation(1/10000) It was very suspicious to treated my one differently because the JATN have no necessity to identify each shipper III Around July 2017, the defendants A to D performed price operations nearly everyday(1/10000), IV The defendants A - B suppressed the evidence Situational evidence of price operation(1/100) ★ It was an extremely abnormal price as an absolute level with no precedent(1/10000) A Market in 2017 Transited to at the lowest level ever in history on each my three crops B At 201801, When I examined past prices trend, then after my farming started, it was about half level as before
Complaint I Intimidation by The Human Rights Counselor (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	(gist)Common illegality of defendants The Human Rights Counselor is a national institution under the Ministry of Justice Having suggested the Surround-Network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions as following I ~ V I Having continuing to ignore unfairly my offer of relief in spite of my protest II Having refused to accept my offer of relief twice by false reasons(1/100000000) · Outside jurisdiction depending on place of occurrence · estimated amount in mental damage case III Having terminated unjustly my explanation and ignored my resumption request(1/100000000) IV Having done explicit remarks suggesting intimidation and harboring V Having ignored unfairly all my complaints(1/10000)
Complaint J Intimidation by Takahisa Imai and his family (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	(gist) They are my neighborhoods Common illegality of defendants Having suggested the Surround-Network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions as follows I to II All departure is bored from the beginning of 2014(1/10) I sound intimidation(1/10000) A Bringing a pet dog to hook, B Combination of dog's cry and heavy machine sound C Crossing the lid of the side groove with a car D Heavy machinery sound and shaking damped as snow removal work II intimidative action (1) Suspicion of field fury(1/100), (2)Suspicion of poisonous snake, (3) Masaaki's verbal abuse on the day when I was informed (early September 2016!?) (1/100000000) Having made remarks as "There is an idiot like you, so the atmosphere of the village gets worse, you are crazy, everyone in the village said so." (4)They ignored my 20170608 complaint form(1/10000) ★Situational evidence of intimidation 1 Abnormal attitude of villagers suggest(evidence 6, 7-1, 7-2) being the Surround-Network(1/100) 2 Neighbors' correspondence is also abnormal 3 What seems to be a moving unit of the siege net
Complaint K Intimidation by Kinuyo Hirohashi and her family	(gist) She is my aunt and neighborhood Illegality of defendants Having suggested the Surround-Network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions as follows I to II I Daily sound intimidation(1/10000) A Bringing pet dogs and cats to hook, B On / off sound of door key, C back door opening and closing sound D sound of metal that pretend to snow removal work, E violent sound as Dom II intimidation by action

(More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	<p>(1) Will not try to admitting that it is a fatal fault ignoring my withdrawal request unilaterally (2) That she will not return the principal of 2 million yen I delivered in 1997(1/10000) (3) What she did not listen to unfairness of her violent remarks(1/10000)</p> <p>The violent remark is that she repeated "We can not cancel the memorandum once we signed it." Recently in Japan, similar fraud commerce laws by organized crime groups have been rampant, and publicly well-known. Therefore, everyone knows that such remarks are completely denying the principle of self-governing which is the principle of self-determination of Article 1 of the Civil Code and the principle of Japanese civil law. Thus, normally people can not say such a thing. All of these words suggest that she will not treat me as human, that it intends to show the power of the Surround-Network by directing unfairness Kinuyo Hirohashi is my aunt and nearest neighbor and villager. In addition, they are unilaterally given a free residential right from me, I am a benefactor. Having made remarks denying my ownership as "Here is my field! Go out!"(1/10000) Taking into account such situations, her abnormal behavior is increasingly prominent.</p> <p>★Being Surround-Network 1 Abnormal attitude of villagers suggest(evidence 6, 7-1, 7-2) being the Surround-Network(1/100) 2 Association with sniper group(complaint B) is presumed, 3 The attitude of other neighbors are also abnormal 4 It seems that their house is being used as an aid of the Surround-Network on daily, 5 What seems to be a moving unit of the Surround-Network, 6 Knowing unknown information</p>
Complaint L Intimidation by Court	<p>(gist)This was a civil lawsuit in which I demanded a consolation fee due to illegal acts of MPD. Illegality of Maebashi District Court Numata branch Judge Yoshida Tatsuji Having tried baselessly to direct unfairness of his actions and Having suggested the Surround-network's power to intimidate my life by follows I and II I having made an unfair hearing overlooking the main point of view and prevented the exercise of my rights Also having prepared work to be described later I -A Replacing the contents of the three torts I claimed(1/10000), I -B Forcibly applied judicial precedents in different cases(1/10000) I -C Ignoring each Complaint that is part of the complaint of this time II having overlooked the criminality (1)My aunt Mariko Ohta's intimidative homicide (2) Full denial of the fact of visiting with Sawada (3) Denying the evidence power of leading data without basis (4) He have not mentioned the twice fatal accidents on affiliated taxi company (5) He have not mentioned on the existence of the Surround-Network III having overlooked remarkable inequity 1 remarkable bias of evidence power 2 Rejecting all 8 requests for my witness interrogation</p>
(More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	<p>Other unfairness of this lawsuit (1) having been made to change defendants (2)Suspicious exercise of votive rights to the fourth illegal act in 20171024 (3) Explanation of the presiding judge embracing the expectation of winning the case (4)the defendant's false did not reflect in confidence (5) Misuse of the existing litigation system on the record side stands out ★Illegality of the MPD is as follows I to III. I Having suggested the power of the Surround-Network by combining follows A and B A Unjustly Having ignored my damage report 2009, is illegal as it is at least negligent from (1) to (7) below (1) Ignoring is not regular handling(1/100), (2) Ignoring is a violation of foresee duty(1/100) (3) Infringement of the right to receive proper procedures (Article 13 of the Japanese Constitution). (4) It is discrimination and infringement of equal rights (Article 14 of the Constitution of Japan) and the discrimination provision of Article 2 or less of the Civil Code(1/100). (5) Because my appeal was always relief of emergency life crisis, it is the same as ignoring emergency calls, Infringement of the right of life of Article 13 of the Constitution of Japan, the intrinsic right to life of Article 6 of the Civil Code. (6) I requested a reply and deadline clearly(1/100), (7) There were 8 phenomena that a police could not have overlooked page3 Expansion of boycott campaign"The fact that the average daily sales value of the taxi company I belonged was 10% or more in width and continued to link with my work day (2006 - 2007)" etc. B Having killed my aunt of Mariko Ohta If we comprehensively judge A' and B', it's arbitrariness strongly suggests the intent of intimidation A' As above mentioned, the damage report2009 could not had ignored, but ignored(1/100000000) B' The reply deadline date of the report overlaps with the death date of Mrs. Mariko Ohta(1/10000) So instead of replying, they exposed her corpse and threw me the intention of silent intimidation as above. From this point on the contrary it is extremely strongly presumed that this was homicide and involvement in the homicide of the MPD.</p>
ComplaintM Intimidation by	<p>Illegality of Maebashi District Public Prosecutors Office("MDPPO") Takahashi, Ichikawa Having indicated the power as the Surround-network by preventing my complaints unfairly by the following I to III, these suggest intimidation to my life by producing the unfairness I Would not try to recognize criminality as follows 1 to 4 (1/10000) 1 Having confined my appeals using sophistry (1) Having repeated a reply as "It is not the stage to judge the arbitrariness yet" (2) Having repeated the remark as "We are not saying that we will not accept" 2 Avoided judging the arbitrariness of existence of the Surround-Network(1/100) 3 There were the strong concern for violation of public interest</p>

prosecutor (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	<p>4 They would not have met with the prosecutor in charge(1/100) II extreme examples of preventing my prosecution as follows 1 to 4</p> <p>1 The fact that MDPPO repaid my complaint every time for the total of five times in the same illegal sentence, and they would not improve it even if I protested(1/10000) Most importantly, this is exactly the type of intimidation that I have been appealing in the past. "Repeating abnormal blatant intimidation is characteristic and traditional of how to demonstrate the power of the Surround-Network."</p> <p>2 Refusing to accept my complaints unreasonably 3 Unfairly protested against replacement or addition of my Complaints(1/10) 4 Interference of recording(1/100) III would not try to give me any legal suggestion · would not try to answer the basis of their responsibilities(1/10) · would not try to answer the presentation request of alternative means(1/10) · would not try to mention the application theory of my Complaints at all(1/100)</p>
(1)Consistently ignoring by related organizations (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	<p>(1) Ignoring consistently and unfairly by each agency (2009 ~)(1/100000000) The important thing is that these are aimed at me and the chronological and organizational consistency of their correspondence. As consequently, these institutions are all the Surround-Network.</p>
(2)Rejection of underwriting by attorneys (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	<p>Attorney is the primary private legal expert that can be used by ordinary people as a proxy for litigation in Japan. Even so, all 12 attorneys I met refused my request for work. It goes without saying that these are obstacles to complaints as the Surround-Network. I think this clearly violates the provision that Article 1 of the Attorney Act, "A attorney is responsible as mission to protect the basic human rights and to realize social justice. They will not try to give me any suggestions. (1/100) 12th power</p>
(3)Intimidation by villagers (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	<p>★ Abnormal attitude of villagers suggest being the Surround-Network(evidence 6, 7-1, 7-2) 20170212 20: 16 At the end of the rally at the district center I emphasized the risk of other villagers getting involved in hunting gun intimidation cases and proposed to everyone a resolution to cut out from the area of the sniper group. Yet Mr. Michio Suzuki continued to make baseless disturbing remarks in the presence of the public saying "It is not such a thing to speak here", eventually interrupting (evidence 6). (1/10000) 20170416 At around 20:30, I began to introduce the case of a criminal investigation by Saito postman at the end of the rally at the district center. Even so, Keiko Ishii said "It is not such a thing to speak here", Since she made a disturbing remark in the face of the public without base, and another villager also stood one after another(1/100), which eventually ceased (Evidence 7- 1, 7-2). (1/10000) It is a strict fact that there were an abnormal shooting should be called a sniping or an unconscious residence invasion should be called intimidation, so no one can deny other inhabitants also may be dangerous. And, It is natural that sharing safety information as it was the basis of group since ancient times. Nevertheless, denying it in the face of public, and nobody else who was present not blaming it(1/100), was an extremely abnormal allergic reaction, so I think everyone confessed they were all in the Surround-Network.</p>
(4)Two fatal accidents in taxi (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	<p>①a death accident occurring in a company with no fatal accident for over 60 years(1/100), ②collision with a single vehicle in the form of a right turn versus straight ahead (1/10), ③death accident of the same form repeating(1/10000), ④it repeats within 2 years (1/100), ★ The company owns 300 units</p>
(5)Two data in taxi driver days (Complaint A) (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	<p>The boycott campaign of the Surround-Network to me at that time made the night metropolitan to become a crisis. Japan 's GDP at the time should have been affected. In other words, it was well-known fact and it was "inconvenient truth" for the Surround-Network. The following data described Damage Report 2009 indicates clearly it. I strongly feel a causal relationship between these social conditions, the above-mentioned two fatal accidents, and my aunt's homicide.</p> <p><u>① The fact that the average daily sales value of the taxi company I belonged was 10% or more in width and continued to link with my work day (2006 - 2007)</u> It seems that these two variables were almost perfectly correlated. In other words, the statistical causal relationship is clear. As described in complaint A, this was the result of the boycott campaign. Particularly about two months when diametrically interlocking continued, it is too blatant about children (about 1/100000000).</p> <p><u>② Abnormally low actual occupancy rate(expecially 2009) compared with other drivers</u> The actual occupancy rate is the ratio of the distance traveled with passengers on the total mileage and is generally proportional to sales. There are not too many individual differences. Especially 2009 was remarkable, and in the months before retirement the rate was less than one third of the average continued. This number is quite abnormal in the industry. (Approximately 1/100000000)</p>
(6)Consistent ignoring of accusing (More than 99.99%)	<p>(5) Every appeals titled "it's big scoop" of intimidative homicide to concerned organizations by e-mail are all ignored (around 2009. 2. 25, evidence 1) The destination was the National Police Agency, Human Rights Counsel, Asahi-Shimbun, Yomiuri-Shimbun, Mainichi- Shimbun, Shinchosha, Shincyosha, etc. There is no obligation to act on the press, but it is quite suspicious because it should be a content which can not be ignored on the basis of the nature of occupation(1/10000).</p>

arbitrariness)	
(7) Many records of unlikely sounds (100% arbitrariness)	(6) Many recordings of abnormal sound(2007 ~, evidence 4, 5, 98) For example, trembling of extremely violent window glasses like large earthquakes has been recorded twice (20171027 09: 27, 20180402 11: 30). Immediately after that, I confirmed to Minakami-machi, but the earthquake is not recorded. Helicopters or planes did not pass by before or after this. In other words, since these are completely unexplainable phenomena, investigation agencies can not overlook them. Nevertheless, I reported to Numata police station many times, but it is all unjustly ignored.
(8) Daily wandering of suspicious another prefectural numbers (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	Daily wandering of suspicious another prefectural numbers(evidence 79)Prefectural Car Numbers List Also, there are no famous sightseeing spots nearby, so there is no necessity to choose as a route, it is suspicious(1/100). The cars have increased conspicuously since the beginning of 2017 when I began prosecution activities in earnest. After starting to take this record, the number of appearances has drastically decreased since then(1/100). It is clear that these are demos and bashing by the Surround-Network.
(9) Suspicious phenomenons (More than 99.99% arbitrariness)	①always phantom phenomenon (1/10000), ②read the document being entered in real time (1/10000), ③suspicious phenomenon in net Go(1/100000000)

Evidence manual

Number or ID	Title	Media	Gist
1 (Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	2009.2.24 5:22 E-mail transmission records	copy	About 2009.2.25, I sent e-mail to each concerned organization, but were all ignored. I was emphasizing the relationship between the Metropolitan Police Department and the intimidative homicide in the title of "This is a big scoop." The each destination was the National Police Agency, human rights counsel, and three major newspaper companies, Shinchosha, Shunjyusha company, etc., and particularly it was extremely suspicious professionally that the each mass communications were all unresponsive.
2(Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	2009.3.3 21:25 E-mail transmission records	copy	Here was that "I visited Higashimurayama police station/Sawada today," and also described an overview of the visit.
3 (Intimidative homicide) Complaint A 3PPD common	2016.6.6 Content-Certificate -Mail(two kinds)	copy	In 2016.6.6, I sent a content certification mail to the following 6 institutions from the Maebashi Central Post Office located in 1-6-5 Jyoto-chou Maetobashi-shi, Gunma Prefecture. The address name was Chief Cabinet Secretary, Minister of Justice, Chief of PPO, Chief of MPD, Chief of SPPD, Chief of GPPD total of 6, and emphasized the criminality in the limited space and requested to investigate. Investigation Request 1 was intimidative homicide(complaint A), and Investigation Request 2 was hunting gun intimidation(complaint B), and I sent them which is applicable to each PD concerned. (There was only a formal answer from the Public Prosecutors Office "We remand it because your purpose is unknown")
4 common	part of abnormal sounds surrounding me, "Odoro sound"	USB-memory	It can only be expressed as "a intimidative sound". At least not the life sound, and its arbitrariness is obvious. It seems to be a reproduction of synthesized sound. It almost continues repeating the strength while throughout my sleeping. Naturally, I has reported to Numata police, but they totally ignored.
5 common	main of abnormal sounds surrounding me	USB-memory	There are many sharp sounds in either case, neither life nor natural sound. I call this a laser-gun, but I think it is a sound by a special tool or device. It's origin of name is psychic meaning.
6 common	20170212 20:16 Recording of district rally Part 1 (The scene of abnormal villagers)	USB-memory	★ Abnormal attitude of villagers suggest being the Surround-Network 20170212 20: 16 At the end of the rally at the district center(3034Kamimoku Minakami-machi), I explained the outline of the hunting gun intimidation case and I emphasized that the other residents would be put in danger, and I proposed to cut out the sniper group from this district, though Michio Suzuki said "That's not the story here!" in the face of the public and after that he obstructed my remarks unfairly, and more the other inhabitants leaved one after another, and my remarks eventually discontinued. As soon as I began talking, Tokio Kobayashi came home with "I will go home before". It is a strict fact that there were an abnormal shooting should be called a sniping, so no one can deny other inhabitants also may be dangerous. And, It is natural that sharing safety information as it was the basis of group since ancient times. Nevertheless, denying it in the face of public, and nobody else who was present not blaming it, was an extremely abnormal allergic reaction, so I think everyone confessed they were all in the Surround-Network.
7-1 Common	20170416 Recording of district rally Part 2	USB-memory	★ Abnormal attitude of villagers suggest being the Surround-Network ② 20170416 At around 19:15 at the beginning of the rally at the district center(3034Kamimoku Minakami-machi), I was restarting the proposal interrupted at the last rally, then Keiko Ishii said "Please do Such story after the main themes have been done!", in this way she interfered my remarks in front of the public.
7-2	①19:08 ②20:18 (The scene of abnormal villagers)		③ On the same day 20170416 20:30, at the end of the same rally at the same center, I began introducing the case example of the Saito mailman's crime, then Keiko Ishii said, "That's not the story here!", she disrupted my remarks without grounds in front of the public, and more Masaharu Suzuki said, "That's enough and let's go home!" in the way the other inhabitants leaved one after another, eventually my remarks discontinued again. It is that Keiko Ishii imitated it soon, in other words, she suggested "everyone will not admit your speaking right" in front of the public. This is a very hostile attitude and I think it is a battle decree with the preparedness to be sued for naturally. Her intention of harboring is also clear because her remark directly interfered with the introduction of Saito's case. This is exactly the same as Mr. Michio Suzuki at the previous meeting. These are undemocratic and are my entire personality denial and, destroy villager relationships built up to date at once, it is impossible behavior. It is also suspicious that the attendees did not try to stop it.
8	Damage Report2009	printed	I stated on the first page that "Please respond in writing in one month on the response policy of this case", and also described the eight items that

(Intimidative homicide) Complaint A The MPD		base paper	<p><u>the police cannot overlook as responsibilities.</u></p> <p>(1) top page specified as the infringement of portrait rights (net crime) and clarification of business distinction</p> <p>(2) top page Specified that there are unspecified large numbers of suspects and the entire area of metropolitan</p> <p>(3) page3 Everyday face pass (that is, escape of passengers raising hands)</p> <p>(4) page3 Expansion of boycott campaign "The fact that the average daily sales value of the taxi company I belonged was 10% or more in width and continued to link with my work day (2006 - 2007)"</p> <p>(5) page10 daily low actual vehicle rate (especially 2009) compared to other drivers</p> <p>(6) page12 Interruption caused by continuous large vehicles when merging highways (intimidation · attempted murder · dangerous driving)</p> <p>(7) page 16 Center line over by oncoming vehicle (intimidation · attempted murder · dangerous driving)</p> <p>(8) page17 Surrounding wandering by policemen</p>
9 (Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	Mail etc. receipt (customer note) 2009.1.19 10:19	base paper	The fact that the Nerima Post Office accepted the damage report as a simple registered mail on this day.
10(Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	Certificate of mail etc delivery 107-36-35304-0	base paper	The fact that the simple registered mail of the preceding paragraph was delivered.
11 (Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	2017.5.1 10:37 On call to the MPD Shishido (inquiry)	USB-memory	<p><u>Tel 042-393-0110 On call from my home to Higashimurayama police station, Shishido said that it was decided to keep the damage report at the jurisdictional office of the place where the criminal fact described was occurred, so if I did not describe, they cannot find it.</u></p> <p><u>In response to this, I requested that you first look for him, as Sawada is an organization unless it is a pseudonym.</u></p> <p><u>I clearly told him that I explained the contents of the damage report and requested Sawadato investigate the truth of intimidative homicide.</u></p> <p><u>At this time, he said "It will take time to answer", but then there was no contact until 2017.10.03 10:14 I called him again.</u></p> <p>This shows the consistency of the MPD, which firmly ignores my appeal. I think that their recognition of criminality is abnormal.</p>
12 (Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	2017.10.02 13:49 Telephone recording with the MPD· Sato	USB-memory	<p><u>On call from my home to the MPD Headquarter, it seems the inspection room does not exist currently, so handed over to this section as I designated.</u></p> <p><u>2017.10.02 13: 49 The MPD Public Relations Section Hearing Officer Sato, I explained that the Higashimurayama Police station was completely denying the fact that ④ I met with Sawada at there at 2009.3.3 In the afternoon, and I asked him if there was any way to prove the facts of visiting.</u></p> <p><u>However, he replied "Since it is an old story, there is no such a method, ask to the station."</u></p> <p><u>And although it is the MPD, he said "This is not an investigative institution", even with respect to the criminality of full denial, "I will not be a crime, what kind of crime will it be?", and more he ignored though I explained it.</u></p>
13(Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	2017.10.03 10:14 On call to the MPD Shishido	USB-memory	<p><u>On call from my home to Higashimurayama police station, since I did not get any reply for the 2017.5.1 10:37 inquiry until this day, I called.</u></p> <p><u>Shishid seems to had wanted to say, "I did, but could not know" without examining anything. I think his recognition of criminality is abnormal.</u></p>
14 (Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	2017.10.03 10:33 On call to the MPD · Nishikata	USB-memory	<p><u>22 2017.10.03 10:33 on call from my home to the MPD Headquarter, I asked Human Resources Section 2 Nishikata to investigate Sawada who I want to contact with him.</u></p> <p><u>Despite I requested that he surely report to me regardless of the findings during the same month, he ignored this promise unfairly even though he accepted this(Evidence 14). This is of course a breach of the faith belief. It also shows the consistency of attitude of determined ignoring.</u></p>
15 (Intimidative homicide) Complaint A (Court) Complaint M	Maebashi District Court Numata branch Heisei 29 (Wa) No. 26 consolation fee claim case 20170905 "Response Report"	copy	<p><u>Please pay attention to the Facts validity of the MPD. ① Regarding damage report and Sawada visit, "That is unknown", that is denial.</u></p> <p><u>②The MPD said that the content certificate mail was sent to the Higashimurayama station at 20160610, and it was not accepted that "It seems unknown that the purpose of the request and kind of crime", so they concluded it.</u></p> <p><u>In addition, they says "After examining the 20110501 inquiry on call, we answered that it was not applicable", but this is falsehood.</u></p>
16 (Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	Maebashi District Court Numata branch Heisei29 (Wa) No. 26 consolation fee	copy	<p>Summary of judgment sentence There is a translation of the whole sentence separately from this.</p> <p>Plaintiff's argument is that illegal acts that Police did not investigate any of the following 1 to 3.</p> <p>A 20090118 Submission of Damage Report · · · "Since it is old , they can't confirm it, but I also can't see what Plaintiff want to say".</p> <p>B 20090303 An appeal of intimidation murder by Sawada visit · · · "Since it is old , they can't confirm it".</p>

(Court) Complaint M	claim case 20171212 "Judgment document"		C 2016.6.6 Content proof mail . . factual, but I seem that, "It is unsure what kind of criminal act is pointed out". In the first place, the investigation is done with public benefit priority, and its benefit is only reflex profit, so it can not be said immediately that the infringement of legally protected profits. Therefore, as our court judge that there is no room for illegality as long as the investigation is a legitimate business practice, we dismiss the claim of the plaintiff by following the exemplified cases.
17(Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	Saitama newspaper news articles around2009.3.22 ~ 24	copy	It is a newspaper report when Urawanishi police arrested Tomonobu Isezaki as a criminal offense in 2009.3.13. It is over ten days from arrest to coverage. According to reports, decisive factor of the arrest was a security camera of a restaurant near the site, that it was a traffic accident when truck turning left, he ran away because he was afraid of losing his job.
18-1 18-2 (Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	①2017.5.1 10:56 On call to the SPPD · Nimura (inquiry) ②2017.5.1 12:39 On call from the SPPD · Nagase(reply)	USB-memory	①2017.5.1 10:56 On call from my home to the UrawaNishi police station/Criminal Section Nimura, though I explained enough about the fatal accident of Mrs. Mariko Ohta, she did not hand it over at all to Traffic Section. It is suspicious as the criminal section that she abandoned judgment on the serious criminality and succeeded machinally to the Transportation section. This is just like sending back to the perpetrator, so it is extremely unfair. ②2017.5.1 12: 39 on call from the station to my home, Urawanishi police Transportation Section Nagase did not reply to what I appealed but answered without any basis, saying that "Anyway It had already completed ". Both of them think abnormal recognition of criminality.
19 Complaint A (Intimidative homicide) The SPPD	2017.10.02 11:35 On call to the SPPD · Kakuta	USB-memory	2017.10.02 11:35 On call from my home to the SPPD headquarters/consultation center Kakuta, while I pointed out that the method of camouflaging the death of my aunt would be false of the findings of the cause of death of the dead body inspection paper, she said " why can you say so without confirming it?" It is impossible for me to claim for disclosure of the document because I'm not her bereaved family. Although I asked for a report on this my protest, then she ignored it unfairly (Evidence 19).
20(Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	2017.10.02 13:24 On call from my home to the SPPC	USB-memory	On call from my home to the Saitama Prefecture Public Safety Commission/Secretariat/?, their office, I explained to Mr. Koyanagi the outline of intimidative homicide of Mariko Ohta and predicted the submission of a complaint application form.
21(Intimidative homicide) Complaint A	2017.10.06 11:21 On call to the SPPD Headquarters ·?	USB-memory	On call from my home to the SPPD/Information Disclosure Center/?, I confirmed that the dead body inspection paper is a document that I cannot request for disclosure.
22 common	2017.04.07 12:19 Conversation with 4 policemen	USB-memory	④2017.04.07 12:19 at the Numata post office , I confirmed that the receipt sign of the delivery certificate was not my handwriting and I reported it to the Numata police station soon. four policemen came running, so I requested Makishima and others to verify the site of the crime of Saito, but they did not the verify after all.
23 common	2017.04.14 11:18 Conversation with Numata policeman/?	USB-memory	2017.4.14 11:18 at Numata police station, I submitted Complaints A, B ,C to the criminal section/?. It is important he said "We are considering whether to accept or not" without showing any basis and they would not try to receive the base paper of new one.
24 common	2017.04.24 16:04 On call to the Numata Police/?	USB-memory	2017.4.24 16: 04 at Numata police, I inquired about the processing status of complaints of A, B ,C submitted at there on 2017.4.8 to the criminal section ?, and waited for reply (evidence 24)but it ignored unfairly.
25 common	2017.9.28 14:13 On call to the Numata Police Station/Criminal Division/?	USB-memory	2017.9.28 14:13 In the call from my home to Numata police Criminal Affairs Section, since Kawata was out, I asked to ? as follows, but yet no reply. (1) I asked him "Why did two policemen who were not judicial police officers came at 2017.8.15 18: 04 on-site verification?, it is suspicious for my report (requesting on-site verification of the crime)", then he replied that Makishima is judicial police officer. Thus, Makishima seems to have lied to refuse acceptance of my Complaint. (2)I asked about the correspondence situation of the total of 8 complaints submitted(evidence 25), as he said "If you do not tell me, I will not check it.", so I asked him confirm to the receiver first. (3) I told that the young policeman came my home at 2017.8.15 repeatedly said "What is rational investigation?", so that a policeman will serve.
26 common	2017.10.03 10:50 On call to the GPPSC/ Jinno	USB-memory	On call from my home to the Gunma Prefecture Public Safety Commission/Secretary/ Jinno, I reviewed the overview and confirmed the procedure. I asked a method of directly requesting for "instruction for monitoring etc." of Article 43 besides complaint application of police law Article 79. Since he told he would like to file a notification in accordance with Article 79 complaint application, I foretold the sending at a later date.
27-1	2017.10.03	USB-memory	2 3 2017.10.03 15:20 on phone from my home to Numata police, Takada answered the phone instead of the chief I designated.

27-2 common	①14:53 ②15:20 On call to the Numata Police · Takada		① I asked him to ask Yanaoka and Cloywa of the Arbitrariness especially the fur of the big boar cannot be doubted. ② About the complaint C and complaint D, I asked why they will not start investigation although each illegality is apparent.
28 common	2017.10.04 15:45 On call to the Numata Police · Takada	USB-memory	2017.10.04 15:45 On call from my home to the station, ignoring the interactions in the preceding paragraph, Numata police Takada said as " Isn't it nonsense to accuse Numata policemen to Numata police station, is it? ". I also asked him to change the phone for asking a viewpoint of the chief, but he illegally rejected it (Evidence 28).
29 common	2017.10.05 10:39 On call to the Numata Police · Takada	USB-memory	On call from my home to the station, nevertheless I nominated the chief, Takada came out again . Even if asked to connect to the chief, he ignored unjustly "there is no reason to connect." He did not answer even I asked his department. If so, I would ask the receiver who first got on the phone, he also ignored it unfairly.
30 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2015.1.27(Tu)13:49 A scene of small wild boar	USB-memory	It was two at the evening of the day, but since I did not have a video, it was taken at this timing the next day. Please pay attention to the fact that the original place is more than 20 m away from the way almost at right angle.
31 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2015.2.3 First document to Numata Police St	printed base paper	<u>It is a parallel line between me who asserts intimidation and Yanaoka · Kuroiwa who denies artifacts without any grounds on the matter of sniper, bloodstain or corpse of small wild boar.</u> <u>As the two unreasonable decisions it shows organizational harboring derived from the intimidative homicide described of the Metropolitan Police Department. To intend to prosecute two persons in the near future.</u>
32 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2015.2.9 Second document to Numata Police St	printed base paper	<u>(Abstract) Emphasized the concealment of intimidative homicide by the MPD and SPPD and strongly requested repeatedly investigation by the GPPD.</u> <u>In addition, I pointed out the suspicious points of the hunting gun intimidation case again, requested review of their judgment.</u>
33-1, 33-2 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	①2015.2.21 16:08 Clinging by the group ②2015.2.11 13:37 their car numbers	USB-memory	<u>It is Takahashi Kazutoshi of the leader of the sniper group that is reflected. Just before sunset he appeared on my way back to sabo dam. It is a blatant visit.</u> <u>Although he said that there is a business on the person fishing at the dam, if it is true, why he will not go to the dam by car is suspicious.</u> <u>It is the number of three cars blatantly parked into the center of the village.</u>
34 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2015.3.20 Third document to Numata Police St	printed base paper	<u>(Abstract) Emphasized arbitrariness about the main issue of hunting gun threatening case, requested review of their irrational judgment.</u> <u>In addition, I emphasized the harboring of the intimidative homicide by the MPD and SPPD again, requested repeatedly investigation by GPPD, and I asked for answers within 10 days to these, but there is no answer yet.</u>
35-1, 35-2 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	①2015.3.27 18:16 ②2015.3.28 09:02 A image of big wild boar	USB-memory	<u>Please pay attention to the fact that the fur is artificially tanned cleanly like a rug as the image shows.</u> <u>What will they do with it's head and bones taken away? A hunter will not do it ordinary.</u> <u>It is important that the former place was more than 20 meters away from the way almost at right angles.</u> <u>If there was a legitimate reason for leaving corpse at first, there is no reason to take away and dissect it since more than two months later.</u>
36 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	20170926 14:30 On call to the Numata Police/Kawata	USB-memory	<u>I nominated the chief but the Numata Police Station/Criminal Affairs Division/Chief Kawata insteadly came out.</u> <u>Numata police station change strangers every time as rugby tackle to return the story to the projector.</u> <u>I explained the main points of concern about hunting gun intimidation case and asked for answer as Numata station.</u>
37 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2017.09.27 11:43 (14:00) gunshot	USB-memory	<u>I can not find it a gunshot or not. Actually it is around 14:00.</u> <u>This accidentally mixed when I was recording it because the sound bashing from large vehicles were terrible during my shipping work.</u> <u>Soon after the large vehicle passed by, the exhaust brake has been applied three times. It seems that meaning contempt like a human spitting.</u>
38 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2017.10.02 14:24 On call to the Numata Police/Kawata	USB-memory	<u>Despite actually waiting for answers, he handled it as a consultation record as I convinced, and he was trying to change the memory of my past days cleverly.</u> <u>Both Yanaoka and Kuroiwa who were in charge of site verification on hunting gun intimidation are currently not affiliated with Numata station, though they can not tell me their current affiliation, nevertheless he would not interrogating the items pointed out to the two persons. It is extremely unfair.</u>
39 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2017.10.05 10:44 On call to the GPPD/ Watanabe	USB-memory	<u>2017.10.05 10:44 in the call, GPPD headquarters/inspection department/ Watanabe whom I talked about hunting gun intimidation case and insisted on concealment by Numata police, "If Numata station judged there was no incidents, exactly so, isn't it? "he so replied and unjustly ignored this.</u>
40 (Hunting-gun intimidation)	2017.10.07 10:34 (10:50)gunshot	USB-memory	<u>Actually around 10:50. I convinced that this is a gunshot because a clear air sound is pulling the tail. It is recording at bed. It should be during the harvest period and it is suspicious. If it is a playback sound, the intention of intimidation will become clearer.</u>

Complaint B			
41 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2017.10.07 12:48 Emergency call to Numata st/Hagiwara	USB-memory	<u>The gunshot as above section and the several consecutive gunshots in early previous month were reported, and I emphasized the criminality of what seems to be additional intimidation by the sniper group and requested an investigation because it is still in the anti-hunting period, so it's suspicious.</u>
42 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2017.10.09 06:23 Numerous shooting sounds	USB-memory	<u>These are daily since with many recordings since the hunting gun intimidation. It seems to be an imitation aiming to reminisce of sniper. Especially in the summer morning when harvesting vegetables in the field(Kamimoku3598-1 etc), it lasts at intervals of about 10 seconds. Because the number of sounds is very large, it seems to be a reproduction sound. While repeating strengths, sometimes they are continuous. I recorded this at my bed(Kamimoku3158-1), and it was heard from around Kannondo. Since Kannondo and the field are separated by about 2 km or more, I think the sound sources are different. I have searched around several times that seems to be a sound source, but it is also suspicious that will stop whenever I approach. I have informed Numata police many times, but it is all ignored.</u>
43 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2017.10.22 15:33 gunshot	USB-memory	<u>The fourth gunshot in the heavy rain of the typhoon. There is a suspicious preliminary operation sound at the timing of the iron, and it can not be heard if it is a real gunshot, so these are the replay. If it is a replay sound the intent of intimidation is still obvious. The recorder's date is one month before due to my mistake.</u>
44-1 44-2 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	①2017.10.27 15:02 ②2017.10.27 15:54 Conversation with Numata police station/Noguchi	USB-memory	<u>GPPD headquarters sent me a "decision notice not clearly indicating the existence of public documents" against my public disclosure request at 2017.10.07 because there are privacy included, so I resubmitted as " Disclosure Request of private document" to Numata police station/police section. In short, my request was disclosure of all correspondence of Numata station concerning the hunting gun intimidation. 2017.10.27 15:02 At Numata station, I reported to the police section/ Noguchi about unfairly ignoring the gunshot report of 2017.10.07 12:48 to them, and the fact of 2017.10.22 New gunshot at 15:33, so I want to ask the opinion of the chief, but they ignored these unfairly later.</u>
45 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2018.01.09 14:54 On call to the anti-beast/Tamura	USB-memory	<u>I confirmed they have done nothing to the hunting community as a town, and have not received any inquiries from the police until now.</u>
46 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2018.01.11 15:57 conversation and an image with a hunter	USB-memory	<u>The snapped place is Ishigami Pass where the highest altitude on this prefectural road and the turning point of my walk. He was I have met once(name unknown), and he said "If you say so, you will not be opposed by anyone," as if he had well known me. He seems not to be sniper group, but it is an imitation ambush of them, which shows the power as the Surround-Network.</u>
47 (Postmen) Complaint C	Inquiry number 1399-4252-9661 Delivery Certificate	copy	<u>The handwriting of my receipt sign is the focus. One can not imitate it easily because the writing pressure is very high. Brushes are also often broken during tooth brushing.</u>
48 (Postmen) Complaint C	2017.10.30 16:18 On call to the Numata Post Office/Ohfushi	USB-memory	<u>2017.10.30 16:18 On phone, I asked Ohfushi to reply to ⑤ above, but he replied "I can not answer". It was confirmed that there was no basis by this. Ohfushi seems to have lied earning time for the counterfeiting of receipt sign.</u>
49 (Keiko Ishii) Complaint D	With2017.2.13 Receipt issued by Keiko Ishii	copy	<u>for the 2 year membership fee of Bodaiji that Ishii Keiko issued. I paid this when the Sabo dam construction presentation meeting at the district center in February of the year. At the transition I announced her "I do not want anyone to be visited my home" as a reason for this unprecedented prepayment.</u>
50 (Keiko Ishii) Complaint D	2017.04.29 19:38 Call recording with Keiko Ishii	USB-memory	<u>Keiko Ishii clearly answered "Yes, I put it" about the invasion of my home on that day.</u>
51 (Keiko Ishii) Complaint D	2017.8.15 18:04 Conversation with Numata police station Makishima and the other	USB-memory	<u>2017.8.15 18:04 At my home, Numata police station/Makishima and the other who came by my report, but they ignored the Complaint that I explained on-site, and more they ignored my protests unfairly and went back without doing the on-site verification requested. About 18:30 on the same day at my house, Makishima refused acceptance of the complaint I tried to submit on the spot, using false reasons as an identity fraud, that they do not have the right to accept. 2017.9.28 14:13 Numata police Criminal Affairs Division/? in a call from my home, testified that Makishima was a judicial police officer and was authorized to accept a complaint.</u>

52 (Net shop) Complaint E	20170424 Yamato Transport delivery slip	copy	Isamu Kitamura's YAMATO TRANSPORT · Invoice number 2772-1778-1724, there is a note of "Decline acceptance not ordered".
53 (Net shop) Complaint E	12 e-mail transmission records with four orderers	printed base paper	<u>The three people other than Isamu Kitamura were lost contact soon after I requested the change of payment method.</u> <u>This is a very suspicious course and it is clear that they had no purchase intention from the beginning.</u>
54(Human Rights Volunteer) Complaint F	20170131Request for relief to Human Rights Volunteer/Ishizaka	printed base paper	<u>I outlined the causal relationship between intimidative homicide and hunting-gun intimidation and harboring of each prefectural police concerned, and</u> <u>I outlined disturbance of business due to price operation of shipping staff concerned, and more various abnormal sounds.</u> <u>I asked as measures for a setting of the interview that gathered people concerned.</u>
55(Human Rights Volunteer) Complaint F	20170215 Destination Documents to them	printed base paper	<u>I urged the committee's response in the title of confirming the violation of the human rights of my offer and asking for a setting of the interview</u> <u>by a stakeholder a week later.</u>
56(Human Rights Volunteer) Complaint F	20170303 report (draft) addressed to the Minister of Justice I created	printed base paper	<u>(Abstract) I made an inquiry to investigate the fact based on the basis law, but the three Prefectural Police had not replied. Since it seems to be</u> <u>the same situation as requested, so we can not overlook it, we wish full-scale investigation by the authority of the Minister of Justice.</u> <u>I submit it at town hall to the welfare section on this day with "Please do as this promptly if you do not find problems about it."</u>
57(Human Rights Volunteer) Complaint F	20170308 14:16 Conversation with Ishizaka, Takahashi	USB-memory	<u>⑥20170308 14: 16 At the Minakami Town hall, when I met Ishizaka, he told he did not know the plan in the previous section yet, so I requested to read</u> <u>it soon and respond promptly.</u> <u>In addition, I told him to contact me as I want to have conference about the plan, but Ishizaka had no response after that.</u>
58(Human Rights Volunteer) Complaint F	20170315 13:12 Conversation with Takahashi	USB-memory	<u>They were busy with Congress the week. Though I asked "Why will not it run according to the 20170303report(draft)? Where is the problem?", it was an</u> <u>unclear reply. Anyway, I requested to respond promptly.</u>
59(Human Rights Volunteer) Complaint F	20170426 10:40 Conversation recording for Uchida	USB-memory	<u>I emphasized the duty of The Human Rights Volunteer based on their law, but he said the secretariat says there is no need to response.</u> <u>I requested that the Volunteer be the protagonist to be conscious of it.</u>
60 (Minakami-town) Complaint G	20101130attend Objection written to Minakami-town	printed base paper	<u>I appealed that the consistent ignoring by the MPD is, so to speak, a default against tax, so I have no reason to pay the tax at that time, and I asked</u> <u>as the responsibility of the tax authorities oversight, why did not they check the facts of petition and asked why they would not guide any remedy measures</u> <u>as administrative services?</u>
61 (Minakami-town) Complaint G	2017.01.23 15:29 Document to Minakami/Harasawa	printed base paper	<u>At town hall, I outlined the causal relationship between the hunting-gun intimidation and the intimidative homicide to the General Affairs Manager/Harasawa,</u> <u>and requested the setting of the interview gathered officials concerned and requested their demonstration of administrative functions.</u> <u>In addition, he also appealed the actual state of harassment by the sound of a town's snowplow.</u>
62 (Minakami-town) Complaint G	2017.01.23 15:29 Conversation with Minakami/Harasawa	USB-memory	<u>2017.01.23 15: 29 At the Minakami Town Hall, I emphasized the risk to the General Affairs Manager/Harasawa that other residents will be involved in</u> <u>the intimidation of the sniper group, that is, the possibility of infringement of public interest, and requested to regulate the sniper group as town,</u> <u>and requested to control the Numata police as a tax collection agency but they subsequently ignored it unfairly.</u>
63 (Minakami-town) Complaint G	2017.10.16 9:48 On call with Minakami/Harasawa	USB-memory	<u>2017.10.16 9:48 In my call from my home to Harasawa, I emphasized again that I am in crisis of life due to the ignorance of the police, asking the town</u> <u>to take any response and early disclosure of the history of special cases.</u> <u>In addition, I pointed out that I feel a big fault of the town in correspondence about the human rights defense commissioner and the welfare section,</u> <u>so that I want to ask the opinion of the new mayor(evidence 63).</u>
64 (Minakami-town) Complaint G	2017.10.23 10:25 On call with Minakami/Harasawa	USB-memory	<u>2017.10.23 10:25 In my call from my home to Harasawa , I told that I heard 4 suspicious gunshots in heavy rain around my house at 2017.10.22 15:33,</u> <u>so I requested him to confirm it to be the special case or not. I also requested the disclosure of the history of special cases as pending.</u>
65 (Minakami-town) Complaint G	2017.10.23 11:04 On call with Minakami/Harasawa	USB-memory	<u>2017.10.23 11: 04 In my call to my home, Harasawa answered that there are special cases of monkeys 10/1 to 12/31, deers and wild boars 10/1 to 11/13.</u> <u>As I felt that I was ignoring, I strongly protested him "Is it okay for the other inhabitants to be damaged?, You should naturally not to bring the</u> <u>sniper groups closer to our town." (Evidence 65), but they ignored this unfairly.</u>

66 (Minakami-town) Complaint G	2017.10.24 15:20 On call with anti-beast/Ishida	USB-memory	<p>2017.10.22 15:33 on call from my home to the center, I asked him to confirm whether there was a fighter among those who requested as a special case or not. I emphasized that I felt the crisis of life, so want him to investigate in a hurry.</p>
67 (Minakami-town) Complaint G	2017.10.30 15:13 anti-beast/Tamura principal(on call)	USB-memory	<p>It was said that the person concerned was not found about the inquiry mentioned above. That means either illegal firing or replay sound. Also, it was said that past history of special exception was already handed over to Harasawa.</p>
68 (Price operation) Complaint H	2017.10.16 10:16 On call with The JATN/Umezawa sales manager	USB-memory	<p>On phone from my home to his office at Syowa Village, I insisted against Mr. Tomizawa insisted on quality as the reason for separately treating only my portion at the shipment of 20160727 Zucchini, since I have received little warning by him in the past and there was the other shipper who should be marked, so it is unnatural and unfair. I asked for the provision of the market detail of vegetables and fruits as backing document of price operation. Specifically, for zucchini and makomodake, all the past shipment date, for eggplants over the past 4 years including this year</p>
69 (Price operation) Complaint H	2017.10.19 11:11 meeting with the JATN Umezawa, Tomizawa	USB-memory	<p>A meeting with two people at my home. Regarding the case where 400 yen has continued, since the price of vegetables can be largely influenced by the change in preference (boom), they can not conclude that price operation had done, but in any case as we agricultural cooperatives, it is difficult to claim each market Due to comprehensive consignment. In the structure of relative, each medium wholesaler is in each wholesale market and It seems that there are naturally cases where competition occurs. We prepared as much as possible past data requested for the past, but because they think it is not appropriate to give it to one individual, we will install it at the shipping station so I shall see it freely.</p>
70 (Price operation) Complaint H	2017.10.25 17:10 On call with the JATN/Umezawa	USB-memory	<p>On phone from my home to his office at Syowa Village, I requested that if the data in the preceding paragraph does not contain price data before the start of my farming, I wish to add it by all means.</p>
71 (Price operation) Complaint H	2017.11.06 11:32 On call with the JATN/Umezawa	USB-memory	<p>On phone from his office at Syowa Villageto my home, he told me the data requested for additional provision has been retroacted to some extent so that it will be installed at the shipping station.</p>
72(Human Rights Counselors) Complaint I	2017.05.01 16:00 Numata branch/Fukuda principal	USB-memory	<p>20170501 16:00 In the call from my home to the Numata branch of the MRLAB, Fukuda refused acceptance due to false reasons that "the place of occurrence of the Metropolitan Police Department was in Tokyo, so that is outside the jurisdiction of this branch." .</p>
73(Human Rights Counselors) Complaint I	2017.12.22 15:04 Human Rights Bureau /? (on call)	USB-memory	<p>In a call from my home to the Human Rights Bureau, I asked about the conditions for accepting offer for relief, correctly it is "one year from the date of violation in the case of continuing act" but she told me only "within a year" to neglect the explanation of its important incidental condition. Also, said that there is no particular restriction on the jurisdiction of the place.</p>
74 (Kinuyo Hirohashi) Complaint K	2017.06.04 22:45 Meeting with Kinuyo Hirohashi	USB-memory	<p>2017.06.04 22:45 In the conversation at Hirohashi's house, Kinuyo Hirohashi, whereas I asked for cancellation of the contract at Hirohashi's house, she said "It can not be canceled once signed, Ask my attorney for details" and repeated the verbal abuse. I repeatedly insisted "We cannot cancel it? There is no such thing. Your remark is as if a gang" but she did not accept it. Soon she called the police and escaped the site, interrupting this talks on one hand. Furthermore, she said me "You better see a doctor."</p>
75 (Kinuyo Hirohashi) Complaint K	2017.06.17 10:17 meeting recording with Kinuyo Hirohashi	USB-memory	<p>2017.06.17 10:17 in a conversation with my field(Minakami-machi kamimoku3517-1), I asked Kinuyo Hirohashi "Why is the dog who I notified to you that I prohibited keeping as a landlord has been barking while you are away, and why the dog who has not been barking at all for a while is now barking as everyday? Besides, always as soon as I started recording from a distance, why does the dog stop barking, although it cannot not be seen from the dog?, but she said she will call the police and escape from the site again, so she terminated unilaterally this talk. Also, she made a verbal abuse to completely deny my possession as "Here is my field! Go out!"</p>
76 (Kinuyo Hirohashi) Complaint K	2017.10.03 12:29 meeting with Kinuyo Hirohashi	USB-memory	<p>⑨2017.10.03 12:29 In Hirohashi's home on conversation, I asked Kinuyo Hirohashi that the her dog had been barking for two and half hours in this morning, so why she will not take with him as owner's responsibility, but she ignored, and discontinued this talks unilaterally.</p>
77 (the JFBA)	2017.12.21 14:00 meeting with Matsui Masahiro attorney	USB-memory	<p>Free consultation in Maebashi-shi at Legal Terrace Gunma. I said "I want you to show a general theory without explanation because time always will be over with just explanation" whereas replied that "I do not answer because there is no meaning unless it is a concrete story" and the time is finished. What to ask for meaning is freedom of the consultant, this is infringement of self - determination right. My request for work was also refused.</p>

78 (the JFBA)	2018.01.12 14:00 meeting with Hara attorney	USB-memory	<p>Free consultation of the town in Tsukiyono at social welfare council building. He was gentle but basically the same as other attorneys. I asked 110 the doctrine of emergency call, but whereas, I was asked to explain the circumstances, and the time was over without getting any answer. I asked for complaints Inquiring of the facts, but "It's beyond free consultation". My work request was refused as "My field is civil only ...".</p>
79 common	Another prefecture number cars list	printed base paper	<p>This record is on my walk course about 3 km along the prefectural road from my home(Kamimoku3158-1) to the Ohnuma area. It is clear that these are demos and bashing by the Surround-network. It is very suspicious that, ① Here is almost the dead end in the outskirts of Gunma prefecture, so there is no inevitability that another prefecture number car should choose as a route, ② there is also the remotest place in Japan such as Okinawa and Hokkaido, ③ It has been remarkably increasing since 2017 along with my accusation to become full-scale, ④ the number has drastically decreased since I started this record.</p>
80 (Human Rights Counselors) Complaint I	2018.01.18 09:56 meeting with Numata branch/Fukuda and Harada	USB-memory	<p>At the Numata branch. It is terrible victim abuse. In order to refuse the acceptance, they repeatedly said sophistry to interfere me. Harada's original feature is to say clearly without basis, to repeatedly say sophistry, not to answer for inconvenient questions. First of all, she was trying to test my perception degree as "Where is the obligation of us to act?", it could not be known. In order to explain the duty and the unfairness of their previous correspondence, I read the complaint of them. She was unresponsive about this. I repeated as "You will understand if you read these prepared documents(Damage Report 2017 and 8 complaints)", but they would not read until the end. "(Harada)We cannot accept unless your offer is in this form(consultation sheet), only this is necessary, please explain verbally." "(me)There can not be such a provision, please indicate if it exists." "(Harada)The complaint can not be accepted at our Bureau" "(me)The title does not matter, it is an explanatory documents." "(Harada)If you have a schedule to make a trial, we cannot accept, we will assume that there is a schedule for a trial that making a complaint. "(me) Even if I intend to submit it, There is no guarantee it will be accepted, that is harboring and my main damage, are you all right? Originally it is freedom of the victim. "(Harada)What do you want us to do? "(me)That is your authority. I want the accusation of Article 14 (5) Is there a rule that you should not proceed with complaints and human rights remedies at the same time? "(Harada).... Soon after I explained she persistently repeating, "(Harada)What is your damage?" "(Harada)Who is the perpetrator of Numata station?" "(me)The police often do not reply by their authority even if asked, are not they?" "(Harada)No, I do not know such things, I can not investigate unless an individual can be identified. "(me)Then look at the chronological fact at the end of the complaint B. There are at least 10 individual names listed "(Harada)It can not be accepted at us if it is a crime "(me) I mean that it is a criminal offense and also a human rights violation, isn't it normal? "(Harada)I wanted to tell you since before that Human Rights Volunteer is volunteer, so you cannot request the contact from you" "(me)If so, what is their ground rule? Ishizaka has not done anything. There is no reason to escape a regulated obligation. Do you call it consultation about ignoring?" "(Harada).... After soon I read and explained complaint C, "(Harada)It was good your deliverables has arrived, what is your problem?" "(me)I have not received it, and it is not delivery to invade into home without calling out and leaving deliverables while my sleeping." "(me)I am appealing that my handwriting is different after confirming the receipt sign of the actual delivery receipt with my own eyes." "(Fukuda)It is impossible to us to judge it, please have handwriting appraisal." "(me)Of course I included in the accused the Numata police station which did not do handwriting appraisal." "(Fukuda)A mailman can never do such a thing, I can not believe it 100%." "(me)It is same as you saying sophistry that a human not do any crime.", and more I pointed out other circumstantial evidences, but she would not have reviewed her judgment. "(Fukuda)If we do not believe, we can not begin the survey. That's right?" Fukuda asked Harada in front of me. Since the time planned has passed in the middle of the explanation, "(me) Please read this submitted documents, and after that judge the existence of the violation" "(Two people) No, we cannot, we have not found your human rights violation." "(me) Why can you say so though most of the unexplained parts remained? It is unfair, then is it going to be refusing acceptance? "(two people) Yes" and unjustly terminated it without forming a schedule for the next.</p>
81(Human Rights Counselors) Complaint I	2018.01.19 16:05 Human Rights Bureau (Tokyo)/? (on call)	USB-memory	<p>On call from my home to them(Tokyo), I appealed the unfairness of the Numata branch in the preceding paragraph was the criminal level and asking for intermediary to the department responsible for the internal checking function in order to prosecute Numata, but not exists. She taught Maebashi and Numata based on my morning phone. And, it is their management rules that they do not reveal names by phone, even if asked.</p>
82(Human Rights Counselors) Complaint I	2018.01.19 16:22 The HRC Maebashi/ Ishimaki (on call)	USB-memory	<p>On call from my home to them(Maebashi), I appealed the unfairness of Numata branch is the crime level, and asked for supervision as organization. But actually, they would appreciate it to ask the Numata branch office if possible.</p>
83(Human Rights Counselors) Complaint I	2018.01.19 16:53 Numata branch/Fukuda (on call)	USB-memory	<p>In a call from my home to Numata branch, when I said "I would like to visit to explain the continuation of remedial offer", Fukuda said "We declined it yesterday?" As same as the previous day she intended to continue refusing unjust acceptance. I cannot believe that the supervision by the Human Rights Bureau and Maebashi mentioned above was done.</p>

			<p><u>“(Fukuda) It is already enough ”. So I cried out as saying” Listen quietly!”. Then, ”(Fukuda) What is “Listen quietly!”? Are you in a position to ask us to investigate? ”, so she said threateningly. I said “more abnormal than the postmen is correspondence of Numata police station, they eventually ignored the on-site verification that I requested four policemen who came by my report. And more, they completely ignoring my complaint submitted the next day, As this is clearly an abnormal response as a police thus it is a human rights violation, you think so, do not you?” Fukuda said “No, I do not think so.” ”(me) Do you think so?” ”(Fukuda) No, I do not think.” ”(me) Do you think so?” ”(Fukuda) . . . Please tell the police that ”(me) Please survey the fact of the invasion.” ”(Fukuda) No, I’m not doing such a job.” ”No, that is your job.” ”(Fukuda) . . . So, what kind of damage concretely did you receive? ” ”(me) As explained it yesterday, it is psychological damage.” ”(Fukuda) So what is the specific damage? ” ”(me) It’s a intimidation so it’s a tremendous fear and despair, what else do you need?” ”(Fukuda) How much is the damage if converted to the amount, for example. If you do not show it, we cannot accept since is necessary to my report.” ”(me) It is abnormal to ask such a thing about psychological damage from the beginning.” ”(Fukuda) That’s fine.”</u></p>
84 (Human Rights Counselors) Complaint I	2018.01.23 13:03 meeting with the HRC Maebashi/Jinji Todokoro and Ishimaki	USB-memory	<p><u>At their office in Maebashi. The Human Rights Voluntee Jinji Todokoro(like an active attorney) abused his position and became the other party’s counsel and developed a thorough sophistry. I also explained the complaint of Numata branch of course.</u></p> <p><u>It turned out there was no ground for any of the following actions of Numata branch. The two lies are the jurisdiction and the amount of damage.</u></p> <p><u>Issue I The Numata branch refused unjustly acceptance with twice lies</u></p> <p><u>Issue II Illegally terminated in the course of explanation and refused the request for continuation</u> <u>Issue II was also repeated here on this day.</u></p> <p><u>They unjustly terminated the remaining unexplained parts without taking any of the following methods.</u></p> <p><u>① Method for depositing the submitted documents and verifying later</u> <u>② Method for arranging the schedule of the subsequent explanation</u></p>
85(Human Rights Counselors) Complaint I	2018.01.23 14:29 Conversation with · Kibe and another	USB-memory	<p><u>I visited the Administrative Counseling Center because it was in the same building as the MRLAB. It is a branch office of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications.</u></p> <p><u>Since the target is limited to illegal activities by national public officials, I explained mainly about the matter of human rights counselor.</u></p>
86 (Human Rights Counselors) Complaint I	2018.01.24 16:05 call recording with Human Rights Bureau(Tokyo)/?	USB-memory	<p><u>On call from my home to them(Tokyo), I appealed the unfairness of the MRLAB mainly on the above I and II, but she replied with a suspicious answer as”I will instruct the site on the ground after confirming the facts, and I will not report the results to you”.</u></p> <p><u>I asked whether or not to switch their reception desk, but she replied there was only one jurisdiction in anyway, and in principle that it is based on the address, it was a suspicious answer.</u></p>
87-1, 87-2 (Price operation) Complaint H	①20160727”market detail of vegetables and fruits” ②the same(by fax)	base paper fax	<p><u>At 20170704 at the cargo center, Tomizawa handed me a copy of the fax(evidence 87-2) of the ”market detail of vegetables and fruits”, instead of the memorandum discarded as ⑤ in a blurred and unreadable state. If you compare it with base paper, the difference is obvious. The current fax is not as bad as this.</u></p>
88 (Price operation) Complaint H	201707every day’s Memo of shipment center	copy	<p><u>Confirmation that it was a trend as described in complaint H.</u></p> <p><u>It is the same even if you look at the ”market detail of vegetables and fruits” for that day, but this one is easier to understand.</u></p>
89 (Price operation) Complaint H	20170118 To JATN “Offer for Cooperation”	printed base paper	<p><u>I pointed out the phenomenon as described in complaint H and highlighted that it is due to price manipulation.</u></p> <p><u>I also called for a claims by joint name of the JATN and me to the Fair Trade Commission.</u></p>
90 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2018.01.26 02:02 the signal of hunting in the late night	USB-memory	<p><u>2018.01.26 02: 02, I heard about five times the signal of hunting around my bedroom in the late night.</u></p> <p><u>It is mimickingly human voice, although imitating the owl’s squeaking. There has never been a real owl crowing around my house.</u></p> <p><u>Whether this sound is genuine or playback, or whoever did it, it’s intent can only be intimidating.</u></p>
91 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2018.01.26 10:48 meeting with Hashimoto and Mogi	USB-memory	<p><u>On the same day 10: 48 I reported Numata police so visited Hashimoto and Mogi, I emphasized to them the above must be intimidation by the sniper group, but they ignored this unfairly.</u></p>
92 (Hunting-gun intimidation) Complaint B	2018.02.10 04:04 the signal of hunting in the early morning	USB-memory	<p><u>2018.02.10 05: 43, I heard about ten times the signal of the hunting around my bedroom before dawn.</u></p>
93 (Hunting-gun intimidation)	2018.02.10 05:43 call recording with	USB-memory	<p><u>Regarding the above, I reported soon and I told against Numata police ? the fact in the preceding paragraph and the pheasant corpse that had a hole in the fuselage were placed on the sidewalk along the prefectural route(near Onuma) about three days ago at 20180207 around 13:30, and these seems to</u></p>

Complaint B	Numata police/?		be intimidation by the sniper group, but they unjustly ignored this.
94 (Human Rights Counselors) Complaint I	2018.01.29 13:51 on call with the MRLAB/Hagiwara	USB-memory	<u>In the call from my home to their office (Maebashi), I explained the unfair correspondence of the above mentioned by human rights defense section, asked for fact confirmation and internal check.</u>
95 (Human Rights Counselors) Complaint I	2018.02.19 13:26 Meeting the HRC Maebashi/Tomioka	USB-memory	<u>2018.02.19 13: 26 At the MRLAB, I explained nine complaints to Tomioka and explained the general illegality again.</u> <u>However, after that Tomioka denied all illegality of each complaint, saying "There is no illegality because the police judged that there was no incidents" despite not replying to my explanation at all.</u>
96 (Court) Complaint L	Maebashi District Court Numata branch Heisei29 (Wa) No. 26 consolation claim case 20171024 "Prepared Report"	printed base paper	<u>(page 1) The MPD have been harboring the Surround-Network that I requested for detecting in damage report2009 I.18 by ignorimng it.</u> <u>All my complaints can be said to be derivatives by the Surround-Network.</u> <u>So it can be said that the MPD has responsibility for all these, and the main purpose of this case is to ask the responsibility as a result.</u> <u>(page 5) Comprehensively judge these two facts, my aunt's death is certainly a homicide for intimidating me by someone, its silent intention is "if you try to reveal us Surround-Network, we will kill you like your aunt".</u> <u>Since the MPD ignored my appeal and continued the condition, so the intent of the silent intimidation is the same as the murderers.</u>
97 (Court) Complaint L	Maebashi District Court Numata branch Heisei29 (Wa) No. 26 consolation claim case "Complaint"	printed base paper	<u>(page 1) The second Cause of claim At the beginning</u> <u>Plaintiffs have been ignoring all his appeals by the MPD for a total of nine years or more until today.</u> <u>In short, I have been treated as "nonhuman" or "terrorist".</u> <u>I think these to infringement of equality rights and living rights which are fundamental human rights.</u> <u>Therefore, I request a defendant's compensation fee from Article 17 of the Constitution of Japan and Article 1 of the State Compensation Act.</u> <u>For plaintiff, this case inquires into the world "the exception of human rights" and "the existence of a state of law".</u> <u>(page 2) Infringement of Survival Right (Article 25 of the Japanese Constitution)</u> <u>from the situation, this run-away-accident is a camouflage and I think that truth must be a homicide for intimidating me by someone who aimed at concealing the damage report and preventing to detect the Surround-Network.</u> <u>Soon after my aunt's death(around 2009. 2. 25), the plaintiff visited Mr. Sawada of the Higashimurayama police station, explained the damage report again, requested to investigate the intimidative homicide.</u>
98 Complaint J Complaint K	Abnormal Sounds List	printed base paper	★ mean the facts that seems to have high evidence power among the described.
99 (Kinuyo Hirohashi) Complaint K	2017.06.08 19:45 Call recording with	USB-memory	<u>2017.06.08 19:45 On mobile phone from my home to Kinuyo Hirohashi, whereas I asked for cancellation of the contract, she said repeatedly "Memorandum is important so we cannot be canceled", whereas I pointed out repeatedly as "Is it the same as the unscrupulous Commercial Code of Yakuza? Show your legal grounds." "(she)Why do not you just listen to my attorney or courts?, anyway it cannot be canceled.". And she unilaterally disconnected this call.</u>
100 (Kinuyo Hirohashi) Complaint K	20160425Content Certificate Mail	copy	<u>With content-certificate-mail entitled "Departure Request", I asked Kinuyo Hirohashi and others to abandon the 2015.1.9 memorandum due to their daily intimidation (illegal act) by the sound had exceeded my acceptance, and I asked for leaving within a year.</u>
101 (Kinuyo Hirohashi) Complaint K	20150109memorandum	copy	<u>2015.1.9 memorandum have signed that Kinuyo Hirohashi and Tadao Hirohashi decide to hand over to Yutaka Imai their home of 3158-7 by 20270710, and until it will be a free use loan agreement(Evidence 101).</u>
102 (Kinuyo Hirohashi) Complaint K	20160610About intention of fraud of Kinuyo Hirohashi	printed base paper	<u>On letter to Isaka law firm of their attorney, I explained the circumstances that Kinuyo Hirohashi asked for me contribution in 1997.</u>
103 (Takahisa Imai) Complaint J	20170608Claim Form	printed base paper	<u>I gave it at their home garden. (Abstract) ignoring it while receiving a complaint from a neighbor naturally breaks the neighborhood relation. That is an unprecedented choice.</u> <u>Ignoring warnings and continuing illegal actions in the situation where consolation fee is already definite is even more expensive.</u> <u>Besides that, I will prosecute as a crime if you continue more.</u>

104 (the MDPP0) Complaint M	20180219 submitted "About the MDPP0 seems to be unfair act"	printed base paper	<p><u>I submitted it by hand at the MDPP0 First floor. (Abstract)</u></p> <p><u>I Justification of not starting the investigation Please show the grounds for remarks "It is not the time to judge the case yet"</u></p> <p><u>Because some charges of my complaint already seems to satisfy the requirement facts.</u></p> <p><u>II It seems that the reparation of my complaints is unfair. Practically, I cannot undertake revision.</u></p> <p><u>III About relentless protest against my replacement "Originally it is freedom of the victim"</u></p> <p><u>First of all, it is "all continual intimidation".</u></p> <p><u>Secondly, as a whole it is already very complicated and I can not prosecute forever if I am waiting for confirmation.</u></p> <p><u>IV I will ask again about the basis of your responsibility.</u></p> <p><u>① Laws that meet "recognition by authority"</u></p> <p><u>② Laws that meet the criteria for "Accepting the need for investigation"</u></p>
105 (Kinuyo Hirohashi) Complaint K (Court)	20170531 09:53 Mediation at MDC Numata	USB-memory	<p><u>During this mediation, the committee told me "Even if you trial, you are not always possible to win."</u></p> <p><u>Originally there is no guarantee that the trial can be surely won, and the mediation committee normally should not say such a thing, so the intention of his inadvertent and unnecessary comment is extremely suspicious.</u></p> <p><u>Considering this with complaint L, I think that it suggests an organized intimidation of Maebashi District Court.</u></p>
106 (the MDPP0) Complaint M	20171031 14:57at MDPP0 1F, meeting with Takahashi and Ichikawa	USB-memory	<p><u>①Obstruction of recording 20171031 14:57 at MDPP0 first floor(evidence 106),</u></p> <p><u>First of all, they usually ask to me, "Do you record now?"</u></p> <p><u>I usually reply "This is for the purpose of to listen after and correct the complaint."</u></p> <p><u>On this day I also explained that "the Metropolitan Police Department completely denies my visiting facts, I can not trust you who are the same investigation institution 100%",</u></p> <p><u>"Can't you trust us?" Takahashi asked me again and again. I think that it is intimidation if he repeat as such.</u></p> <p><u>he also disturbed three attempts to read a letter of complaint as follows.</u></p> <p><u>This is the intention of concealment not to record the contents of the submitted documents.</u></p> <p><u>First time (past 7 minutes) "It is fine to read, so you do not need to read it"</u></p> <p><u>Second time (past 66 minutes) "It is fine if you plan to read it from the beginning."</u></p> <p><u>Third time (over 90 minutes) "If you plan to read it, do not have time, so stop it."</u></p> <p><u>②Avoid criminal judgment 20171031 14: 57 at MDPP0 first floor(evidence 106),</u></p> <p><u>I argued that "the high arbitrariness of existence of the Surround-network is sufficient already for each case alone and there is no doubt as to the higher arbitrariness in the case of combining them," while Takahashi "What is the basis of the numerator of the denominator of the stated arbitrariness? It can not be judged unless it is indicated."</u></p> <p><u>I often say that "The numbers presented are intuitive based on empirical rules. Many of them are hard to express with numbers, but I just proposed that I should make it easy for judges to you. I think that's your job, I would like you to concretely show the objection with numbers. "</u></p> <p><u>Since they did not try to understand the motive of the Surround-network so far, I explained using disaster report 2017 for the first time on this day, but I was told that they can not accept it because they still can not understand.</u></p> <p><u>I said, "Since motivation is not the three elements of crime and it is only an accuser's guess, why do you need to make it definite at once? Should it be determined by investigation,? And do you have another idea?" but the conversation stuck without a clear reply.</u></p> <p><u>③ Unusual reply 20171031 14: 57 ~at MDPP0 first floor(evidence 106),</u></p> <p><u>(93 minutes past)" "If you feel suspicious about the fact that we will not accept a complaint, we recommend that you use this alternative system, so please use it", should legitimate administrative agency advice so, aren't you?" I asked, Takahashi did not keep in touch with "What kind of basis is it?"</u></p> <p><u>④ Refusal of acceptance 20171031 14: 57 ~at MDPP0 first floor(evidence 106),</u></p> <p><u>Finally, they refused to accept my complaint without showing any judgment on arbitrariness of their own, and without pointing any incompleteness.</u></p>
107 (the MDPP0) Complaint M	Unfair remand on the same text ①	base paper	<p><u>⑤In the date of 20171220, the MDPP0 unfairly remanded 7 complaints filed at there on 20171114.</u></p> <p><u>The text was as follows.</u></p> <p><u>"We received a letter titled" Damage report "on the date of receipt from you and a document titled" Complaint "on the same day.</u></p> <p><u>The accusation is to request the punishment of the criminal by declaring specific criminal facts to the investigation institution, and if you are to</u></p>

			<p><u>file a complaint, it is necessary to specify as much as possible about violating the specific criminal laws as, who is, when, where, what, how to, etc.</u> <u>In the document entitled "complaint", "complaint fact" is stated for each complaint appealed by you, but no matter what part of the fact falls under any act that violates specific criminal laws is unclear, and criminal facts are not specified. Therefore, the above documents etc. will be refunded."</u> <u>However, the problematic reply document does not mention "anywhere" at all and only "general" is written about "how".</u> <u>In other words, as a reality problem, since we can not begin to correct the complaint as which part is an incomplete part, this is considered to be an unfair remand on social norms.</u></p>
108 (the MDPP0) Complaint M	Unfair remand on the same text ②	base paper	<p><u>In the date of 20180130, the MDPP0 unfairly remanded 5 complaints filed at there on 20180117.</u></p>
109 (the MDPP0) Complaint M	Unfair remand on the same text ③	base paper	<p><u>In the date of 20180208, the MDPP0 unfairly remanded 1 complaint filed at there on 20180205.</u></p>
110 (the MDPP0) Complaint M	20180216 around15:00 at MDPP0 1F, meeting recording with Takahashi and Ichikawa	USB-memory	<p><u>20180216 around 15:00 At MDPP0 first floor, there was the following conversation.</u> <u>Takahashi answered, "I have no idea" when I ask, "Is there any law that meets the request of the prosecution's official duty to recognize ex officio in addition to the principle of PPO?".</u> <u>I asked, "You have repeatedly answered that it is not the time to judge the criminality yet because of the incompleteness remaining in my complaint. But as for the recognition of criminality, Is there a legal basis that it is good to be divided into stages?, in other words, is there a provision that is a clear standard for the start of the investigation?" whereas Takahashi said, " Basically, It is a range of our authority".</u> <u>Also at this time I protested in writing (Evidence 104) titled "About MDPP0 to be an unfair correspondence", but they ignored it unfairly afterwards.</u></p>
111 (the MDPP0) Complaint M	Unfair remand on the same text ④	base paper	<p><u>In the date of 20180226, the MDPP0 unfairly remanded 1 complaint filed at there on 20180216.</u> <u>It is also the same sentence, nevertheless I protested when submitting it, they ignored it at all and it is very blatantly unfair.</u> <u>They being familiar with the content of my complaint, this is definitely deliberately dealing with the same act I claim as a intimidation.</u></p>
112 (the MDPP0) Complaint M	20180319 13:28 at MDPP0 1F, meeting recording with Takahashi and Ichikawa	USB-memory	<p><u>20180319 13: 28 at MDPP0, when I tried to submit the damage report 2018 and 12 complaints A to L, "you broke the agreement that Once you submit a complaint letter, you would not add or replace until our answer", Takahashi relentlessly protested to me.</u> <u>On the contrary, I answered, "I do not intend to make such an arrangement, and as a victim it is natural that I would like to submit a complaint as soon as it is completed, basically being the victim's freedom" However, Takahashi seemed not to be convinced at all.</u> <u>In addition, I asked whether there was an incomplete "criminal fact is not identified" still, he did not answer.</u></p>
113 (the MDPP0) Complaint M	20180409 14:58 Meeting with Takahashi, Ichikawa	USB-memory	<p><u>20180409 14:58 on MDPP0 At the submission, I emphasized to them that, practically, since we can not begin to correct the complaint as which is an incomplete part, this seems to be an unfair remand on social norms.</u></p>
114 (the MDPP0) Complaint M	Unfair remand on the same text ⑤	base paper	<p><u>In the date of 20180531, the MDPP0 unfairly remanded 12 complaints filed at there on 20180409.</u> <u>It is also the same sentence, nevertheless I protested when submitting it, they ignored it at all and it is very blatantly unfair.</u> <u>They being familiar with the content of my complaint, this is definitely deliberately dealing with the same act I claim as a intimidation.</u></p>

Complaint A

Defendant and offense in Japan

Police is the primary investigation institution of Japan, organization is divided by prefecture.

The Metropolitan Police Department(hereinafter referred to as "MPD") is as 2009.1.18, The Gunma Prefectural Police Department(hereinafter referred to as "GPPD") is as 2015.2.3, and The Saitama Prefectural Police Department(hereinafter referred to as "SPPD") is the accident occurrence place jurisdiction of Mariko Ohta 2009.2.20.

Mariko Ohta's murderer (suspects and unknown number)

MPD Sawada, Shishido, Sato, Nishikata, suspects and number unknown described below ① ~ ②

SPPD Nimura, Nagase, Kakuta, suspects and unknown persons described later ① ~ ②, inspector

GPPD Yanaoka, Takita, Takada, Noguchi, suspects and unknown number ① ~ ⑥ below

based on Criminal Procedure Law 239 Harboring of Criminals (Article 103 of Penal Code),

Falsifying Medical Certificates(Article 160 of Penal Code), Abuse of Authority by public officers(Article 193 of Penal Code), Homicide(Article 199 of Penal Code), Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code),

The nature of the violation

The complainants acted as the Surround-Network.

The Surround-Network continued consistent discrimination and persecution based on customary prejudice.

Furthermore, the Surround-Network continued intimidation and harboring by abusing the authority of public agencies including state agencies .

The Surround-Network have consistently treating me as a nonhuman.

In other words, they have been intimidating me to suggest their power through such consistent abnormal responses.

If I express briefly their intent of the silent intimidation, "we will surely kill you before caught, so there is no need to treat you as a human."

The relationship between these harms and the Civil Code is as described in the report to the UN(Damage Report 2018).

These are violations of Article 1, 2-1, 3, 6, 8-2, 14-1, 16, 17, 18, 19-3(a), 26 of the Civil Code.

chronological facts

①with 2009.1.18 on the next day 2009.1.19 morning, I sent a damage report (evidence 8) from the Nerima post office located 6-4-2 Toyotamakita, Nerima-ku, Tokyo to 2-1-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo by registered mail(evidence 9) to the Metropolitan Police Department headquarters, and was delivered to the following 2009.1.20 (Evidence 10).

② 2009.2.20 The Metropolitan Police unfairly ignored the reply deadline of this damage report.

③ 2009.2.20 At around 8:00 am on the day of this reply deadline day, my aunt Mariko Ohta died on

the sidewalk near the intersection of National Route 17 at Sakuragaoka 2-chome, Saitama City Chuou-ku.

Guessing the truth of ③ 2009.2.20 About 8:00 am, the murderers were ambushing my aunt Mariko Ota on the pavement near the national route No. 17 intersection of Sakuragaoka 2-chome Chuo-ku Saitama-shi.

As she approached, using the body of the truck by Tomonobu Isezaki drove to close the sidewalk, making it less visible to other passersby.

And while one of them attracted attention in front of Mariko Ohta, another crept up from behind her and broke her head with iron stick wrapped in cloth, since they instantly killed her due to contusion in her brain.

④ 2009.3.3 In the afternoon, I met with Sawada at the Higashimurayama police station located at Honcyou 1-chome, Higashimurayama-shi, Tokyo, I explained my damage report, and I requested to investigate the truth of homicide to intimidate me and requested to round-up the Surround-Network(Evidence 2).

In addition, when I called the Metropolitan Police Department / Headquarters, I was told that the damage report was transferred to Higashimurayama station, and Sawada was in charge of that, so I made a phone call to Sawada and visited it at a later date.

At the time of the reservation "It is not yet started because we do not understand the damage report, please come to the explanation once", but as I visited, he said rudely "I was outraged that I was told in charge, and I do not know the news of the report or the contents".

About Intimidative-Homicide was to contact the police in the jurisdiction of the place of origin.

⑤ Sawada ignored the two requests of the preceding paragraph unfairly subsequently.

⑥ By around 2009.2.25, all my accusation mails I sent to the following organizations were ignored.

National Police Agency, Human Rights Consultation Center, Asahi Newspaper Co, Yomiuri Newspaper Co, Mainichi Newspaper Co, Shinchosha, SYunyusha etc(Evidence 1).

⑦ 2009.9.3.13 Urawanishi police arrested Tomonobu Isezaki as my aunt's case(Evidence 17).

⑧ 2015.2.3 morning I submitted the first report at Numata police / Life Safety Section, but ignored it unfairly.

⑨ 2015.2.9 morning I submitted the second report at Numata police / Life Safety Section, but ignored it unfairly.

⑩ In March 2009, I filed complaint with simple registered mail to the Tokyo Metropolitan Public Safety Commission, but ignored it unfairly.

⑪ 2015.3.20 I submitted the third report and requested written reply at Numata police, but ignored it unfairly.

⑫ 2016.6.6 I sent a content-proof-mail to the request for investigation from the Maebashi Central Post Office located in 1-6-5 Jyotocy, Maebashi City, Gumma Prefecture, to the head of the three prefectural police headquarters(evidence 3), but they ignored unfairly.

⑬ 2017.4.8 at Numata police, I submitted complaint A, B ,C to the criminal section/Tokita, but they ignored unfairly.

⑭ 2017.4.14 11:18 at Numata police, I submitted complaints changed the destination of A, B ,C to the criminal section/?(evidence 23), but it ignored unfairly. It is important he said "We are considering whether

to accept or not" without showing the reason and will not try to receive the base paper of new one.

⑯ 2017.4.24 16: 04 at Numata police, I inquired about the processing status of complaint A, B, C submitted at there on 2017.4.8 to the criminal section/?, and waits for reply (evidence 24) but they ignored unfairly.

⑯ 2017.5.1 10:56 On call from my home to UrawaNishi police/Criminal Section/Nimura, though I explained enough about the accident death of my aunt Mariko Ohta, she did not hand it over at all to Traffic Section(Evidence 18-1).

⑯ 2017.5.1 12: 39 On call to my home, Urawanishi police Transportation Section Nagase did not reply to what I appealed but answered without any basis, saying that "Anyway It had already completed" (Evidence 18 of 2).

⑯ 2017.5.2 9: 00 ~ 14: 00 at Numata police, I submitted 5 complaints of D, E, F, H, I to the criminal section Nagumo, but they ignored unfairly.

⑯ 2017.9.28 14:13 On call from my home, Numata police Criminal Affairs Section ?, although I asked about the situation of 8 complaints I submitted, and it became pending, he ignored them unfairly (evidence 25).

⑯ 2017.10.02 11:35 In the call from my home, the SPPD headquarters consultation center Kakuta, while I pointed out that the method of camouflaging the death of my aunt would be false of the findings of the cause of death of the dead body inspection paper, she said " why can you say so without confirming it?" It is impossible for me to claim for disclosure of the document because I'm not her bereaved family. Although I asked for a report on this my protest, then she ignored it unfairly (Evidence 19).

2 1 2017.10.02 13: 49 On call from my home, (It seems the inspection room does not exist currently, so handed over to this section as I designated), the MPD Public Relations Section Hearing Officer Sato, I explained that the Higashimurayama Police station was completely denying the fact that ④ I met with Sawada at there at 2009.3.3 In the afternoon, and I asked him if there was any way to prove the facts of visiting.

However, he replied "Since it is an old story, there is no such a method, ask to the station."

And although there is the MPD, he said "This is not an investigative institution", even with respect to the criminality of full denial, "It will not be a crime, what kind of crime will it be?", and he ignored though I explained it(Evidence 12).

2 2 2017.10.03 10:33 on phone from my home, I asked the MPD/Human Resources Section 2/Nishikata to investigate Sawada whom I want to contact with.

Despite I requested that he surely report to me regardless of the findings during the same month, he ignored this promise unfairly even though he accepted this(Evidence 14).

This is of course a breach of mutual trust. It also shows their consistency of attitude of determined ignoring.

2 3 2017.10.03 15:20 on phone from my home to Numata police, Takada answered the phone instead of his chief I designated.

① I asked him to ask Yanaoka and Cloywa of the arbitrariness especially the fur of the big boar cannot be doubted.

② About the Complaint C and D, I asked why they will not start investigation although each illegality is apparent,

but he didn't reply, it became pending(Evidence 27 of 2).

24 2017.10.04 15:45 on phone from my home, ignoring the interactions in the preceding paragraph,
Numata police Takada said as " Isn't it nonsense to accuse Numata policemen to Numata police station, is it?".
I also asked him to change the phone for asking a viewpoint of the chief, but he unfairly rejected it(Evidence 28).

25 2017.10.27 15: 02 At Numata station, I requested the police section/Noguchi to convey the chief that I
want to ask him a viewpoint about the complaints I had submitted, but they unfairly ignored it(Evidence44-1,44-2).

26 The MPD have been ignoring unjustly my appeal of the serious criminalit which they received through the Maebashi District Court Numata branch of No. Heisei 29 (Wa) 26 consolation claim case (2017.9.5 first date, 2017.12.12 judgment).

★Common illegality of defendants

Suggested the power of the Surround-Network to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions

★Common illegality of Police organization

Because unfair treatment of my appeal are violations from the nature of the act and the obligation of officials to accuse crimes, so it is a prevention of my exercise of the right of receive a proper procedure.

Because this prevention is due to their abuse of their official authorities, they are in a crime.

These are violations of the Civil Code, mainly from the view of the discrimination and the rights to life.

★Illegality of the MPD is as follows I ~ III

I Having suggested the power of the Surround-Network by combining follows A and B

(time series ①, ②, ③, ⑦, 26)

A Having ignored my damage report 2009

Unfairly ignoring my damage report 2009 is illegal as it is at least negligent from (1) to (7) below

(1) Ignoring is not their disciplined(prescribed) handling

it is in violation of Article 65 of criminal investigation criteria that the arbitrary handling to conclude without confirming the intention of appellant although the contents of the damage report was unknown.

(2) Ignoring is a violation of their foresee duty

If the investigation institution ignore the damage report, the damage continues naturally afterwards.

So it is a violation of Police Act(Article 2 " Prevention of Crime").

(3) **Infringement of the right to receive proper procedures** (Article 13 of the Japanese Constitution).

(4) **It is discrimination and infringement of the equal rights** (Article 14 of the Japanese Constitution) and the discrimination provision clause of the Civil Code.

(5) Because my appeal was always relief of crisis of my life, so it is a **Infringement of the right of life** of Article 13 of the Constitution of Japan, and the **inherent right to life** of Article 6 of the Civil Code.

(6) I requested a reply and indicated it's deadline clearly

I mentioned on the top page "Please reply in writing within one month regarding the response policy of this case."

(7) I described 8 phenomena as follows that a police could not have overlooked

① top page I described as my damage, the infringement of portrait rights (net crime) and disturbance of business.

② top page I described that there are many suspects unspecified and they expanded whole metropolitan.

③ page 3 Every time face pass (that is, escape of passengers raising hands)

④ page 3 The fact that the average daily sales value of the taxi company I belonged was 10% or more in width and continued to link with my work day (2006 - 2007)

⑤ page 10 Abnormally low actual occupancy rate compared with other drivers (especially 2009)

⑥ page 12 Interruption caused by continuous large vehicles when merging highways (intimidation · attempted murder · dangerous driving)

⑦ page 16 Center line over by oncoming vehicle (intimidation · attempted murder · dangerous driving)

⑧ page 17 Surrounding wandering by policemen

many suspicious points of death of my aunt Mariko Ohta

At first it was Suspicious death and there were no scales of traffic accidents

· The SPPD must had judged as a suspicious death because judicial autopsy being conducted

· In the story of the bereaved family, the police initially did not say anything that seemed to be a traffic accident

· It is extremely difficult to think that it is on the sidewalk that my aunt was discovered first, and if it was a trapping accident of the left turn of the truck, it is extremely difficult to thrust away to the sidewalk.

· There was no big trauma except her head, and that her bicycle was almost intact

· My father and my uncle who attended on her funeral passed in talks saying "It is a strange death"

· Having not show the image of the crime prevention camera reported that it was decided to arrest to the bereaved family, that the image is suspected of synthesis

· If you check the operation record of the accident vehicle, you will find that they were ambushing her near the scene

· Newspaper coverage has been reported about 10 days later the arrest of the hit criminals

· Arrested Tomonobu Isezaki's access record to the net before and after the accident

· Arrested Tomonobu Isezaki's background, especially with the police

· In terms of circumstances, witnesses who should naturally being do not appear (big intersection of the city center at 8 o'clock on Friday morning)

It seems to be the detouring of the accident site in order to make the killing easier
It may be an important clue if there is chronological data on the number of crossing people at the intersection
· My aunt seems to have been identified from New Year's cards addressed to me

B Having killed my aunt of Mariko Ohta or involvement in it

Comprehensively judge A' and B' below, it's Arbitrariness strongly suggests the intent of intimidation

A' As above mentioned, the damage report2009 could not had ignored

B' The reply deadline date they ignored overlaps with the death date of Mrs. Mariko Ohta

So instead of replying, they exposed her corpse and threw me the intention of silent intimidation as above.

Retroactively from these facts, it is strongly presumed that being homicide and involvement of the MPD.

II Having denied the fact that I met with Sawada at the Higashimurayama station(time series ④, ⑤, 26)

As a Complaint L, the MPD denied the facts of ④ and ⑤ as "Since it is an old story, we can not confirm it".

That can not be enough.

The fact that a police dismissed and concealed the request of the investigation for Intimidative Homicide from the victim himself at the jurisdictional office is a crime violating a number of laws alone and it is a big scandal.

At first, I called the MPD Headquarters, and since they appointed the charge in this case at that time, I took another advance reservation also to Sawada himself a few days before visit, and then visited him in 20090303.

Thinking from the above-mentioned process, You think that it is easy to imagine what I have naturally protested.

Also I took appointment, and there must have been any record that I signed at the reception when I entered. Since it is still within 10 years, it is unthinkable that there is no record left.

If this answer is true, it means that entering and leaving the police station is a free pass, but I think that the Japanese police management system is not funeral so far, so this answer is false and It seems.

I can identify Mr. Sawada from candidates.

He was a private clothes, medium meat with a high height, curly hair with poor complexion, and I remember the voice clearly in particular.

The meeting was about 14 to 17 o'clock, and we climbed up and down the staircase in the office.

The place of the meeting was a room like for reception on upstairs, and the corner was full of dust.

I said "My aunt who was killed must have been identified from the New Year's greeting for me", then he said "Since there may be such things, You decided to leave the mail and take it to the post office whenever You want to.",

I do remember vividly that he said so.

III The MPD continued to ignore my appeal afterwards to suggest the power of the

Surround-Network

1 2016.6.6 Continuing to ignore my Content-Certificate-Mail unfairly (time series ⑫, 26, Evidence 3)

Since the mail has limitation of the number of characters, I did not describe the motivation of intimidation. However, it seems that the summary of the accident could be noticed instantaneously by searching for her name, and they should have found easily that I asserted Intimidative Homicide by overlapping the date of her death and the reply deadline date of the damage report.

2 Steadily repeating to ignore unfairly even now (time series 21, 22, 26)

Nishikata blatantly broke our promise, so the consistence of chronological and organizational are clear.

3 Continuously ignoring incidental announced through the litigation (time series 26, Complaint L)

Until now, the MPD have been ignoring my complaints by asserting invalidate contents (In case of writing), or by denying the facts (In case of talking).

Thus it was the first official announcement of my assertion through this case in complaint L.

★Common illegality of Mariko Ohta's murderer and those who concealed it

They are the Murderers (real culprit), the MPD, the SPPD, the inspector, the GPPD etc

My argument is as a victim of intimidation.

Having Intimidated to my life by suggesting the power of the Surround-Network with I and II below

I They having suggested the power of the Surround-Network by combining the homicide of Mariko Ohta and unfairly ignoring my damage report 2009 (time series ①, ②, ③, ④, ⑤, ⑦, ⑧, 26)

The MPD and the SPPD colluded to attempt to conceal this murder.

At first, Urawanishi police caused the inspector who was in charge of dissection and postmortem examination of this dead body to make a false statement in the dead body inspection document.

In fact, let he wrote the finding field of the cause of death, like a traffic accident and submit it to the same police station.

A judicial police officer of Urawanishi who received it wrote a finding similar to the inspector in the report.

Next, Urawanishi police arrested Tomonobu Isezaki in 2009.3.13 (evidence 17) as a criminal of the case.

I think this murder was a means of intimidation for concealing the damage report 2009 as mentioned above. So even if it was really a traffic accident, it was a deliberate traffic accident.

The boycott campaign of the Surround-Network to me at that time made the night metropolitan to become a crisis.

Japan's GDP at the time should have been affected.

In other words, it was well-known fact and it was "inconvenient truth" for the Surround-Network.

The two data described Damage Report 2009 indicates clearly it.

I strongly feel a causal relationship between these social conditions, the above-mentioned two fatal accidents, and my aunt's homicide.

I guess it is the most natural to think that the gangsters who based on the night town have intimidated me by killing Mariko Ohta for the purpose of promoting my surrender to protect their interests.

No, perhaps this killing may be a huge knife that the back society has struck against the social society.

Anyway, as a consequence of the go home campaign, such a situation could be fully predicted.

It seems that this murder to have occurred because the MPD leaked the contents of the damage report to the outside.

As a real feeling of me, it was leaking from the day that this damage report was delivered to the MPD.

Although it is possible that the MPD did not know the schedule of this killing in advance, they should not be aware of Mariko Ohta's relationship with me, so both prefectural police can not escape complicity of murder as long as camouflage is the fact .

I guess it was probably obvious to the inspector and judicial police officers the murder was a professional eradication.

As in this case initially there were no such signs, while the police treated as a traffic accident, I and the bereaved families naturally felt a special intention and feared it.

In addition, I and the bereaved families also feel the dangers of personal injuries due to the fact that the real culprit is kept unleashed after that.

So, each prefectural police can not escape crime of intimidation.

II Ignoring my appeal unfairly (time series ⑧, ⑨, ⑩, ⑪, ⑫, ⑬, ⑭, ⑮, ⑯, ⑰, ⑲, ⑳, 24, 25)

As described in complaint B, I continued to request to the GPPD about the detection of the Surround-Network by insisting on the relevance of the motive between the hunting gun intimidation and Mariko Ohta's Intimidative Homicide.

Verification method

Among the evidence manual, 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18-1, 18-2, 19, 23, 24, 25 , 28, 44-1, 44-2 issue number

Attached document

Among the evidence manual, 3, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17 issue number

Complaint B

Defendant and offense in Japan

Police is the primary investigation institution of Japan, organization is divided by prefecture.

Sniper group 2015.1.11 Sniper, Kazutoshi Takahashi, suspects and the number unknown, totaling more than 4 people

Gunma Prefectural Police Department(hereinafter referred to as "GPPD") Yanaoka, Kuroiwa, Kawata, Takada, Watanabe, Hagiwara, Noguchi, Hashimoto, Mogi,

suspects and unknown persons described below ① ~ ⑧, unknown suspects A ~ B

2015.1.11sniper Attempted Homicide(Article 203of Penal Code)

For GPPD each member of the Sniper Hunter Group, intimidation (Article 222 of the Penal Code) based on Code of Criminal Procedure Article 239, Harboring of Criminals (Article 103 of Penal Code), Abuse of Authority by public officers(Article 193 of Penal Code), Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code)

The nature of the violation

The complainants acted as the Surround-Network.

The Surround-Network continued consistent discrimination and persecution based on customary prejudice.

Furthermore, the Surround-Network continued intimidation and harboring by abusing the authority of public agencies including state agencies .

The Surround-Network have consistently treating me as a nonhuman.

In other words, they have been intimidating me to suggest their power through such consistent abnormal responses.

If I express briefly their intent of the silent intimidation, "we will surely kill you before caught, so there is no need to treat you as a human."

The relationship between these harms and the Civil Code is as described in the report to the UN(Damage Report 2018).

These are violations of Article 1, 2-1, 3, 6, 8-2, 14-1, 16, 17, 18, 19-3(a), 26 of the Civil Code.

chronological facts

①2015.1.11 (Sunday) around 2 pm, the sniper (name unknown) stepped into my field (Kamimoku 2521 - 1) about 30 meters from the north for south before I noticed him, and he suddenly sniped and fired me.

I was sitting by the bonfire in a straight about 30 meters in the direction of facing him.

At this time I sat in a chair and looked at the bonfire in front of my eyes.

The moment when I was surprised by the roar and raised my eyes, I saw an action that this sniper diverts (keeps away) the direction of his body.

I reported soon and six policemen of Numata police station arrived and verified on site.

Although I insisted on intimidation In a conversation after the verification, Yanaoka policeman denied of intimidation because the sniper did not have words of intimidation in case.

② 2015.1.14 (Wednesday), the day before I protested that "did the deer existed when sniped?", since they reexamined.

③ 2015.1.26 (Monday) At 9:00 am, the sniper group scattered the blood streak on the way to my field (near the pass of Kamimoku 2517-2), gathered the hordes of the crows and made it bustle.

At about 2 p.m. on the same day, I informed to ask "There are many bloods on my way and it seems to be a second intimidation by the sniper group and I want you to verify on site," since verification by Kuroiwa was. Kuroiwa reported by phone around 3 pm and said, "There was a large and a small corpse of wild boar at fairly distant from the way, and blood streaks concentrated rather around the corpse than the way , since I think it is the result of hunters ordinarily handling, I do not feel incidents in particular. "

I said , "It is unreasonable, you should think of the relationship with the sniper group first, if it is the action taken by the sniper group who should have received any caution or disposition, it seems to be extremely insensitive and suspicious act. What kind of decision you did?", but he said baselessly, " Please ask it to Yanaoka, because I do not know. anyway, I do not feel the incidentalitity.".

④ 2015.1.26 (Monday) At around 17:00, the sniper group placed two corpses of small wild boar that only made head and fur on my way home (around Kamimoku 2517-2).

⑤ 2015.1.27 (Tuesday) At 9:00, the sniper group hid one of the small corpse (Evidence 30).

⑥ 2015.1.27 (Tuesday) In evening calls, Yanaoka argued that the matter of ④ is "There is no incidents as it is considered 100% crow 's work," I argued that "100% intimidation by a sniper group" , and followed a parallel line.

⑦ 2015.1.29 In the morning call, Kuroiwa insisted that "the above ④ and ⑤ are 100% beast's work and there is no incidents.", contrary I protested, "It is unreasonable, Did you any disposal or any warning to them?", but he did not answer.

Furthermore I asked, "Can you judge the incidentalitity without knowing it?", he said, "Anyway I judge there is no incidents, I will not go to the verification of ④ and ⑤ on-site.",and he refused without basis.

⑧ 2015.2.3 Morning I filed the first protest document (evidence 31) at GPPD Numata station located at 1738-1 in Uehara-machi Numata City, but they ignored this unfairly afterwards.

I corrected the title because I was told, "A document titled Damage Report can not receive "at the initial submission.

⑨ 2015.2.9 a.m. I filed the second protest document at Numata police, but they ignored it unfairly(Evidence 32).

⑩ 2015.2.20 a.m. I protested against Yanaoka and the other at Numata Station, but because they were silent at the end, I was unjustly terminated without any progress.

Nevertheless another policeman sentenced to leave, "We will not discuss with you any more about this matter."

⑪ 2015 Until the end of March, the sniper group persistently clinging me and frequently appeared in my various destinations (evidence 33 1, 33 2).

⑫ 2015.3.20 a.m. I submitted the third protest document ((Evidence 34) at Numata police station to request reply in paper, but they ignored this later.

⑬ 2015.3.27 (Tuesday) 18: 16, the sniper group left only the fur of the corpse of the big boar on my way Kamimoku3406) where about 20 m far from the original place(evidence 35 1, 35 of 2).

⑭ 2015around April, I reported to the GPPD headquarters inspection room but ignored this unfairly later.

⑮ 2015.5.1 I consulted with Mr. Tomizawa at the Maebashi District Public Prosecutors Office.

He said that It can be accused for attempted murder.

⑯ 2016.6.6 I sent a content-proof-mail to the request for investigation from the Maebashi Central Post Office located in 1-6-5 Jyotocy, Maebashi City, Gumma Prefecture, to the head of the GPPD headquarters(evidence 3), but they ignored it unfairly.

⑰ 2017.4.8 at Numata office, I filed 3 complaints I, II and III to the criminal section Tokita, but unfairly ignored.

⑱ 2017.4.14 11:18 at Numata police, I changed the title of three complaints I, II and III and filed it to criminal section · ?(evidence 23), but they unfairly ignored.

⑲ 2017.4.24 16: 04 On phone, I asked to Numata police Criminal Affairs Section ? on the processing status of complaints I, II, III which I filed 2017.4.8, but they ignored it unfairly after that(evidence 24).

⑳ 2017 In the first half of September, the sniper group conducted consecutive shots in the vicinity of my field (Kamimoku3509) for two days.

2 1 2017.09.27 Around 14:00, the sniper group fired near my home Kamimoku3158) (Evidence 37).

2 2 2017.10.02 14:24 On phone, I asked to Numata police Criminal Section Kawata to reply the question which I asked in the call of 2017.09.26 (Evidence 36), but he replied he has no intention to answer (Evidence 38).

2 3 2017.10.04 15: 45 In a call, Numata police Takada ignored my previous protest(evidence 27-1, 27-2) and he unjustly vomited, "It is In vain to protest the Numata police about Numata policeman."

And, I requested to put the chief on phone, Takada unjustly ignored it (Evidence 28).

2 4 2017.10.05 10:44 in the call, GPPD headquarters/inspection department/ Watanabe whom I talked about hunting gun intimidation case and insisted on concealment by Numata police, "If Numata station judged there was no incidents, exactly so, isn't it? "he so replied and unjustly ignored this(Evidence 39).

2 5 2017.10.07 Around 10:50, the sniper group shot a fire near my home(Kamimoku3158-1)(Evidence 40).

2 6 2017.10.07 12:48 on phone, I reported to Numata police Hagiwara the facts of the gunshot in the preceding paragraph and the facts of several consecutive firing in the first half of the previous month, and I appealed it is suspicious as still in the prohibited period now and it must be an additional intimidation by sniper group, since I asked for an investigation to them, but they ignored them unfairly later(Evidence 41).

2 7 2017.10.27 15: 02 At Numata station, I reported to the police section/ Noguchi about unfairly ignoring the gunshot report of 2017.10.07 12:48 to Numata station, and the fact of 2017.10.22 New gunshot at 15:33, and that I want to ask the opinion of the chief, but they ignored these unfairly later (Evidence 44).

2 8 2018.01.09 14:54 In the call with Tamura/animal injury countermeasure center, I confirmed that ① they have not taken any actions for the sniper group as a Minakami town until then, ②they have been received no inquiries from the police until then(evidence 45).

2 9 2018.01.11 15: 57 Hunter's conversation and image appearing at the turnaround point of my walk

(Evidence 46)

It seems not to be a sniper group, but it shows the power of the Surround-network.

3 0 2018.01.26 02: 02, I heard about five times the signal of hunting around my bedroom in the late night.
On the same day 10: 48 I reported Numata police so visited Hashimoto and Mogi, I emphasized to them this
must be intimidation by the sniper group, but they ignored this unfairly (Evidence 91).

3 1 2018.02.10 05: 43, I heard about ten times the signal of the hunting around my bedroom before dawn
so I reported soon, and I told against Numata police ? the fact in the preceding paragraph and the pheasant
corpse that had a hole in the fuselage were placed on the sidewalk along the prefectural route(near Onuma)
about three days ago at 20180207 around 13:30, and these seems to be intimidation by the sniper group, but
they unjustly ignored this(evidence 93).

Common illegality of defendants

Having suggested the Surround-Network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness
of their actions

Illegality of sniper hunter group

Combining the following I and II to suggest the power of the Surround-Network

I Having Sniped me by hunting gun (time-series factual ①)

This is probably a snipe by unnecessary murderous intent.

In Japan, alias Guns and Swords Law prohibit ordinary people from possessing weapons such as guns and swords.

To possess a hunting gun, a license is also necessary, and we will also be subject to regulations such as hunting laws for use after license acquisition.

As usual Ho Ho voice of the signal of the hunters were heard in advance, but there was no sound only on this day.

So it seems probably creeping with the intention of surprising me by the roar of fire.

In other words, it may be that he suddenly hit aiming at me at close range but did not hit it.

The abnormality of this snipe is probably worldwide in common.

It feels as if the sniper is declaring to me "I do not think you as human."

The motive for this sniper is thought to be intimidation by suggesting the power of Surround-network.

II The sniper group continued to intimidate me(Time Series③, ④, ⑤, ⑪, ⑬, ⑭, ⑮, ⑯, ⑰, ⑱, 22, 27, 28)

The intimidation purpose of these sniper groups is because the fear that the intimidation murder of Mariko Ohta, my aunt, 2009.02.20, is losing the effect, so the second intimidation of Surround-network.

I think these are typical intimidation play like as if drawn picture.

★ The following A to F also suggest intimidation

A series of actions of a sniper

It is a field of about 100 meters in length and width, so they can always snipe enough from outside the field.

However, there is a possibility that I do not notice a threat as a snipe from outside the field.

Of course, it is no mean that if a intimidation was not noticed by the target person.

In other words, I think that he was visually showing that it was a threatening act by stepping from the front to the deep inside the field and by sniping from close range.

The field is about 1 hectare in size and there were nothing that obstruct vision besides but only the trees I cut down were lying and the fire to burn them was a very large scale.

It can not be that he was not aware of the smoke of the fire soaring above the sky and the person by the side.

I felt this snipe as a threat, so I insisted to Yanaoka police officer on that day.

B Whether warning or punishment having done to sniper or not, is still unknown

On that day, Yanaoka policeman told me that it was planned to do.

The fact should have greatly influenced the judgment of cases on blood streak and corpses afterwards.

C ②2015.1.14 (Wed) suspicion for re-verification on site based on my protest

This re-verification was carried out because I protested to 2015.1.13 that "Was the deer really there?"

However, it is clear that the direction and the landing point had not been verified.

D the fact that both corpses of little wild boar were on my way home(the location was suspicious)

It appeared only in less than two hours since Kuroiwa came home (the timing was suspicious)

I feel strongly the artificiality in the location where the corpses were.

I feel slightly the possibility that the beast will move, but if the beast were to move it, he would do so.

As I repeatedly protested, they would never leave on the way even if we repeat reproducing experiment a hundred times.

E Three major suspicious points about the scattering of blood on my way

(1) It is extremely insensitive and suspicious act if it is a work of the sniper group who should have received administrative punishment or warning

The site of sniping and the location of bloodstains are only about 200 m apart.

It is an insensible act to the eyewitness, and also against the purpose of the laws concerned.

The scattering situation of the bloodstains, it was scattered over about 20 m in length only on my way.

The center that would have been reddish, was lost and the around parts remained as yellow hole on the snow way.

To be exact, what I have witnessed is not the blood itself, but its traces.

Kuroiwa initially said that he think there is no incidents because Hunter handled ordinarily.

(2) there was hardly any bloodstain between the original place and the way (about 20 m)

(3) the amount of blood sprinkled seems to be abnormally large

As I saw at the beginning, there were no places where bloodstains and hair loss were concentrated in particular.

It is presumed that it is not the result of dissecting prey on my way.

In other words, handling in the same place where the corpse originally existed, after having carried it as it is, it means that the blood dripped off, but if so it is extremely suspicious that,

Based on the size of the bloodstain and the scale of the crow 's huge group, it seems that an amount far beyond the two small corpses.

The crow 's group was so huge as I have never seen, it seems that there were about 10,000 birds.

I heard crying with a tremendous voice from several hundred meters before the scene.

As there were many such suspicious points in this way, I think that Numata police station had to determine "what cause is the bloodstain" at first.

Even if it is not investigative agency, I think it is naturally so.

Besides, I reported clearly, "It seems to be a continual intimidation by the sniper group, I want you to verify on site."

F ⑯ 2015.3.27 (Tuesday) 18: 16 After the corpse of the big wild boar was dissected, only that fur was placed on my way (1, 35 of evidence 35 2), and after two months or more It is meaningless to dissect rotten corpse

Please pay attention to the fact that the fur is artificially tanned cleanly like a rug as the image shows.

What will they do with it's head and bones taken away? A hunter will not do it ordinary.

It is important that the former place was more than 20 meters away from the way almost at right angles.

If there was a legitimate reason for leaving corpse at first, there is no reason to take away and dissect it since more than two months later.

Illegality of GPPD

As following I to V, suggesting the power of the Surround-network by unfairly ignoring my appeal

Because unfair treatment of my appeal are violations from the nature of the act and the obligation of officials to accuse crimes, so it is a prevention of my exercise of the right of receive a proper procedure.

Because this prevention is due to their abuse of their official authorities, they are in a crime.

These are violations of the Civil Code, mainly from the view of the discrimination and the rights to life.

It was a stern fact that the abnormal shooting to be called a sniper was done, and there is no guarantee that it is a intimidation as I am only.

In other words, we can not deny the possibility of damage to other residents, that is, the possibility of infringement of public interest.

It is also clear that I was complaining of life crisis.

The fact that they ignored these is in violation of Article 2 of the Police Act, "The police are responsible for maintaining public safety and order such as protection of individual's life and prevention of crime".

They are now completely ignoring even suspicious gunfire reports during the ban fishing period.

I They made extremely unreasonable judgment in the field verification of the case (time series ①, ③)

II They have been ignoring unfairly against my protests (time series ①, ③, ⑥, ⑦, ⑧, ⑨, ⑩, ⑫, ⑭, ⑯)

III 2016.6.6 Continuing to ignore my content certification mail unfairly (time series ⑯)

IV They continue to ignore my complaint unjustly (time series fact factual orchid ⑯, ⑯, ⑯)

V They have been ignoring me more blatantly since 2017(time series 22, 26, 27, 30, 31)

Verification method

Among the evidence manual, 3, 27-1, 27-2, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33-1, 33-2, 34, 35-1, 35-2, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 45, 46 issue number

Attached document

Among the evidence manual, 3, 31, 32, 34 issue number

告訴状C Complaint C

Defendant and offense in Japan

Police is the primary investigation institution of Japan, organization is divided by prefecture.

Numata Post Office Saito Delivery Partner Ohfuji Deputy General Manager

Gunma Prefectural Police Department(hereinafter referred to as "GPPD") Makishima, Tokita, Nakajima, suspect and number unknown ① ~ ②, suspect unknown ① ~ ③

Saito Breaking into a Residence(Article 130 of Penal Code), Counterfeiting of Private Documents(Article 159 of Penal Code), Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code)

Ohuji Harboring of Criminals (Article 103 of Penal Code)

the GPPD based on Criminal Procedure Law Article 239, Harboring of Criminals (Article 103 of Penal Code), Abuse of Authority by public officers(Article 193 of Penal Code), Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code)

The nature of the violation

The complainants acted as the Surround-Network.

The Surround-Network continued consistent discrimination and persecution based on customary prejudice.

Furthermore, the Surround-Network continued intimidation and harboring by abusing the authority of public agencies including state agencies .

The Surround-Network have consistently treating me as a nonhuman.

In other words, they have been intimidating me to suggest their power through such consistent abnormal responses.

If I express briefly their intent of the silent intimidation, "we will surely kill you before caught, so there is no need to treat you as a human."

The relationship between these harms and the Civil Code is as described in the report to the UN(Damage Report 2018).

These are violations of Article 1, 2-1, 3, 6, 8-2, 14-1, 16, 17, 18, 19-3(a), 26 of the Civil Code.

chronological facts

① About 20 o'clock on April 5, 2017, the Numata post office/Saito delivery member visited to my home(3158-1 Kamimoku, Minakami-machi) pretend his duty, knowing that I was sleeping near the entrance due to the information he got from the internet in advance.

Then, after he confirmed from outside the entrance that I was sleeping inside, with intention of intimidating, without deliberately calling out, he opened the front door and invaded in and left the deliverables beside my head, although I was in an unconscious.

I naturally do not have memories that received delivery or memories that wrote a receipt sign.

② Soon after the invasion, Saito counterfeited my receipt sign of the delivery certificate in order to harboring the invasion and disguised the delivery was completed as usual, and he submitted it to the Numata Post

Office(819 Nishikurauchimachi, Numata-shi) clerk and cheated the staff and let him stored them.

③ About 18 o'clock on April 6, 2017, in a call from my home to Numata Post Office, I requested, "I want you to bring the delivery certificate to my home right now because it is necessary for on-site verification done from now", but Ohfaji said "The delivery certificate once collected can not be brought out of the office absolutely" and refused this.

④ 2017.04.07 12:19 At Numata post office, I confirmed that the receipt sign of the delivery certificate was not my handwriting and reported it soon.

four policemen came running, and I asked Makishima and others to verify the site of the crime of Saito, but they stubbornly denied the criminality and did not verify after all(Evidence22).

⑤ On 7th April 2017 in a call from my home to the office Ohfaji, I requested that "I ask you to show the basis of your reply on yesterday's phone" and he answered "Please give me some time." since I was waiting for the answer.

⑥ 2017.4.8 at Numata police station(1738-1 Ueharamachi, Numata-shi), I filed the complaint to the criminal section/Tokita, but they unfairly ignored it later.

⑦ 2017.10.30 16:18 On phone, I asked Oofaji to reply to ⑤ above, but he replied "I can not answer" (Evidence 48).

Common illegality of defendants

Having suggested the Surround-network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions

Illegality of Numata Post Office

Having broken into my residence and concealed it systematically to demonstrate the power of the Surround-network(time series ①, ②, ③, ⑤, ⑦)

First of all, it is necessary as common sense before work, calling out before enter to indoor.

It was night, the indoor was bright with lighting, so he should have been easily found before entering I was lying.

Also, the postal delivery service requiring receipt signature will not be completed without the recipient's sign. So if he did not wake up the payee, his business would be stuck, so he should naturally have made a bigger voice than usual, and if I was still asleep, he should have repeated it.

Besides, I was only a little snoozing, so if he had called out, I should have woken up soon.

It is important that the postal item was beside my face when I woke up.

I have no memory of these interactions.

This indicates as common sense that there was no fact of a delivery and a receipt.

It is not a legitimate business and can not be called a delivery, leaving deliverables when recipient is unconscious.

Generally, actions that were carried out with one party lacking willing ability are naturally ineffective in law. Also, as long as the receipt signature is counterfeit, we can only explain this house invasion as an intimidation purpose.

My aunt Mariko Ohta's homicide, as stated in complaint A, was caused by the Higashimurayama post office

of my residential at that time leaking the contents of New Year cards from my aunt to me, is strongly suspicious.

In other words, Saito seems to hide this truth by intimidating me.

The intention of silent intimidation by Saito is "If you try to reveal the truth of the aunt's murder and ours, someone of our Surround-network will invade you like this suddenly and kill you like your aunt "I think.

Saito forged my receipt sign of the U-Pack delivery certificate in order to hide this invasion.

Ohfui interferes with my verification on-site using false reasons, and unjustly ignored that I asked the grounds for the answer later.

★ supplement for Saito's crime

- The receipt sign of my name on the Yu-Pack delivery certificate is not my handwriting
- Saito insisted that I signed with a ballpoint pen on the kotatu(desk), but the color of the ballpoint pen ink was blue in the immediate confirmation (the actual thing is black against the kotatsu is blue)
- If you analyze the ingredients of ink probably that these two are different
- I can not sign if I do not touch it so my fingerprint will not be detected from my delivery certificate
- I have not memory on receipt at all
- When I woke up, the U-Pack was beside my face and it was about to fall off from the edge of dining room If the U-Pack really were there before I lying down, I should have move it to somewhere as it would hinder me
- Usually I used to discard "Undeliverable Item Notice" soon after re-delivery, but it was remained

Illegality of GPPD

Because unfair treatment of my appeal are violations from the nature of the act and the obligation of officials to accuse crimes, so it is a prevention of my exercise of the right of receive a proper procedure.

Because this prevention is due to their abuse of their official authorities, they are in a crime.

These are violations of the Civil Code, mainly from the view of the discrimination and the rights to life.

As following I to II, suggesting the power of the Surround-network by continuing to ignore my appeals

I ignored my request of on-site verification (time series ④)

II ignored my complaint filed on the next day (time series ⑥)

Verification method

Among the evidence manuals, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27-2, 28, 44-1,44-2,47,48 issue number

Attached document

Of the evidence manual, 47 issue number

Complaint D

Defendant and offense in Japan

Police is the primary investigation institution of Japan, organization is divided by prefecture.

Name: **Keiko Ishii** Address: Gunma prefecture Tone-gun Minakami-cho Kamimoku3329

Occupational: teacher

Gunma Prefectural Police Department(hereinafter referred to as "**GPPD**") Makishima, Nagumo, Takada, Noguchi, suspect unknown ① ~ ②

For **Keiko Ishii**, Harboring of Criminals (Article 103 of Penal Code), Breaking into a Residence(Article 130 of Penal Code), Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code)

For **GPPD**, Article 2 of Police Law, Article 63 of Criminal Investigation Standards, Article 239, 242 of Criminal Procedure Law, Harboring of Criminals (Article 103 of Penal Code), Abuse of Authority by public officers(Article 193 of Penal Code), Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code)

The nature of the violation

The complainants acted as the Surround-Network.

The Surround-Network continued consistent discrimination and persecution based on customary prejudice.

Furthermore, the Surround-Network continued intimidation and harboring by abusing the authority of public agencies including state agencies .

The Surround-Network have consistently treating me as a nonhuman.

In other words, they have been intimidating me to suggest their power through such consistent abnormal responses.

If I express briefly their intent of the silent intimidation, "we will surely kill you before caught, so there is no need to treat you as a human."

The relationship between these harms and the Civil Code is as described in the report to the UN(Damage Report 2018).

These are violations of Article 1, 2-1, 3, 6, 8-2, 14-1, 16, 17, 18, 19-3(a), 26 of the Civil Code.

chronological facts

① 20170212 20: 16 At the end of the rally at the district center(3034Kamimoku Minakami-machi), I explained the outline of the hunting gun intimidation case and I emphasized that the other residents would be put in danger, and I proposed to cut out the sniper group from this district, though Micho Suzuki said "That's not the story here!" in the face of the public and after that he obstinately obstructed my remarks without reason, and more the other inhabitants leaved one after another, and my remarks eventually discontinued(Evidence 6).

② 20170416 At around 19:15 at the beginning of the rally at the district center(3034Kamimoku Minakami-machi), I was restarting the proposal interrupted at the last rally, then Keiko Ishii said "Please do Such story after the main themes have been done!", in this way she interfered my remarks in front of the

public(Evidence 7-1).

③ On the same day 20170416 20:30, at the end of the same rally at the same center, I began introducing the case example of the Saito mailman's crime, then Keiko Ishii said, "That's not the story here!", she disrupted my remarks without grounds in front of the public, and more Masaharu Suzuki said, "That's enough and let's go home!" in the way the other inhabitants leaved one after another, eventually my remarks discontinued again(Evidence 7-2).

④ 20170429 around 15: 00, Keiko Ishii pretending to be a agent of my Bodaiji, after knowing that I am out, visited my home(Minakami-machi 3158-1), opened the entrance door and Invaded in the living room, and leaved the distribution On the living room.

That same day 19:38 Keiko Ishii answered "Yes, I put it" about this (Evidence 50).

⑤ 20170815 At around 17:00, Keiko Ishii pretending to be a agent of my Bodaiji, after knowing that I am out, visited my home(Minakami-machi 3158-1), opened the entrance door and Invaded in the living room, and leaved the distribution On the living room.

⑥ 2017.8.15 18: 04 At my home, Numata police/Makishima and the other person, who came by my report, but they ignored the complaint that I explained on-site, and more they ignored my protests unjustly and went back without doing the on-site verification requested (Evidence 51).

⑦ About 18:30 on the same day at my house, Makishima refused acceptance of the complaint I tried to submit on the spot, using false reasons as an identity fraud, that they do not have the right to accept (Evidence 51).

⑧ 2017.9.28 14:13 Numata police Criminal Affairs Division/? in a call from my home, testified that Makishima was a judicial police officer and was authorized to accept a complaint (Evidence 25).

⑨ 2017.5.2 09: 30 ~ 13: 30 Numata police(1738-1, Uehara-machi, Numata-shi) In front of the criminal section, I submitted after explaining the complaints to the criminal section/Nagumo, but after that they ignored it unfairly.

⑩ 2017.9.28 14:13 In a call from my home to Numata station, the criminal section/unknown suspect② had not answered about the situation of response to the eight complaints submitted(Evidence 25), but then ignored it unfairly.

⑪ 2017.10.03 15: 20 In the calling from my home station to Numata police, I asked Takada about the status of response to the submitted complaint, but he did not repliedand and it became pending(Evidence 27-2),

⑫ 2017.10.04 15: 45 In a call, Numata police Takada ignored my previous protest(evidence 27-1, 27-2) and he unjustly vomited, "It is In vain to protest the Numata police about Numata policeman."

And, I requested to put the chief on phone, Takada unjustly ignored it (Evidence 28).

⑬ 2017.10.27 15: 02 At Numata station, I requested the police section/Noguchi to ask the Chief about my filed complaints, but they ignored it unfairly after that(Evidence 44-1,44-2).

⑭ 20180110 p.m., Keiko Ishii, pretended as a agent of the Bodaiji, informed in advance that I am out, visited my home(Kamimoku3158-1,), opened entrance door, invaded into the living room, and she picked up one of the sandals and threw it up to the living room.

Common illegality of defendants

Having suggested the Surround-network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions

illegality of Keiko Ishii

Demonstrate the power of the Surround-network by follows I and II

I Having made remarks disturbing or ignoring my remarks in the public (time series ②, ③)

It is not necessary to obstruct my remarks for harboring, so its blatant unfairness clearly suggests the intention of showing power.

About Michio Suzuki, though I began speaking as "This is a story concerning common safety of us...", but he ignored it at all, it is not only my total personality denial, but also it may hit criminals depending on his motivation, so I just advised everyone at the beginning of this rally.

Although it is that Keiko Ishii imitated it soon, in other words, she suggested "everyone will not admit your speaking right" in front of the public.

This is a very hostile attitude and I think it is a battle decree with the preparedness to be sued for naturally.

Her intention of harboring is also clear because her remark directly interfered with the introduction of Saito's case.

★ Abnormal attitude of villagers suggest the Surround-Network (time series ①~③, evidence 6, 7-1, 7-2)

It is a strict fact that there were an abnormal shooting should be called a sniping or an unconscious residence invasion should be called intimidation, so no one can deny other inhabitants also may be dangerous.

And, It is natural that sharing safety information as it was the basis of group since ancient times.

Nevertheless, denying it in the face of public, and nobody else who was present not blaming it, was an extremely abnormal allergic reaction, so I think everyone confessed they were all in the closing-network.

II Having repeated invasion into my absence home (time series ④, ⑤, ⑯)

★ arbitrariness of intimidation

(1) Similarity with the invasion of Saito

It is in common with Numata post office Saito deliverer's case(complaint A) in that "can not defensive state".

In addition, it is also intentional that it is exactly the same act that she obstructed my introduction in ③.

(2) Appealing her action to use things for each times

(3) There is no legitimate reason for indoor invasion

1 As mentioned before, the human relationship is completely collapsed and she could not have entered the absence my home without permission

2 She should have known my feeling that "I do not want anyone to be visited my home"

Bodaiji is a Buddhist concept, asking prayers at the time of funeral, so to speak, it is "a decided temple of family".

In the case of Kenmeiji, the users of neighborhoods are striving agent by a shift of two years by their turning system.

Keiko Ishii's predecessor is me and I just took over in February 2017.

At the time of this transition I made a two-year prepayment to her(Evidence 49), and I announced that "I do

not want anyone to be visited my home" as a reason for the unprecedented prepayment.

In addition, I had a period indicating that "Keep Out" was largely displayed at the entrance door over one year or more before that time, the fact should have been known among the villagers.

3 The weather of the day was different from the claim

Keiko Ishii insists that " I worried about the distribution being wet with the rain" as a reason for entering.

But, even the mail-box installed under the eaves, there is no worry of getting wet unless the wind is strong enough.

As well as the first and the second times, there was rarely windy with weak rain.

4 From the second time, there was largely written as "Keep Out" on the each entrance door

This is the one that I showed after the first invasion by Keiko Ishii as a lesson.

Both of them are written by magic-inc on the eyes' height, and it is impossible to overlook this.

5 The second distribution is not important and there is no need to enter indoors originally

the second distribution are only receipts and seasonal information, so we usually put it in the post of the eaves. Since it is recorded in the note of the agent, there is no use of the receipt.

Keiko Ishii is a teacher and probably instructing a human rights enlightenment aimed at students at school, so as her occupational insight, The sense of entering to leave by ignoring the indication of "Keep Out", is quite insane and outdated and also suspicious.

Incidentally, the personality denial remark of ③ above is also very suspicious for the same reason.

6 The second seems to have been waiting for the same situation of rainy weather

The second was a delivery of July, so it is more than two weeks passed.

★ ⑤ I have no basis for the third invasion on 20180110.

When I came home in the evening, the distribution of the Bodajji was in the mail post as well as in the previous two times, and one of the sandals that was supposed to be on the step was turned over on the living room .

There is a height difference of about 30 cm the step and the living room, and I have never experienced such scene before.

That is, it seems to be the result of showing the intention of intimidation by recidivism.

The intention of the silent intimidation is "If you do not surrender to us Surround-network, then anyone of us will invade you like this suddenly and kill you like your aunt or a beast."

It also shows the two natures of a woman " pretending a coincidence and exerting pressure by the relationship".

Illegality of GPPD

Because unfair treatment of my appeal are violations from the nature of the act and the obligation of officials to accuse crimes, so it is a prevention of my exercise of the right of receive a proper procedure.

Because this prevention is due to their abuse of their official authorities, they are in a crime.

These are violations of the Civil Code, mainly from the view of the discrimination and the rights to life.

As following I to III, suggesting the power of the Surround-network by continuing to ignore my appeals

I have been asking as the victim himself the basis for judging that they are not intimidation.

They have ignored everything, although the incident can not be solved unless they answer.

They have also ignored my protest against that unfairness.

I ignored my request of on-site verification (time series ⑥)

II Refusing to accept my complaint using false reasons(time series ⑦, ⑧)

2017.9.28 14:13 it turned out that the phrase "we do not have the right to accept" was false (evidence 25).

III They unfairly ignored my applicable complaint after that(time series ⑨ ~ ⑬)

Verification method

Of the evidence manual, 6, 7-1, 7-2, 25, 27-2, 28, 44-1, 44-2, 49, 50, 51 issue

Attached documents

Of the evidence manual, 49 issue

Complaint E

Defendant and offense in Japan

Police is the primary investigation institution of Japan, organization is divided by prefecture.

A name Isamu Kitamura address 3-23 Akebonocho, Takayama city, Gifu prefecture
B name Takeo Gomi Address 1-8-43 Arakawa, Kofu city, Yamanashi Prefecture
C Name Shizuo Gomi Address 1-5-19 Arakawa, Kofu City, Yamanashi Prefecture
D Name Yutaka Kamekawa Address 174-2 Ishigaki, Ishigaki city, Okinawa Prefecture

Gunma Prefectural Police Department(hereinafter referred to as "GPPD") Numata station

Nagumo, Takada, Noguchi, suspects and unknown number ①

Isamu Kitamura Forcible Obstruction of Business(Article 234 of Penal Code)

Defendants A～D Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code)

GPPD based on Code of Criminal Procedure Article 239, Harboring of Criminals (Article 103 of Penal Code), Abuse of Authority by public officers(Article 193 of Penal Code), Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code)

The nature of the violation

The complainants acted as the Surround-Network.

The Surround-Network continued consistent discrimination and persecution based on customary prejudice.

Furthermore, the Surround-Network continued intimidation and harboring by abusing the authority of public agencies including state agencies .

The Surround-Network have consistently treating me as a nonhuman.

In other words, they have been intimidating me to suggest their power through such consistent abnormal responses.

If I express briefly their intent of the silent intimidation, "we will surely kill you before caught, so there is no need to treat you as a human."

The relationship between these harms and the Civil Code is as described in the report to the UN(Damage Report 2018).

These are violations of Article 1, 2-1, 3, 6, 8-2, 14-1, 16, 17, 18, 19-3(a), 26 of the Civil Code.

chronological facts

① defendant A in 20170306 at YAHOO shopping, Isamu Kitamura (order ID is yoshidairafarm - 10000140) ordered 250 Makomodake seedling blocks/total amount of 125,000 yen to YoshidairaFarm which is my internet store.

② There was no manifestation of intention from Kitamura after that, I had absolutely no procedural error.

③ Kitamura at his home at 20170424 suddenly refused acceptance due to the reason that "I did not remember ordered it" to Yamato Transport deliverer.

After that I tried to contact him many times by e-mail or phone, but Kitamura ignored all that.

④ defendant B in 20170422 at YAHOO shopping, Takeo Gomi (order ID yoshidairafarm - 10000163) ordered 599 Makomodake seedling blocks/total amount of 299,500 yen to Yoshidairafarm which is my internet store.

⑤ In 20170426, Takeo Gomi accepted to my request for payment method change,

⑥ Although I showed the deposit account to 20170426, Takeo Gomi then unjustly ignored this.

⑦ There was no response from Takeo Gomi after that, as I canceled this order at 20170520.

⑧ Defendant C in 2017423 at YAHOO shopping, Shizuo Gomi (order ID Yoshidairafarm - 10000164) ordered Susuki 149 shares/total amount of 298,000 yen to Yoshidairafarm which is my internet store.

⑨ In 20170425, Shizuo Gomi replied "Unnecessary" and "by Cash on delivery" in response to my payment method change request.

⑩ In 20170425 I repeatedly asked Shizuo Gomi to change the payment method.

⑪ But no response from Shizuo Gomi after that, so I canceled this order to 20170520.

⑫ Defendant D in 20170530 at YAHOO shopping, Yutaka Kamekawa (order ID yoshidairafarm - 10000168) ordered 350Makomodake seedling blocks/total amount of 175,000 yen to Yoshidairafarm which is my internet store.

⑬ In 20170530 I asked him to change the payment method,

⑭ There was no response from him after that, I canceled this order at 20170629.

⑮ 2017.5.2 9: 00 ~ 14: 00 at GPPD Numata station, I submitted this complaint to the criminal section/Nagomo, but they ignored it unfairly afterwards.

Common illegality of defendants

suggesting the power of the Surround-network to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions

First of all, as I had contacted to Kitamura completely by all proceedings prescribed in the mall timely, and since there was no indication from Kitamura before delivering, this sudden refusal to accept is of course unfair.

Next, defendants of A to D seems to be conspired from their past actions.

The illegality of defendant A to D

Having demonstrated the Surround-network's power by doing abnormal orders together(time series ① ~ ⑯)

As all their response commonly ceased soon after I requested to change the payment method, so it is clear that they had no intention of purchase from the beginning and they would have refused to accept like Kitamura.

★ Common points among respondents A to D

- All four people are paying on delivery (cash on delivery) settlement

Especially Shizuo Gomi and Takeo Gomi, the each order is about 300,000 yen in total, so the risk of cash position is large, it is very hard to think that general individuals choose as payment method

- All 4 people are large orders with total amount of 100,000 yen or more

Due to the nature of a seedling, it is hard to think that large ordering suddenly into the first store

- All four people are new customers
- The order of all four people is concentrated in a short period (20170301 to 20170531)
- Especially Shizuo Gomi is a large order of Suzuki seedlings and it is hard to think actual needs
- The three persons have stopped responding after my requesting the change of payment method

From these, it is obvious that the four persons had no intention to purchase from the beginning

Cash on delivery payment is a settlement method to pay the price at the time of delivery of goods.

So there is room as a mechanism for misappropriation by people without purchase intention, actually most of the damage of receiving refusal on net sales seems to be caused from this payment.

In general, however, the convenience of purchasers seems to be large, and more than 40% for all orders of mall .

However, in the first place, it is extremely rare as a arbitrariness of appearance that large order by cash on delivery, and much more it is almost impossible these concentrate to the small shop as mine in a short time.

In other words, it suggests that 4 people were conspiring.

The intention of the silent intimidation is that in addition to the ones mentioned above, I think that "If you do not surrender to our Surround-network, we will obstruct your business like this and get into living difficulties".

In addition, since four orders sums up to about 900,000 yen, I think that it can also be regarded as a threat to my life as it will definitely get into difficulties in life when all these are refused receipt.

At around June 2017, I asked the Yahoo shopping mall to provide statistical information on cash on delivery , but it was a suspicious answer "We can not respond if it is not an investigation."

the illegality of the GPPD

Because unfair treatment of my appeal are violations from the nature of the act and the obligation of officials to accuse crimes, so it is a prevention of my exercise of the right of receive a proper procedure.

Because this prevention is due to their abuse of their official authorities, they are in a crime.

These are violations of the Civil Code, mainly from the view of the discrimination and the rights to life.

Having suggested the power of the Surround-network by continuing to ignore my appeals(time series ⑯)

Verification method

Among the evidence manuals, 25,27-2,28,44-1,44-2,52,53 certificates

Attached documents

Among the evidence manuals, 52 certificates

Complaint H

Defendant and offense in Japan

Japan Agricultural Cooperative is a national and quasi-public organization based on special law

Defendant A Japan Agricultural Cooperative Tone-Numata(hereinafter referred to as "JATN")

Minakami shipping station Tomizawa principal

Location Gunma prefecture Tone-gun Minakami-machi Tsukiyono 425

Defendant B TOKYO CITY SEIKA corporation(hereinafter referred to as "TCS"), those who fall the following crimes (suspects and number unknown)

Location Tokyo Metropolitan Central Wholesale Market Tsukiji Market 5 - 2 - 1 Tsukiji, Chuo - ku, Tokyo

Defendant C GUNMA KENOU SEIKA corporation(hereinafter referred to as "GKS"), those who fall the following crimes (suspects and unknown number)

Location Gunma Prefecture Takasaki-shi Shimodairui-machi 1258

Takasaki-shi comprehensive regional wholesale market Central building 2F

Defendant D TOICHI SEIKA corporation(hereinafter referred to as "TS"), those who fall under the following crimes (suspects and number unknown)

Location 3-2-1 Tokai, Ota-ku, Tokyo

Defendants A～D Obstruction of Auctions(Article 96-3-2 of Penal Code)

Harboring of Criminals (Article 103 of Penal Code), Suppression of Evidence (Article 104 of Penal Code), Abuse of Authority by public officers(Article 193 of Penal Code), Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code), Forcible Obstruction of Business(Article 234 of Penal Code)

The nature of the violation

The complainants acted as the Surround-Network.

The Surround-Network continued consistent discrimination and persecution based on customary prejudice.

Furthermore, the Surround-Network continued intimidation and harboring by abusing the authority of public agencies including state agencies .

The Surround-Network have consistently treating me as a nonhuman.

In other words, they have been intimidating me to suggest their power through such consistent abnormal responses.

If I express briefly their intent of the silent intimidation, "we will surely kill you before caught, so there is no need to treat you as a human."

The relationship between these harms and the Civil Code is as described in the report to the UN(Damage Report 2018).

These are violations of Article 1, 2-1, 3, 6, 8-2, 17, 18, 19-3(a) of the Civil Code.

chronological facts

① 20160724 - 20160825 TCS(defendant B) fixed the winning bid price of zucchini for shipment of this center to 400 yen (I confirmed the material provided by the agricultural cooperative).

② At the shipment of 20160727 Zucchini, Tomizawa treated my one separately, put it in the GKS and put out the other two shippers one to the TCS(evidence 87-1).

③ On the next day 20160728, my shipping one amount was 200 yen and 300 yen, and for the other two shippers one was 400 yen, so about twice the price difference arrived (evidence 87-1).

④ around 20160830, TCS has discontinued the display of the actual price of zucchini on the company's website soon after I submitted "request for cooperation" to JATN.

⑤ In the afternoon of 2017/06/29 Tomizawa answered "I discarded the memo of the cargo center on 20160727" though I have been asking him from the previous year the copy of the memo.

⑥ 20170630 Tomizawa told me that "TCS told us they can not accept "Green Tosca" after today because it is not popular as it is not deep green, is yours one the same, isn't it?"

⑦ At around July 2017, the defendants A to D repeated the price manipulation of "treat my one differently and extra low price on it" every day as follows.

20170709 TCS は 437 円、GKS の私の分は 200 円

20170710 TCS は 600 円、GKS の私の分は 150 円 (GKS イエローは 300 円)

20170714 TCS は 322 円、TS の私の分は 100 円

20170717 TCS は 272 円、GKS の私の分は 100 円、TS の私の分は 50 円 GKS のイエローは 200

20170718 TCS は 201 円、GKS の私の分は 50 円、GKS のイエローは 50 円

20170719 TCS は 243 円、GKS の私の分は 100 円

20170801 TCS は 665 円、GKS の私の分は 200 円

20170803 TCS は 640 円、GKS の私の分は 200 円

20170811 TCS は 500 円、GKS の私の分は 200 円

20170817 TCS は 600 円、GKS の私の分は 150 円

20170709 TCS is 437 yen, my portion of GKS is 200 yen

20170710 TCS is 600 yen, my portion of GKS is 150 yen (GKS Yellow is 300 yen)

20170714 TCS is 322 yen, my portion of TS is 100 yen

20170717 TCS is 272 yen, my portion of GKS is 100 yen, my portion of TS is 50 yen Yellow of GKS 200

20170718 TCS is 201 yen, my portion of GKS 50 yen, Yellow of GKS 50 yen

20170719 TCS is 243 yen, my portion of GKS is 100 yen

20170801 TCS is 665 yen, my portion of GKS is 200 yen

20170803 TCS is 640 yen, my portion of GKS is 200 yen

20170811 TCS is 500 yen, my portion of GKS is 200 yen

20170817 TCS is 600 yen, my portion of GKS is 150 yen

⑧ At 20170704 at the cargo center, Tomizawa handed me a copy of the fax(evidence 87-2) of the "market detail of vegetables and fruits", instead of the memorandum discarded as ⑤ in a blurred and unreadable state.

If you compare it with base paper, the difference is obvious. The current fax is not as bad as this.

Common illegality of defendants

These are violations of the Civil Code, mainly from the view of the discrimination and the rights to life.

Having suggested the Surround-network's power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions as follows I ~IV.

The intention of the silent intimidation is "If you do not surrender to us closing-network, we will further strengthen price operation and we will make you a living difficult" I think.

I Defendant B did price operation by price fixing during 20160724 to 20160825 (time series ①)

From the following viewpoints, it seems that this was a price manipulation as a sign of leading to II or less.

- A Preconditions for price determination naturally differ everyday
- B It was the time when the annual market price very changeable
- C On the TCS website at 20160728, the actual price of zucchini was displayed as 1080 yen, that is, it was many times different from the local bid price of 400 yen
- D The same price never lasted for more than three days in the past three years

In addition, there were price operations as below (Evidence 89) before this.

- Mystery phenomenon that M size becomes cheapest at 20160727, S was 355 yen, M was 310 yen, L was 355 yen.

This is a very irrational phenomenon, considering the definition of size M, of course there is no precedent.

It aimed at the fact that my M size proportion was higher than other two shippers.

· Phenomenon that price becomes cheaper than usual on mass shipping day of several times a year

For example, 20160807 and 20160811. This is aimed at discouraging me.

II At 20160727 Shipment, defendants A to C in cooperation with price operation (time series ②, ③)

Because of the nature of the institution of JATN, it seems that it is very suspicious to treat my one differently without necessity to identify each shipper, and it was a preliminary act for subsequent price manipulation.

The meaning of Tomizawa's actions is known Retroactively from the difference of each price at 20160728 between GKS and TCS in same zucchini(evidence 87-1).

III Around July 2017, the defendants A to D performed price operations nearly everyday (time series ⑥, ⑦)★ It was an extremely abnormal price as an absolute level with no precedent

These prices are for 2 kg of zucchini, that is, 10 squares of M size.

50 yen means 5 yen per piece, since means an unconditional deficit.

In addition, until last year there were few prices less than 300 yen.

Even by chronological past trends in Japan, you can not see less than 100 yen per retail price.

50 yen per box is a fully murderous price, since it is extremely strongly suggesting of price operation.

Then, the fact that the price difference of 3 to 4 times between the same crops has occurred obviously violates the idea of "same wage per same labor" listed in the UN Social Code.

★ The claim about color is an excuse for separately treating my goods

Shipment of my Green Tosca was the third year, so it is extremely suspicious as a timing.

I think that the notice of Tomizawa of 20170630 is a blatant revenge as timing for that I requested him of a copy of the note of 20160727 the day before.

In general speaking, even if it is shut out from specific market, there is no problem if it becomes an ordinary price at the receiving market.

Although, only my goods has been specially cheap always even at GKS and TS.

In other words, it indicates that the market of the recipient is always cooperating.

In 20170714, my portion of TS was 100 yen against TCS's 322 yen, and Tomizawa told me the next morning, "Zucchini has finally reached 100 yen".

As I resented from this word, I said, "I will sue you!", then Tomizawa replied "Shall we do thoroughly?" And the conversation that could not have been communicated have communicated.

★ Suspected price of yellow in 20170717

In other words, although it should be dark green-oriented, but yellow was more expensive than moss green.

Shall we have such unreasonable color preferences? Their intentions were too blatant and I was amazed.

IV The defendants A - B suppressed the evidence (time series ④, ⑤, ⑧)

It is clear that Tomizawa had deliberately abandoned it because it was clearly stated as evidence in 20160830 "cooperation request" and 20170116 "request for cooperation", and it was requested repeatedly on verbally even after .

From the timing when TCS stopped displaying the actual price, cooperation with Tomizawa is also clear.

★ Price operation method

As a specific method, it seems that they were performing a double price operation of first lowering the market price of Zucchini here and then sticking further lower price to my goods.

From the above-mentioned price trends, it is strongly presumed that there was a preliminary agreement between market participants, that is, to constantly purchase abnormally cheaply, that is, bid rigging existed.

Emphasis of the price operation

A Market in 2017 Transited to at the lowest level ever in history on each my three crops

These indicate the trends proofing the word of Tomiwa's "Shall we do thoroughly?".

I abandoned the zucchini field in July of the year due to profitable lack.

In 2017, Autumn Eggplant has been much cheaper than summer from mid September to the end though that should be higher than summer every year.

Makomodake had been in 2016 for the full season an average of over 200 yen, but it started at 70 yen in 2017(I have only shipped once in 2016).

B At 201801, When I examined past prices trend, then after my farming started, it was about half level as before.

(I started farming of Zucchini and Makomodake from 2014, and eggplants from 2017)

I surveyed in an overview, regarding zucchini (2 kg unit) from 2011 to 2013, Makomodake (500 g unit)

from 2010 to 2013, Eggplant (430 g unit) from 2015 to 2016, according to the data provided by the JATN. There were differences of more than twice in Zucchini and Makomodake, and Eggplant was less than twice, but in total shipping amount of the full year, it became more than twice of difference because of the early fall down in autumn.

Economical damage

Since I shipped Zucchini about 1,000 boxes in 2017, if the price were to be in an average of 700 yen, the sales should become 700,000 yen, but actually around 200,000 yen, then the loss seems to be about 500,000 yen. The figure of 700 yen is the average price overviewing 2011-2013.

Similarly, in Makomodake it is 100,000 yen in rough estimate, and 400,000 yen at Eggplant in rough estimate, and a loss of is about 1 million yen in 2017 total of three crops.

In 2017, it became a big deficit to say "I had better playing without doing anything".

Mental Damage When thinking that these will continue in the future, it is terrible fear and despair.

Verification method

Among the evidence manuals, 68, 69, 70, 71, 87-1, 87-2, 88, 89 certificates

Attached documents

Among the evidence manuals, 89 certificates

Complaint I

Defendant and offense in Japan

The Human Rights Counselor is a national institution under the Ministry of Justice.

(Human Rights Counselor) Maebashi Regional Legal Affairs Bureau(hereinafter referred to as "MRLAB")

Fukuda Numata Branch Director, Harada, Ishimaki, Tomioka, based on Criminal Procedure Law

Article 239,

Fukuda and Harada Abuse of Authority by public officers(Article 193 of Penal Code),

Fukuda, Harada, Ishimaki for Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code),

Tomioka Harboring of Criminals (Article 103 of Penal Code)

The nature of the violation

The complainants acted as the Surround-Network.

The Surround-Network continued consistent discrimination and persecution based on customary prejudice.

Furthermore, the Surround-Network continued intimidation and harboring by abusing the authority of public agencies including state agencies .

The Surround-Network have consistently treating me as a nonhuman.

In other words, they have been intimidating me to suggest their power through such consistent abnormal responses.

If I express briefly their intent of the silent intimidation, "we will surely kill you before caught, so there is no need to treat you as a human."

The Human Rights Counselor is a human rights defense organization, so it is just victim abuse.

The relationship between these harms and the Civil Code is as described in the report to the UN(Damage Report 2018).

These are violations of Article 1, 2-1, 3, 6, 8-2, 14-1, 16, 17, 18, 19-3(a), 26 of the Civil Code.

chronological facts

① In the afternoon of 20170222, MRLAB's Numata branch, I asked to Harada "Why will not you investigate violating facts on the request for relief I submitted 20170131" , "Human rights counselor is not an investigation institution, as there is no enforcement power to investigate, " repeating misplaced casuoso to the last.

Since Harada further misleads me as "Because it is an introduction of their, it is probable that there is a receptionist record" and guided it to the misplaced Gunma Prefecture General Affairs Department Public Relations Division.

After that, I actually contacted there but I could not get in touch still.

② 20170501 16:00 In the call from my home to the Numata branch of the MRLAB, Fukuda refused acceptance due to false reasons that "the place of occurrence of the Metropolitan Police Department was in Tokyo, so that is outside the jurisdiction of this branch." (Evidence 72).

③2018.01.18 09:56 at the Numata branch of the MRLAB for filing a second relief from me, while I insisted on my falsification of the receipt sign that it was not my handwriting, but Fukuda said without any evidence, "I can never believe it that mailman 100% won't be done such a thing absolutely,"(evidence 80).

④2018.01.18 09: 56 at the Numata branch of the MRLAB for filing a second relief from me, "We can not start the survey unless we believe the content of the offer", Fukuda said to ask Harada for consent in front of me(Evidence 80).

⑤2018.01.18 09:56 at the Numata branch of the MRLAB for filing a second relief from me, Fukuda and Harada were unjustly terminated on the way without planning the continuation of the explanation for the elapse of the prescribed time as an excuse (evidence 80).

⑥2018.01.19 16:53 In a call from my home to the branch office, Fukuda refused acceptance of the application for relief of my 2018.01.18 by using false reason that "even in mental damage case, estimated amount is necessary"(Evidence 83).

⑦ 2018.01.19 16: 53 on the call from me to Numata branch, Fukuda said to me, "What is "Shut up and listen"? Are you in the position to ask us to investigate, aren't you?"(Evidence 83).

⑧2018.01.23 13:03 at the Numata branch of the MRLAB for response to my remedy offer (evidence 84), Ishimaki unjustly terminated on the way without scheduling the following explanation for elapse of the prescribed time.

⑨ 2018.02.19 13: 26 At the MRLAB, I explained nine complaints to Tomioka and explained the general illegality again.

However, after that Tomioka denied all illegality of each complaint, saying "There is no illegality because the police judged that there was no incidents" despite not replying to my explanation at all (Evidence 95).

Common illegality of defendants

Having suggested the Surround-network' s power to intimidate my life by directing unfairness of their actions as following I ~ V

Because unfair treatment of my appeal are violations from the nature of the act and the obligation of officials to accuse crimes, so it is a prevention of my exercise of the right of receive a proper procedure.

Because this prevention is due to their abuse of their official authorities, they are in a crime.

These are violations of the Civil Code, mainly from the view of the discrimination and the rights to life.

I Having continuing to ignore unfairly my offer of relief in spite of my protest (time series ① ~ ⑨)

"Because we are not investigation institutions, there is no enforcement power to investigate," they persistently repeated.

It is not a just ground to abandon the obligation to act that Article 2 of the Regulation Investigation Processing Rules for Human Rights Investigation Processing "confirm the existence of violations of human rights and take appropriate measures according to the case based on the result."

II Having refused to accept my offer of relief twice by false reasons (time series ②, ⑥)

• Outside jurisdiction depending on place of occurrence

Regulation Chapter 2 Section 1 Jurisdiction Article 5 “stipulates the origin or place of residence”

It is a prerequisite that always faces each case, so it can not be wrong.

Tomioka also said that it is rare case in practice.

• estimated amount in mental damage case

She did not hear that I pointed out repeatedly that she asked for it at the stage of offering is insane.

Ishimaki also said there is no such rule.

★ An objection to the allegation that the request of 20170131 (evidence 54) is invalid

It seems that it points to not describing the specific human rights name in correspondence with each fact described. However, in light of the request for detection of the ex officio in Section 8 of the Bylaws, it is impossible to find that there are no violations, since they could image the infringement from individual fact.

Rather than this, the argument that this offer is invalid is a malicious technique that mimics the action taken by the Metropolitan Police Department of the complaint L on the case and shows the power as a closing-network.

III Having terminated unjustly my explanation and ignored my resumption request (time series ⑤, ⑧)

The way to deal with the unexplained part is either (1) deposit of my submitted documents once and then to verify the existence of the violation or (2) to make a schedule of continual explanation.

Even so, they do not take either method and they have unfairly terminated.

IV Having done explicit remarks suggesting intimidation and harboring (time series ④, ⑦)

Fukuda asked Harada, "We can not start survey unless we believe it, aren't you?" (Evidence 80)

Fukuda, "What is "Shut up and listen"? Are you in the position to ask us to investigate, aren't you?"(Evidence 83)

V Having ignored unfairly all my complaints (time series ①, ③, ⑨)

Applicable laws of Japan

excerpt from Criminal Procedure Law

Article 239 (1) Any person who believes that an offense has been committed may file an accusation.

(2) A government official or local government official shall file an accusation when they believe an offense has been committed.

Excerpts from the Human Rights Invasion Case Investigation Process Regulation (2004 Ministry of Justice Inspection No. 2)

Article 2 Investigation and processing of the case shall be conducted appropriately in the case of suspicion of violation of human rights, by providing assistance or adjustment measures to concerned parties, or ascertaining the fact of violation of human rights, and based on the result.

Article 5 Incident shall be treated at Jurisdictional Legal Affairs Bureau or the Regional Legal Affairs Bureau which is in the place where human rights deemed to be infringed, or is in the residence of violated human rights (hereinafter referred to as "victim") or the other party (hereinafter referred to as the "counterparty").

Article 14 (5) Prosecution (prosecution) in writing, according to the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Act No. 131 of 1952).

Detailed processing of human rights violation incident investigation process (Notice of Human Rights Defense Administration No. 200 of the Ministry of Justice as of March 26, 2004)

Article 8 The General Director of the Legal Affairs Bureau or the Regional Legal Affairs Bureau must strive to recognize the beginning of the incident from the human rights defense committee members or relevant administrative organs or articles from publications of newspapers or magazines or broadcasts or the Internet, etc.

Article 35 The survey documents to be prepared for the case shall be as follows and the form shall be as prescribed in each of the relevant items.

(8) When a document or other property (hereinafter referred to as "documentary evidence") is submitted, it must be recorded to prescribed Catalog of custody form stated No. 21.

(9) In the case of the preceding item, evidence document must be recorded to prescribed documentation evidence and storage result form stated No. 22.

Verification method

Among the evidence manuals, 25, 27-2, 28, 44-1, 44-2, 72, 73, 80, 83, 84, 86 Authentication

Attached documents

Nothing

Complaint L

Defendant and offense in Japan

Maebashi District Court Numata branch Judge Yoshida Tatsuji, based on Criminal Procedure Law Article 239, Harboring of Criminals (Article 103 of Penal Code), Abuse of Authority by public officers(Article 193 of Penal Code), Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code)

The nature of the violation

The complainants acted as the Surround-Network.

The Surround-Network continued consistent discrimination and persecution based on customary prejudice. Furthermore, the Surround-Network continued intimidation and harboring by abusing the authority of public agencies including state agencies .

The Surround-Network have consistently treating me as a nonhuman.

In other words, they have been intimidating me to suggest their power through such consistent abnormal responses.

If I express briefly their intent of the silent intimidation, "we will surely kill you before caught, so there is no need to treat you as a human."

The court is a judicial body and the keeper of the law, so publicly acknowledged this explicit human rights violation.

The relationship between these harms and the Civil Code is as described in the report to the UN(Damage Report 2018).

These are violations of Article 1, 2-1, 3, 6, 8-2, 14-1, 16, 17, 18, 19-3(a), 26 of the Civil Code.

chronological facts

20171212 10:00 At Maebashi District Court Numata branch, the respondent who made an unfair judgment as presiding judge in claiming consolation fee case No. Heisei 29 (Wa) 26 (2017.09.05 1st day) as follows(evidence 16).

This was a civil lawsuit in which I demanded a consolation fee for Tokyo Metropolitan Government as a defendant due to illegal acts of Metropolitan Police Department(hereinafter referred to as "MPD") by the application of Article 1, paragraph 1 of the State Redress Act.

The supervision responsibility for the illegal acts of the MPD is in Tokyo under the State Redress Act.

Gist of my argument(Evidence 96,97)

The Metropolitan Police Department continues to ignore my complaint three times until today as follows.

The first, damage report (caution of a huge net Surround-Network) I requested written reply at the top page.

Second, for the Sawada visit at the police station, I requested an investigation of intimidative homicide.

The third, for the Content-Certificate-Mail, I requested investigation of intimidative homicide.

It is self-evident what would do if an investigation institution ignoring complaints of victims, these are

primarily violations of the right to life and equality of the Japanese law in terms of life and discrimination. Nine complaints filed as part of the complaint were all derived as a result of the MPD neglecting the Surround-Network.

Looking at these results, it is obvious that there was negligence in the MPD, so the gist of this request is to claim compensation for mental damage as a result.

Because the three prefectural police are consistently the same, I think the State Redress Act is appropriate.

Gist of the judgment sentence(Evidence 16)

Plaintiff's argument is that illegal acts that Police did not investigate any of the following 1 to 3.

A 20090118 Submission of Damage Report . . . "Since it is old , they can't confirm it, but I also can't see what plaintiff want to say".

B 20090303 My two appeals by Sawada visit . . . "Since it is old , they can't confirm it".

C 2016.6.6 Content proof mail . . . factual, but I seem that, "It is unsure what kind of criminal act is pointed out".

Originally, the investigation is done with public benefit priority, and its benefit is only reflex profit, so it can not be said immediately that the infringement of legally protected profits.

Therefore, as our court judge that there is no room for illegality as long as the investigation is a legitimate business practice, we dismiss the claim of the plaintiff by following the exemplified cases.

★Illegality of Yoshida Tatsuji (Maebashi District Court)

Generally, it seems obviously illegal worldwide that the police continue ignoring the complaint of the damage. There can not be a state which is established by ignoring the crisis of the people's life.

There also can not be a judicial agency that ignore the crisis of the people's life..

In short, although I appealed the clear unfairness, since the judgment has been disregarded at all, thus the hearing process is naturally unfair.

The court 's duty is not to judge unfettered facts without permission, but on the contrary, they must do as much referee as to the truth of the accused fact.

It is even more indispensable especially when the fact is related to the judgment of illegality as in this case. Because unfair treatment of my appeal are violations from the nature of the act and the obligation of officials to accuse crimes, so it is a prevention of my exercise of the right of receive a proper procedure.

Because this prevention is due to their abuse of their official authorities, they are in a crime.

These are violations of the Civil Code, mainly from the view of the discrimination and the rights to life.

Having tried baselessly to direct unfairness of his actions and Having suggested the Surround-network's power to intimidate my life by follows I and II (time series ①)
I having made an unfair hearing overlooking the main point of view and interfered with the exercise of my rights Also having prepared work to be described later

These are the infringement of the right to life (Article 13 of the Japanese Constitution) and the right to receive

proper procedures (Article 13 or 25 of the Japanese Constitution) and equality rights (Article 14 of the Japanese Constitution).

Having ignored was clearly illegal in view of ① the nature of action and ② the priority
These two perspectives are strongly related.

① It is a breach of faith rule and to be illegal act to ignore while asking for answers

Ignoring the request as a legitimate taxpayer without basis is an infringement of the right to receive proper procedures.

Perhaps there is no similar precedent. That is why it is a intimidation.

② I always have complained of the crisis of my life, that is to say, it is the same as ignoring the emergency call.

★ Illegality of the MPD are following 1 and 2

1 Having suggested the power of the Surround-Network by combining follows A and B

(time series ①, ②, ③, ⑦, 26)

A Having ignored my damage report 2009

Unfairly ignoring my damage report 2009 is illegal as it is at least negligent from (1) to (7) below

(1) Ignoring is not their disciplined(prescribed) handling

it is in violation of Article 65 of criminal investigation criteria that the arbitrary handling to conclude without confirming the intention of appellant although the contents of the damage report was unknown.

(2) Ignoring is a violation of their foresee duty

If the investigation institution ignore the damage report, the damage continues naturally afterwards.

So it is a violation of Police Act(Article 2 " Prevention of Crime").

(3) Infringement of the right to receive proper procedures (Article 13 of the Japanese Constitution).

(4) It is discrimination and infringement of the equal rights (Article 14 of the Japanese Constitution) and the discrimination provision clause of the Civil Code.

(5) Because my appeal was always relief of crisis of my life, so it is a Infringement of the right of life of Article 13 of the Constitution of Japan, and the inherent right to life of Article 6 of the Civil Code.

(6) I requested a reply and indicated it's deadline clearly

I mentioned on the top page "Please reply in writing within one month regarding the response policy of this case."

(7) I described 8 phenomena as follows that a police could not have overlooked

① top page I described as my damage, the infringement of portrait rights (net crime) and disturbance of business.

② top page I described that there are many suspects unspecified and they expanded whole metropolitan.

③ page 3 Every time face pass (that is, escape of passengers raising hands)

④ page 3 The fact that the average daily sales value of the taxi company I belonged was 10% or more in width and continued to link with my work day (2006 - 2007)

- ⑤ page 10 Abnormally low actual occupancy rate compared with other drivers (especially 2009)
- ⑥ page 12 Interruption caused by continuous large vehicles when merging highways (intimidation · attempted murder · dangerous driving)
- ⑦ page 16 Center line over by oncoming vehicle (intimidation · attempted murder · dangerous driving)
- ⑧ page 17 Surrounding wandering by policemen

If it was dealt with properly as a damage report, it can not be annihilated within 10 years.

2 They suggested the power of the Surround-network by combining the homicide of Mariko Ota and unjustly ignoring my damage report 2009

If we comprehensively judge A' and B' below, it's arbitrariness strongly suggests the intent of intimidation

A' As above mentioned, the damage report 2009 could not have been ignored

B' The reply deadline date of the report overlaps with the death date of Mrs. Mariko Ohta
So instead of replying, they exposed her corpse and threw me the intention of silent intimidation as above.

From this point on the contrary it is extremely strongly presumed that this was murder and involvement in the murder of the MPD.

I strongly feel a causal relationship between these social situations, the above-mentioned two fatal accidents, and my aunt's homicide.

Having prepared to harbor

I - A Replacing the contents of the three torts I claimed

This is to hide the negligence "they did not confirm my identity until the end."

As an illegal act claimed by the plaintiff, the summary of the case of the judgment sentence states that "The MPD not having investigated", but I did not claim or mention as such.

I stated as "they ignored ... as the cause of the claim on each top page of the complaint.

Not having investigated and having completed without my permission, are different acts.

I - B Forcibly applied judicial precedents in different cases

My case is completely different from the four precedents except only one point that the police concerned.

I think that he overlooked the difference in case deliberately to do this.

Case differences are two points of action and life crisis.

First of all, in my case it was completely ignored, but none of the four precedents were so.

Next, I was complaining of a serious life crisis, but none of the four precedents were so.

Of course these were clearly written in the document I submitted.

I - C Ignoring each Complaint that is part of the complaint of this time

II having overlooked the criminality

Since I claimed that all cases were derived from the Surround-Network escaping caution and claimed to seek responsibility for the result to them, so long as there is no basis to deny, as the scope of tort liability responsibility, he should have touched for each Complaint.

To overlook these are abnormal as a lawyer, it is a crime level.

It is natural that anyone doubts as follows, but he have not touched even I claimed.

- In addition to police responsibilities, there is no choice to ignore the damage report since the complainant clearly requested a reply. Why did they ignore it? That is, the arbitrariness is extremely high.

It is much higher than another arbitrariness that my aunt died on the same day.

Together with these two overlap, it is nearly certain that the intimidative homicide was true.

- As for the motive of homicide, it is consistent as causal relation between the state of the night city and the data pointed out as the phenomenon in the damage report, and there is no wonder homicide caused by a grudge occurs.

If intimidative homicide is the truth, the arbitrariness of three illegal acts also goes up at a stretch. That is a harboring.

As described above, the judgment of the existence of intimidative homicide is inevitable from several viewpoints.

- To begin with, this death has too many suspicious points. Perhaps SDPPO is also involved?
- There is detailed estimation of the camouflaging method of the death, so it is easy to find out if this is the case.
- If the Surround-Network is true, it is a serious public interest harming, and its detection should be top priority.
- Originally, only the specific criminal fact is not the beginning of the investigation. Police claims are suspicious.
- If denial of the Sawada meeting is a harboring, it is an extremely malicious crime. It seems to be true surely.

Similarly, it is highly likely that the claim that the documents are invalid is an excuse for concealment.

How do they intend to excuse about overlooking the indicated matter the complainant pointed out?

- The case of Nishikata shows that they are still repeating neglect consistently.

Therefore, retroactively raise the arbitrariness of three torts.

(1) My aunt Mariko Ohta's intimidative homicide

The overwhelming high level of arbitrariness that this is homicide is as described above.

Yet, there are no people who have admitted the suspicion of this intimidative homicide to this day.

In other words, it is concealment by not recognizing it.

I have not leaked about the damage report, so if this murder is true, some involvement of the MPD will be confirmed.

In other words, it is confirmed that this negligence will hit an illegal act.

As the complaint A, there are many other suspicious points of death of Mariko Ohta.

(2) Full denial of the fact of visiting with Sawada

It is a great scandal to have the police completely denied that the victim requested to investigate the truth of intimidation murder within the police station.

It is a huge scandal twice because the content I was suing is a huge scandal, and they denied the report fact

itself.

As described in complaint A, there still remains some kind of clue.

However, "Because it is an old story, I can not confirm it" is the word of the defendant itself.

This can not be done as a judgment of illegal acts, judgment of criminality, or correction of uneven distribution of evidence power.

(3) Denying the evidence power of leading data without basis

Regarding (7)④the link between my working day during taxi driver and company average sales, "It is obvious that it is not apparent that there was a criminal act immediately even if such a fact was found." he distinguished.

Certainly as the presiding judge says, we cannot directly identify the perpetrator.

However, there is no denying that the unspecified number of offenses are accumulated results, that is, the arbitrariness.

It should be understood that statistical significance can not be denied without basis.

So this remark of the presiding judge is too arbitrary and explicitly shows the intention of hiding.

The boycott campaign of the Surround-Network to me at that time made the night metropolitan to become a crisis.

Japan's GDP at the time should have been affected.

In other words, it was well-known fact and it was "inconvenient truth" for the Surround-Network.

The data clearly indicate it.

(4) He have not mentioned the twice fatal accidents on affiliated taxi company

(5) He have not mentioned on the existence of the Surround-Network

In addition, since these blatant unfairness of the MPD should be understood by every legal professionals, four defense attorneys also violate duty of honesty and true duty.

III having overlooked remarkable inequity

1 remarkable bias of evidence power

Originally a investigation institution is naturally in a position to hold exclusively the evidence as a responsibility and because I was appealing of harboring by that institution, the case where the evidence was extremely ubiquitous to the defendant side, so naturally the court voluntary Consideration for fairness and correction of imbalance of evidence ability are required.

For example, the presiding judge should investigate and identify voluntarily evidencing records under their own authority, and then should take evidence-disclosing processing such as ordering documents.

2 Rejecting all 8 requests for my witness interrogation

Other unfairness of this lawsuit

(1) having been made to change defendants

What the court did is the exercise of legal authority of explanation, a kind of incitement.

The defendant who first filed the complaint was a general police officer of the Metropolitan Police Department.

As I was asked to correct it, I made it to the Minister of Justice (Japan), and I was told further to correct it to Tokyo.

This seems to be a preparation for making an unjustifiable judgment, making it difficult to grasp the whole case.

(2) Suspicious exercise of votive rights to the fourth illegal act in 20171024

The fourth illegal act is that in the 2017.10.03 10:33 call, I requested MPD, Human Resources Section 2, Nishikata to investigate and respond to Sawada's disappearance, while accepting that day (evidence 14) I ignored it unfairly.

This is that the MPD is still ignoring my argument and it shows chronological consistency, so it should influence the judgment of the other three illegal activities retrospectively, so obviously he should fix that fact by investigation.

There was also negligence for me who accepted the meaning of what the presiding judge said without understand it, but as a result of this suspicious explanation, it was removed from torts.

In addition, there was a hint like this as follows.

(3) Explanation of the presiding judge embracing the expectation of winning the case

Both the first date 20170905 and the second date 20171024, the presiding judge asked me a breakdown of the amount of comfort for the three illegal acts.

I think this was interrogation to induce the above-mentioned compliance by letting me hopeful of prevailing, and misunderstanding that "it is unnecessary to add a fourth illegal act if all three are recognized".

Moreover, the malice that it is to disappoint by betraying the expectation of winning at the end is also clear.

(4) the defendant's false did not reflect in confidence

they wrote in 20170905 Response Book that they responded to me that there was no hit item for telephone inquiry to the Higashimurayama police station in 20170501, but I pointed out that this answer is false in the brief.

If such a doing, it usually should be reduced to the defendant side, but it is not reflected in the judgment.

(5) Misuse of the existing litigation system on the record side stands out

- Replacement of the above-mentioned argument or words
- There is almost no record of my appeal in the sentence

These are abusing that the record of the parties' assertion is simplified on the premise of the disclosure of the case and I think this will naturally invite future discussions on reform.

- Doubtful whether the documents to be submitted were properly read

For example, the date of meeting with Sawada, which should have been corrected for the complaint, is not reflected.

Verification method

Among the evidence manuals, 15, 16, 96, 97 autographs

Attached document

Among the evidence manuals, 15, 16, 96, 97 Authentication

Complaint M

Defendant and offense in Japan

Maebashi District Public Prosecutors Office(hereinafter referred to as "MDPPO") Takahashi, Ichikawa

Based on violation of Article 239 of the Criminal Procedure Law

Harboring of Criminals (Article 103 of Penal Code), Abuse of Authority by public officers(Article 193 of Penal Code), Intimidation (Article 222 of Penal Code)

The nature of the violation

The complainants acted as the Surround-Network.

The Surround-Network continued consistent discrimination and persecution based on customary prejudice.

Furthermore, the Surround-Network continued intimidation and harboring by abusing the authority of public agencies including state agencies .

The Surround-Network have consistently treating me as a nonhuman.

In other words, they have been intimidating me to suggest their power through such consistent abnormal responses.

If I express briefly their intent of the silent intimidation, "we will surely kill you before caught, so there is no need to treat you as a human."

The PPO is a Japanese secondary investigative agency and at the same time a state institution that monopolizes the indictment in criminal justice. They are so-called criminal courts.

The relationship between these harms and the Civil Code is as described in the report to the UN(Damage Report 2018).

These are violations of Article 1, 2-1, 3, 6, 8-2, 14-1, 16, 17, 18, 19-3(a), 26 of the Civil Code.

chronological facts

①Obstruction of recording 20171031 14:57 at MDPPO first floor(evidence 106),

First of all, they usually ask to me, "Do you record now?"

I usually reply "This is for the purpose of to listen after and correct the complaint."

On this day I also explained that "the Metropolitan Police Department completely denies my visiting facts, I can not trust you who are the same investigation institution 100%",

"Can't you trust ours?" Takahashi asked me again and again.

I think that it is intimidation if he repeat as such.

He also disturbed three attempts to read a letter of complaint as follows.

This is the intention of concealment not to record the contents of the submitted documents.

First time (past 7 minutes) "It is fine to read, so you do not need to read it"

Second time (past 66 minutes) "It is fine if you plan to read it from the beginning."

Third time (over 90 minutes) "If you plan to read it, do not have time, so stop it."

②Avoid criminal judgment 20171031 14: 57 at MDPPO first floor(evidence 106).

I argued that "the high arbitrariness of existence of the Surround-Network is sufficient already for each case alone and there is no doubt as to the higher arbitrariness in the case of combining them", while Takahashi "What is the basis of the numerator of the denominator of the stated arbitrariness? It can not be judged unless it is indicated."

I more said that "The numbers presented are intuitive based on empirical rules. Many of them are hard to express with numbers, but I just proposed that I should make it easy for judges to you. I think that's your job, I would like you to concretely show the objection with numbers."

since they did not try to understand the motive of the Surround-Network so far, I explained using Damage Report 2017 for the first time on this day, but I was told that they can not accept it because they still can not understand.

I said, "Since motivation is not the three elements of crime and it is only an accuser's guess, why do you need to make it definite at once? Should it be determined by your investigation? And do you have another idea?" but the conversation stuck without a clear reply.

③ Unusual reply 20171031 14: 57 ~at MDPPO first floor(evidence 106),

(93 minutes past) "If you feel suspicious about the fact that we will not accept a complaint, we recommend that you use this alternative system, so please use it", should legitimate administrative agency advice so, aren't you?" I asked, Takahashi did not keep in touch with "What kind of basis is it?"

④ Refusal of acceptance 20171031 14: 57 ~at MDPPO first floor(evidence 106). Finally, they refused to accept my Complaints without showing any judgment on arbitrariness of their own, and without pointing any incompleteness.

⑤In the date of 20171220, the MDPPO unfairly remanded 7 complaints filed at there on 20171114(evidence 107).

⑥In the date of 20180130, the MDPPO unfairly remanded 5 complaints filed at there on 20180117(evidence 108).

⑦In the date of 20180208, the MDPPO unfairly remanded 1 complaint filed at there on 20180205(evidence 109).

⑧20180216 around 15:00 At MDPPO first floor, there was the following conversation(evidence 110),

Takahashi answered "I have no idea" when I asked, "Do you have any laws to Equivalent to recognition by ex officio besides the principles of the prosecutors?"

"You have repeatedly answered that it is not the time to judge the incidences yet because of the incompleteness remaining in my complaint, but as for the recognition of incidental, Is there a legal basis that it may be divided into stages, so that Are there clear provisions on the start of the investigation? " I asked, "it is basically our discretion,"Takahashi answered.

Also at this time I protested in writing (Evidence 104) titled "About MDPPO to be an unfair treatment", but I ignored unfairly afterwards.

⑨In the date of 20180226, the MDPPO unfairly remanded 1 complaint filed at there on 20180216(evidence 111).

⑩20180319 13: 28 at MDPPO, when I tried to submit the damage report 2018 and 12 complaints A to L, "you broke the agreement that Once you submit a complaint letter, you would not add or replace until our answer", Takahashi relentlessly protested to me(evidence 112).

On the contrary, I answered, "I do not intend to make such an arrangement, and as a victim it is natural that I would like to submit a complaint as soon as it is completed, basically being the victim's freedom" However, Takahashi seemed not to be convinced at all.

In addition, I asked whether there was an incomplete "criminal fact is not identified" still, he did not answer(evidence 112).

⑪20180409 14:58At the submission, I emphasized to them that the concealment of case hits Article 239 of the Criminal Procedure Code(evidence 113).

In the date of 20180531, the MDPPO unfairly remanded 12 complaints filed at there on 20180409(evidence 114).

Illegality of the MDPPO

Because unfair treatment of my appeal are violations from the nature of the act and the obligation of officials to accuse crimes, so it is a prevention of my exercise of the right of receive a proper procedure.

Because this prevention is due to their abuse of their official authorities, they are in a crime.

These are violations of the Civil Code, mainly from the view of the discrimination and the rights to life.

Since PPO is the monopolistic indictment on the criminal justice in Japan, it is natural that there is the greatest responsibility for judgment of criminality, and it is an official authority that is strongly requested to autonomously recognize of crime.

I have visited the MDPPO more than 20 times since March 2017 and submitted complaints, but reminded many times, formal acceptance has not been received yet.

Having indicated the power as the Surround-network by preventing my complaints unfairly by the following I to III, these suggest intimidation to my life by producing the unfairness I Would not try to recognize criminality as follows 1 to 4

1 Having confined my appeals using sophistry(chronological②,⑧)

(1) Having repeated a reply as "It is not the stage to judge the arbitrariness yet" (time series ⑧)

Up to now I have asked them many times that "It may be incomplete as a complaint, but you should know from the description contents, that I am in danger of life and the criminality, why have you not started the investigation?".

But each time they repeated the above phrase.

Even if I asked, "Do you have any grounds to judge by staging like that?"

They repeatedly replied "There is no basis, but we do not think it is unfair".

But their reply is unfair. Because they are the same as having ignored a emergency call.

Also, they knew enough that I was complaining of the paralysis of the police organization.

These were stated before long in the "Request for starting investigation quickly" column in Damage Report 2018.

They also consistently pointed out my defect in my Complaints as the reason for this remark.

Japan's Penal Code can not be guilty unless it accurately applies to the type of criminal act that is stipulated.

But it is different from the recognition criteria of criminality.

They tricked me who is judicial amateurs using standard of different problem.

(2) Having repeated the remark as "We are not saying that we will not accept" (time series ⑧)

This is because it is necessary to notify the reason for the complainant from the PPO to the decision not to accept on the prescription, and if the notice is made, the complainant will be appointed as an alternative regulation (Quasi-prosecution) against unjust non - prosecution procedure, so they are trying to prevent it. In other words, the intention of these two remarks is "We do not intend to refuse your Complaints, but we will not permanently recognize that criminality."

In other words, it is an intention to confine my appeals within the scope of their authority forever.

2 Avoided judging the arbitrariness of existence of the Surround-Network (time series ②)

From the interaction up to this day, I knew that motivation is the reason why they did not start the investigation.

Originally, motivation is not included in the three elements of crime, and motives written are just my guesses. So even if they cannot agree with the motivation, it cannot be a basis not to start the investigation.

I also pointed out this point many times this day, but they did not hear it.

They would not understand the motive as the Surround-Network which I appealed in my Complaints.

But each motive of Complaints(incidents) can be explained only a intimidation.

This is a common view consistently presented by all institutions.

Based on that situation, I tried to emphasize the Surround-Network as a probability from the view of result.

I made the Arbitrariness List and unveiled it for the first time on this day, but they still did not understand it.

Takahashi repeated "We cannot judge without the basis of the denominator of indicated number".

Depending on the data, it will require a lot of effort to look at the actual numbers.

I proposed "Please prove the number you assume, then we will discuss what is different between the two figures." However, (55 minutes past) Takahashi answered "That is not our job"!?

On this day eventually they unfairly refused to accept my complaint without showing their judgment at all, without just pointing out any incompleteness, just because they cannot understand the motive.

These are typical of harboring by not recognizing as described in damage report 2018.

3 There were the strong concern for violation of public interest

The arbitrariness that a wide range of Surround-network will actually exist is extremely high, and there should be no basis to deny it.

Originally, the existence of the Surround-Network itself should be a great infringement of public interest.

Also, because the Japanese investigative agency should act with public interest top priority, it is very suspicious.

4 They would not have met with the prosecutor in charge

Takahashi and Ichikawa are prosecutors officials and authority and status are completely different from

prosecutors.

They say that they are reporting to their boss every time, but they are suspicious.

II extreme examples of preventing my prosecution as follows 1 to 4

1 The fact that MDPPO repaid my complaint every time for the total of five times in the same illegal sentence, and they would not improve it even if I pointed out that unfair (time series⑤～⑨、⑪, Evidence 107 etc.)

The text was as follows.

"We received a letter titled" Damage report "on the date of receipt from you and a document titled" Complaint "on the same day.

The accusation is to request the punishment of the criminal by declaring specific criminal facts to the investigation institution, and if you are to file a complaint, it is necessary to specify as much as possible about violating the specific criminal laws as, who is, when, where, what, how to, etc.

In the document entitled "complaint", "complaint fact" is stated for each complaint appealed by you, but no matter what part of the fact falls under any act that violates specific criminal laws is unclear, and criminal facts are not specified. Therefore, the above documents etc. will be refunded. "

Since the PPO is an administrative agency, they are not admitted to refuse complaints originally.

However, proceeding prosecution with formal deficiencies remaining, receiving a judgment of dismissal on the grounds for that reason is disadvantageous also as a complainant, so it seems to be the reality that it has been returned several times and has accepted it, corrected it and resubmitted it.

But, as a general theory, we can not begin to revise the complaint unless we know where and how it is incomplete.

However, the problematic reply document does not mention "anywhere" at all and only "general" is written about "how".

In other words, as a reality problem, since we can not begin to correct the complaint as which part is an incomplete part, this is considered to be an unfair remand on social norms.

By the way, unfair correspondence that does not clearly indicate their deficiencies is consistent from the beginning.

All they went to today is an indication of inadequacies, and it is less than five in total.

Most importantly, this is exactly the type of intimidation that I have been appealing in the past.

"Repeating abnormal blatant intimidation is characteristic and traditional of how to demonstrate the power of the Surround-Network."

I have used this phrase in all complaints so far and they can not be unaware.

In other words, it is clear that they mimics this intentionally.

2 Refusing to accept my complaints unreasonably (time series ④)

3 Unfairly protested against replacement or addition of my Complaints (time series⑩evidence 112)

Even though the number of complaints is large, there is no fault of the victim because it is social justice that

the crime that was done is legitimately punished, so I think that it is the victim abuse to protest about it. In this way, it seems that they are obstructing me by wasting time by pointing deficient places in the complaint ambiguously or by separating them one by one although they could point out several at the same time.

4 Interference of recording (Time series ①)

III would not try to give me any legal suggestion

Since 12 lawyers have continued being denied consecutively, it has been told to MDPPO many times that I am legally isolated and unsupported.

- **would not try to answer the basis of their responsibilities** (time series ⑧)
- **would not try to answer the presentation request of alternative means** (Time series ③)
- **would not try to mention the application theory of my Complaints at all**

Prosecutors are quasi-judicial and administrative agencies, so I think that these should be answered if asked. In other words, they should reply that, " if you think our correspondence is wrong, this alternative-regulation is prepared so please use it" , but it was a suspicious answer as follows.

There are also recordings of these, but I can not organize it yet.

- Though I asked MDPPO for the general application theory, "we can not say how to write like this" . Or "Why can't you say that reason? Is it a concern to public opinion? Or is it from partyism?" I asked, but not reply.
- "For example, which right do you expect to accepting my complaint like this now? Is it the voting right of Article 25 of the Constitution of Japan? Or Article 13? ,I asked, but MDPPO asked 'I do not understand the meaning of your question'.
- "As same investigation institution, you can find the illegality of the police correspondence,can't you?" But MDPPO said" We do not understand well because the legal basis is different "
- "When do you admit the need for investigation?", "Are there criteria for judging cases?" But MDPPO said 'There is no reason to answer'.
- "Is it too expensive of time that it took up to 40 days from receiving to rejection in order to point out just one type of inadequacies? Do you deal with ordinary time feeling?" But MDPPO said 'We do not think that we are funny'
- "Although it is a result, most of the deficiencies so far have been incompatible with the form, so you do not have to keep in custody complaints because you can see it on the spot, as I also wrote the wrong way at this time, do you?, If so, shall you point out all at once as much as possible, please?", I asked, but not reply.
- the MDPPO said "We are not planning to work with you as we are".

(· · · ??? What does this mean? It seems that it will be forced to become a collaborative state at the stage of prosecution whether they do not like it or not.)

Verification method

Among the evidence manual, 107 to 114 issue

Attached document

Of the evidence manual, 104, 107, 108, 109, 111, 114 certificate

Content-Certificate-Mail20160606(Evidence 3)

(Evidence 3)1/2

2016.6.06

Minister of Justice, 1-1-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo

Investigation request 1

Registered to the chief of MPD at 2009.1.19 by general registered mail(Inquiry number 107-36-35304-0, with 1/20 attached delivery certificate), titled "damage report" document is still unknown.

Despite I requested written response on the beginning page with designating the reply deadline within a month,

I have not heard anything yet, and more on that day of the reply deadline, my aunt Mariko Ohta has died.

This is in real a homicide for intimidation against me, and Saitama Prefectural Police Department disguised to a traffic accident.

At right after my aunt's death, I visited Higashimurayama police station/Sawada whom instructed from the MPD headquarters, and re-explained the damage report and emphasized the homicide for intimidation.

Nevertheless I have not heard anything until today.

I shall ask you as the last fort for the Legislative state about this unprecedented huge scandal that each prefectural police officially got together.

Gunma prefecture Tone-gun Minakami-machi Kamimoku3158-1 Yutaka Imai

(Evidence 3)2/2

2016.6.06

Minister of Justice, 1-1-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo

Investigation request 2

In 2015.1.11 at private field, I was fired by hunting gun in about 30 meters and almost opposite with the hunter.

Based on my past, I emphasized that it is "intimidation", however, the GPPD Numata police station baselessly denied the arbitrariness.

This hunter group continued to cling me afterwards, such as the wild boar corpse are placed repeatedly on my way, I am undergoing various harassment.

I have submitted a protest report to the police many times afterwards, but they replied nothing like "burying everything in darkness".

This seems to follow the correspondence of the MPD.

I was completely denied human rights and I lost security.

How far is this society going to continue as"Even if signal is red , we are not afraid if we cross by everyone"?

I request for remedy as the maximum victim of net-crime and ask you as the last fort of the Legislative state.

Gunma prefecture Tone-gun Minakami-machi Kamimoku3158-1 Yutaka Imai



Decisions of Maebashi District Court(Evidence 16)

December 12, Heisei 29 Sentimentary same day original receipt court secretary Izumi Hagiwara
Heisei29 (Wa) No. 26 consolation fee claim case
End date of oral argument October 24, Heisei29

Judgment

Gunma prefecture Tone-gun Minakami-machi Kamimoku3158-1

Plaintiff : Yutaka Imai

Nine 8 - 1 Nishi Shinjuku, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo

Defendant : Tokyo Metropolitan area

Yuriko Koike : Governor

Mikito Arai : Designated agent(attorney)

Kenji Takahashi : same the above

Kiyoshi Kawamura : same the above

Shinya Nagano : same the above

Main sentence

- 1 We dismiss the claim of the plaintiff.
- 2 Court fee shall be borne by plaintiff.

Facts and reasons

First Charge

Defendant pay 30 million yen to plaintiff.

Second Outline of the case

In this case, the plaintiff is requesting the defendant to pay 30 million yen, which is a part of the total damages of 43 million yen, based on Article 1, paragraph 1 of the State Redress Act.

Second Plaintiff's argument

Police officers belonging to the defendant ①The plaintiff sent a damage report to the principal of MPD declaring that plaintiff was intimidated by net Surround-Network against plaintiff on 20090119, although they did not conduct an investigation, ②Plaintiff visited Mr. Sawada of the Higashimurayama Police Station at about February 25 the same year about the same contents and the death of his aunt and requested to investigate but they did not, ③Plaintiff sent a document entitled "Investigation Request" similar to the above-mentioned damage report to the principal of MPD on 20160606, but they did not conduct an investigation.

In addition to the mental damage of 30 million yen, the plaintiff suffered economic damage that the sales of taxi decreased by 10 million yen and the sales of agriculture decreased by 3 million yen due to the above three illegal acts.

2 Defendant's argument

Of the illegal acts claimed by the plaintiff, concerning ③ above, we acknowledge that the document entitled "Investigation Request" with the plaintiff as the sender and the address as the principal was delivered to the MPD Headquarters, but regarding ① and ② above, It is an old event and they can not confirm it. Since the benefit the criminal victim receives under the investigation is only the benefit brought reflexively by the investigation conducted on the public interest point of view, thus it is not a legally protected interest, It should be said that it is not possible to demand consolation fee based on the State Redress Act due to the investigation lacks adequacy. Moreover, even though the plaintiff submitted the damage report etc, to the defendant police officials, can not be said to be the declaration of the criminal facts and even if they did not accept the investigation without receiving them, It is not necessarily evaluated as illegal of the State Redress Act, nor is it inappropriate.

Third Court's decision

1 The plaintiff asserts that it is illegal that the police officers belonging to the defendant not having investigate by plaintiff's damage report.

However, even if we oversee plaintiff's damage report(Evidence 1) submitted to the defendant's police officers and the document titled "Investigation Request I" and the document titled "Investigation Request II" (Evidence 4), are unclear, and it can not be said that it is certain which criminal actions are pointed out. The plaintiff also asserted that it was clear that there was a criminal act only from the fact that the link between plaintiff's working day during taxi driver and company average sales, but even if the fact were true, it is clear that there was no indication that there was a criminal act immediately. Therefore, even if the police officers belonging to the defendant did not investigate, it can not be said that it is illegal.

Originally, the investigation of crime is carried out in order to gain the public interest of maintaining the order of the state and society, not to aim for the recovery of the infringing interest or damage of the victim of the crime, the complaint only gives the investigation institute the beginning of the criminal investigation and encourages the prosecutor's ex officio, so the profits of the victim gained will be reflected by the investigation conducted from the public interest, so it is said that it is only the benefit to be brought on and that it is not a legally protected benefit. Therefore, the victim or the complainant should not be able to demand consolation based on the State Redress Act on the grounds that the investigation conducted by the investigation agency is inappropriate (Supreme Court Heisei 1989 (o) No. 825 February 20 th Third Small Court Judgment / Civil Procedure Civil Affairs 159 No. 161).

Thus, the plaintiff appealed himself to undergo intimidation acts and to investigate the death of his aunt, but it is illegal that the police officers belonging to the defendant did not conduct a proper investigation, Since plaintiff seems to have been insisted it to be illegal, but it should be said that he can not claim damages under Article 1, Paragraph 1 of the State Redress Act

2 Therefore, there is no reason for the plaintiff's request, so to judge it as dismissing, judgment is made as the main sentence.

Maebashi District Court Numata branch

Judge Tatsuji Yoshida

Unfairly remands by Maebashi District Public Prosecutor's Officet

(Evidence 107,108,109,111,114)

(First filed 7 Complaints by visiting at 20171114, remanded by mailing with 20171220)

20171230

Yutaka Imai Maebashi District Public Prosecutor's Office charge of complaint and accusation

We checked documents received from you titled "Damage report 2017" on 20171114 and documents titled "Complaint" on the same day.

A complaint is to request the punishment of the criminal by declaring specific criminal facts to the investigation institution, and if you are to file a complaint, it is necessary to specify as much as possible about violating the specific criminal laws as, who is, when, where, what, how to, etc.

In the document entitled "complaint", "complaint fact" is stated for each complaint appealed by you, but which part of the fact falls under any act that violates specific criminal laws is unclear, and criminal facts are not specified. Therefore, the above documents etc. will be refunded.

(Second filed 5 Complaints by visiting at 20180117, remanded by mailing with 20180130)

20180130

Yutaka Imai Maebashi District Public Prosecutor's Office charge of complaint and accusation

We checked documents received from you titled "Damage report 2018" on 20180117 and documents titled "Complaint III" and 3 others and a document titled "ComplaintIX" on 20180125, total of 5 on the same day.

A complaint is to request the punishment of the criminal by declaring specific criminal facts to the investigation institution, and if you are to file a complaint, it is necessary to specify as much as possible about violating the specific criminal laws as, who is, when, where, what, how to, etc.

In the document entitled "complaint III", "complaint fact" is stated for each complaint appealed by you, but which part of the fact falls under any act that violates specific criminal laws is unclear, and criminal facts are not specified. Therefore, the above documents etc. will be refunded.

(Third filed 1 Complaint by visiting at 20180205, remanded by mailing with 20180208)**20180208**

Yutaka Imai Maebashi District Public Prosecutor's Office charge of complaint and accusation

We checked a documents received from you titled "Damage report 2018" on 20180205 and a document titled "Complaint III" on the same day.

A complaint is to request the punishment of the criminal by declaring specific criminal facts to the investigation institution, and if you are to file a complaint, it is necessary to specify as much as possible about violating the specific criminal laws as, who is, when, where, what, how to, etc.

In the document entitled "complaint III", "complaint" fact" is stated for each complaint appealed by you, but which part of the fact falls under any act that violates specific criminal laws is unclear, and criminal facts are not specified. Therefore, the above documents etc. will be refunded.

(Fourth filed 1 Complaint by visiting at 20180216, remanded by mailing with 20180226)**20180226**

Yutaka Imai Maebashi District Public Prosecutor's Office charge of complaint and accusation

We checked documents received from you titled "Damage report 2018" on 20180216 and a document titled "Complaint III" on the same day.

A complaint is to request the punishment of the criminal by declaring specific criminal facts to the investigation institution, and if you are to file a complaint, it is necessary to specify as much as possible about violating the specific criminal laws as, who is, when, where, what, how to, etc.

In the document entitled "complaint III", "complaint" fact" is stated for each complaint appealed by you, but which part of the fact falls under any act that violates specific criminal laws is unclear, and criminal facts are not specified. Therefore, the above documents etc. will be refunded.

(Fifth filed 12 Complaints by visiting at 20180409, remanded by mailing with 20180531)**20180531**

Yutaka Imai Maebashi District Public Prosecutor's Office charge of complaint and accusation

We checked documents received from you titled "Damage report 2018" on 20180403 and documents titled "Complaint A ~ L" on 20180409 total of 12 on the same day.

A complaint is to request the punishment of the criminal by declaring specific criminal facts to the investigation institution, and if you are to file a complaint, it is necessary to specify as much as possible about violating the specific criminal laws as, who is, when, where, what, how to, etc.

In the document entitled "complaint A ~ L", "complaint fact" is stated for each complaint appealed by you, but which part of the fact falls under any act that violates specific criminal laws is unclear, and criminal facts are not specified. Therefore, the above documents etc. will be refunded.

Japanese Laws(the relevant national legislation)

Police Law

Article 1 This Law guarantees the management and operation of the police based on democratic principles and It is aimed at defining the police organization sufficient to carry out for efficiently dispatch such duties to protect individual rights and liberties and to maintain public safety and order.

Article 2 The police are responsible for protecting the life, body and property of individuals, and the prevention of crime, suppression and investigation, arrest of suspects, control of traffic and maintenance of public safety and order.

2 The police activities should be strictly limited to the scope of the duty of the preceding paragraph, and in carrying out their duties, purported to be impartiality and fair, and it must not to abuse their authority to infringe or interfere of individual rights and freedoms which guaranteed by the Constitution of Japan.

Criminal Investigation Standards

(July 11, 1957, National Public Safety Commission

Regulation No. 2)

(Rational investigation)

Article 4 In conducting an investigation, the case must be clarified by evidence.

2 In conducting an investigation, you must make efforts to investigate reasonably as follows, to exclude prejudice-based guesses, not to be based on prejudice, to conduct basic investigations, not to be overconfident of the testimony of suspects and other stakeholders thoroughly, to discover and collect all the evidence begin with physical proof, to make full use of the knowledge facilities and materials.

(Comprehensive investigation)

Article 5 In conducting an investigation, you must make efforts to investigate comprehensively as follows, to judge from all information materials, to utilize knowledge skills widely, and always to use the power of the organization.

(Efforts to grasp the beginning)

Article 59 Police officers must strive to gain the beginning of the investigation to be always aware of articles of newspaper and other publications, information provided using the Internet, anonymous declaration, rumor and other social phenomena widely, along with the enforcement of police, voluntarily doing job questions, etc.

(Acceptance of Damage Notification)

Article 61 Whenever there is a person reporting the damage caused by the crime, a policeman shall accept it whether the case is in the jurisdictional area or not .

(2) When the report is verbal, it shall be filed in the damage report (Alternate Form No. 6) or written by a police officer and the damage report can be omitted when preparing the reference staff statement.

(Accusation, indictment and receipt of the self-confess)

Article 63 Whenever there is a person who is doing accusation, indictment, or self-confess, A police officer who is a judicial police officer must accept it along the provisions of this section, whether the case is within the jurisdictional area or not.

(Accusation and indictment in writing)

Article 65 when a policeman accept complaint or accusation in writing, and when the purpose of it is unknown or it seems that it does not comply with the intention of the principal, the policeman must request the principal to offer a supplementary document or request his testimony and write it to the reference person report(supplementary record).

Criminal Procedure Law

Article 189 (1) A police official shall perform his/her duties as a judicial police official pursuant to the provisions of other acts, or pursuant to the regulations of the National Public Safety Commission or Prefectural Public Safety Commission.

(2) A judicial police official shall, when he/she deems that an offense has been committed, investigate the offender and evidence thereof.

Article 191 (1) A public prosecutor may, if he/she deems it necessary, investigate an offense him/herself.

(2) A judicial police official shall, when he/she deems that an offense has been committed, investigate the offender and evidence thereof.

Article 229 (1) When a person who died an unnatural death or the body of a person who is suspected to have died an unnatural death has been found, a public prosecutor of a district or local public prosecutors office, which has jurisdiction over the place where the body was found, shall perform a postmortem inspection.

(2) A public prosecutor may have a public prosecutor's assistant officer or a judicial police officer execute the disposition set forth in the preceding paragraph.

Article 230 A person who has been injured by an offense may file a complaint.

Article 239 (1) Any person who believes that an offense has been committed may file an accusation.

(2) A government official or local government official shall file an accusation when they believe an offense has been committed.

Article 241 (1) A complaint or an accusation shall be filed with a public prosecutor or a judicial police official in writing or orally.

(2) A public prosecutor or judicial police official shall make a written statement when they have received an oral complaint or accusation.

Article 242 A judicial police official shall, when they have received a complaint or accusation, send the document and articles of evidence regarding the complaint or the accusation to a public prosecutor immediately.

Article 253 (1) The statute of limitations shall commence to run at the time when the criminal act has ceased.

(2) Regarding a case of complicity, the statute of limitations shall, with respect to all accomplices, commence to run at the time when the final act ceased.

刑法 Penal Code

(Attempts)

Article 44 An attempt is punishable only when specifically so provided in the Article concerned.

(Co-Principals)

Article 60 Two or more persons who commit a crime in joint action are all principals.

(Inducement)

Article 61 (1) A person who induces another to commit a crime shall be dealt with in sentencing as a principal.

(2) The same shall apply to a person who induces another to induce.

(Accessoryship)

Article 62 (1) A person who aids a principal is an accessory.

(2) A person who induces an accessory shall be dealt with in sentencing as an accessory.

(Obstruction of Auctions)

Article 96-3 (1) A person who by the use of fraudulent means or force commits an act which impairs the fairness of a public auction or bid, shall be punished by imprisonment with work for not more than 2 years or a fine of not more than 2,500,000 yen.

(2) The same shall apply to a person who colludes for the purpose of preventing a fair determination of price or acquiring a wrongful gain.

(Harboring of Criminals)

Article 103 A person who harbors or enables the escape of another person who has either committed a crime punishable with a fine or greater punishment or has escaped from confinement shall be punished by imprisonment with work for not more than 2 years or a fine of not more than 200,000 yen.

(Suppression of Evidence)

Article 104 A person who suppresses, damages, counterfeits or alters evidence relating to a criminal case of another person, or who uses counterfeit or altered evidence, shall be punished by imprisonment with work for not more than 2 years or a fine of not more than 200,000 yen.

Chapter XII Crimes of Breaking into a Residence

(Breaking into a Residence)

Article 130 A person who, without justifiable grounds, breaks into a residence of another person or into the premises, building or vessel guarded by another person, or who refuses to leave such a place upon demand shall be punished by imprisonment with work for not more than 3 years or a fine of not more than 100,000 yen.

(Counterfeiting of Private Documents)

Article 159 (1) A person who, for the purpose of uttering, counterfeits, with the use of a seal or signature of another, a document or drawing relating to rights, duties or certification of facts or counterfeits a document or drawing relating to rights, duties or certification of facts with the use of a counterfeit seal or signature of another, shall be punished by imprisonment with work for not less than 3 months but not more than 5 years.

(2) The same shall apply to a person who alters a document or drawing bearing the seal or signature of another and relating to rights, duties or certification of facts.

(3) Except for the cases provided in the preceding two paragraphs, a person who counterfeits or alters a document or picture relating to rights, duties or certification of facts shall be punished by imprisonment with work for not more than 1 year or a fine of not more than 100,000 yen.

(Falsifying Medical Certificates)

Article 160 When a physician makes a false entry in a medical certificate, an autopsy report or a death certificate to be submitted to a public office, imprisonment without work for not more than 3 years or a fine of not more than 300,000 yen shall be imposed.

(Abuse of Authority by public officers)

Article 193 When a public officer abuses his or her authority and causes another to perform an act which the person has no obligation to perform, or hinders another from exercising such person's right, imprisonment with work or imprisonment without work for not more than 2 years shall be imposed.

(Homicide)

Article 199 A person who kills another shall be punished by the death penalty or imprisonment with work for life or for a definite term of not less than 5 years.

(Preparation)

Article 201 A person who prepares for the commission of a crime prescribed under Article 199 shall be punished by imprisonment with work for not more than 2 years; provided, however, that the person may be exculpated in light of circumstances.

(Attempts)

Article 203 An attempt of the crimes prescribed under Article 199 and the preceding Article shall be punished.

(Intimidation)

Article 222 (1) A person who intimidates another through a threat to another's life, body, freedom, reputation or property shall be punished by imprisonment with work for not more than 2 years or a fine of not more than 300,000 yen.

(2) The same shall apply to a person who intimidates another through a threat to the life, body, freedom, reputation or property of the relatives of another.

(Forcible Obstruction of Business)

Article 234 A person who obstructs the business of another by force shall be dealt with in the same manner as prescribed under the preceding Article.

(Fraud)

Article 246 (1) A person who defrauds another of property shall be punished by imprisonment with work for not more than 10 years.

(2) The same shall apply to a person who obtains or causes another to obtain a profit by the means prescribed under the preceding paragraph.

Court Act (Tentative translation)

Article 49 of the Court Act (Disciplinary Action) When a judge violates a duty, or neglects his duties, or has a sentence that humiliates the quality, the judge is disciplined by a judicial decision by another law.

State Redress Act

Act No. 125 of October 27, 194

Article 1 (1) When a public officer who exercises the public authority of the State or of a public entity has, in the course of his/her duties, unlawfully inflicted damage on another person intentionally or negligently, the State or public entity shall assume the responsibility to compensate therefor.

(2) In the case referred to in the preceding paragraph, when there was intent or gross negligence on the part of the public officer, the State or public entity shall have the right to obtain reimbursement from that public officer.